

Dataflow Process Network

Goals

- Formalize dataflow process network
 - Widely used in signal processing community
 - SPW, COSSAP, Khoros, Ptolemy, etc
- Good basis for programming language
 - Hierarchy, higher order function, recursion, etc

Kahn Process Networks

- MoC where concurrent processes communicate through unidirectional FIFO
- Process
 - Maps one or more input sequences to one or more output sequences
 - Usually constrained to be continuous
 - $F(\sup X) = \sup F(X)$

Dataflow Process Networks

- A process is sequence of firings of dataflow actors
 - $F = \text{map}(f)$
- Actor
 - Fires according to firing rules
 - Each firing consumes input tokens and produces output tokens
- Continuity
 - Functional
 - No side effects
 - Sequential
 - Firing rules can be tested in a predefined ordering

Firing Rules

- An actor with p inputs
 - N firing rules: $R = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_N\}$
 - Patterns for each input: $R_i = \{R_{i,1}, R_{i,2}, \dots, R_{i,p}\}$
- In order to fire
 - The patterns must be a prefix of the tokens at the inputs
 - Adder: $R_1 = \{[*], [*]\}$
 - Select: $R_1 = \{[*], [], [T]\}$, $R_2 = \{[], [*], [F]\}$

Execution Model

- Concurrent processes
 - Demand driven style
 - Processes with unavailable inputs are put to sleep with its input channels marked hungry
 - Writing to hungry channel suspends the writer and wakes the waiter
- Static/dynamic scheduling
 - Possible in dataflow process network b/c of actors
 - Avoids overhead of context switching
- Tagged-token model
 - Each token has a tag
 - Fire only when input tokens have matching tags
 - No need for FIFO, tags impose order

Language Design

- Ptolemy as a driving example
 - Visual and textual interface
 - No built in MoC
 - Supports 3 different dataflow process network domains
 - Extensible set of primitive actors

Hierarchy

- Subgraphs can be encapsulated into a single node
- Difficulties
 - Want hierarchical nodes to have the same properties as primitives
 - Firing rules, functional, etc.
 - State introduced from self loops on primitive actors
 - Reconciled: state is syntactic sugar for delay

Function Arguments

- Two types of arguments
 - Parameters
 - Input streams
- Why the distinction?
 - Parameters are constants
 - Do not need arcs for parameters
 - Simplifies work done by compiler/interpreter

Recursion

- Two examples
 - Sieve of Eratosthenes
 - FFT
- Sieve of Erathosthenes
 - Implemented with a hierarchical node “sift” that invokes itself when called
 - Graph is dynamically expanded
 - Mutates during execution
- FFT
 - Contrast to sieve of Erasthosthenes
 - Can be completely scheduled at compile time

Higher Order Function

- Map actor
 - Inputs:
 - Blockname
 - Input_map
 - Output_map
 - Replaces itself with one or more instances of the specified actor
- IfThenElse
 - Takes two replacement actors and a predicate

Datatypes, polymorphism

- Networks are typed
 - Type consistency is statically checked
- Polymorphism
 - Ptolemy supports parametric and ad-hoc
 - Parametric: behaves same way regardless of data type
 - Ad-hoc: behavior can be different

Parallelism

- Comes for free in dataflow process network
 - Dataflow graph exposes parallelism for hardware or compiler
 - Recursion can be evaluated during setup phase

Conclusion

- Formalization was useful