Giotto: A Time-triggered Language for Control Programming



Ben Horowitz UC Berkeley

4th Biennial Ptolemy Miniconference March 22-23, 2001, Berkeley, CA



- A time-triggered, platform independent programming language + compiler + runtime library.
- For hard real-time, safety-critical control applications on distributed platforms.
- Abstract programming model for control system development.
- **VxWorks** runtime library.
- Ptolemy II domain.

Troubles for Control Systems Implementation

Development is expensive.

- System integration.
- Temporal composability.
- Distribution.
- Fault tolerance.

This is in part a programming languages problem.

- Existing languages are either too low-level or lack useful features.
- Little notion, in engineering practice, of platform-independence.

Ptolemy Miniconference

Control system design with Giotto



Requirements for Control Programming Language

- Talk about time:
 - Periodic computation and IO.
- Operational modes:
 - Take off, cruise, land.
 - Different control laws needed in each mode.

Easy to distribute:

- For performance...
- ...or fault tolerance.
- Encapsulate existing (legacy) code.
 - Or output of tools such as Matlab.
- Deterministic, platform independent, simple.

















Comparison with Synchronous Languages

- In spirit, Giotto is similar allowing a precise semantic description of programs...
- ...However:
 - Giotto is more of a "glue" language.
 - Giotto has more restricted scope (periodic tasks, flat mode structure).
 - But Giotto can better leverage real-time scheduling theory.
- Disadvantages of synchronous languages:
 - Esterel tasking mechanisms make it difficult to prove that Esterel programs meets their deadlines.
 - Lustre programs often underutilize CPU (lots of activity around tick, idle otherwise).

Ptolemy Miniconference, 15

Comparison with Architecture Description Languages (ADLs)

- ADLs shift programmer's perspective from small- to large-grained features.
- ADLs allow the automatic generation of code for task communication and scheduling.
- Giotto is particularly similar with MetaH (Vestal 1997):
 - Periodic tasks, multi-modal control, distributed and real-time implementations.
- Mode switches in Giotto are handled more cleanly than in MetaH.
- Giotto has an abstract semantics:
 - Does not constrain choice of scheduler.
 - Allows for optimal real-time scheduling.

For More Information...

- Visit <u>http://www-cad.eecs.berkeley.edu/fresco/~giotto/</u>
- ... Or contact:
 - Ben Horowitz (<u>bhorowit@cs.berkeley.edu</u>)
 - Tom Henzinger (<u>tah@eecs.berkeley.edu</u>)
 - Christoph Meyer Kirsch (cm@eecs.berkeley.edu)
- ... Or read:
 - Giotto: A Time-Triggered Language for Embedded Programming
 - Embedded Control Systems Development with Giotto.
 - (All papers are available at the above URL.)

Ptolemy Miniconference, 17

