## Redundancy Minimizing Techniques for Robust Transmission in Wireless Networks

Anna Kacewicz and Stephen B. Wicker

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Cornell University

## TRUST Fall Conference

November 11, 2008


Cornell University

## MOTIVATION

- Wireless networks vulnerable to attacks
- Passive listening
- Denial of service
- Necessary for wireless network to be resilient to malicious nodes
- Reliability in data transmission
- Security in communication
- Topology of Mobile Ad Hoc Networks constantly changing
- Pre-determined routing schemes inadequate
- Important to dynamically determine message dispersion


## APPROACH

- Information/Coding theory for robustness against compromised pathways
- Forward error correction coding: MDS codes
- Devise routing scheme based on channel conditions
- Develop exponential and polynomial time algorithms


## PROBLEM STATEMENT

- Assumption: There are $N$ paths each with an assigned probability of success
- Goal: Transmit message across these paths to attain desired probability of success
- Question: What is the minimum redundancy needed and optimal symbol allocation to each path to achieve target success probability?


## BASIC ASSUMPTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

- $N$ paths and transmit $f_{i}$ symbols down path $i$, which has probability of success $1-\alpha_{i}$, all independent from one another.
- Each path is like an erasure channel, either the transmitted symbols are received or they are not.



## BASIC ASSUMPTIONS AND DEFINITIONS CONT...

- $k$ symbol message which is to be encoded using an MDS code into $n$ symbols using a systematic representation
- WLOG assume $1-\alpha_{1} \geq 1-\alpha_{2} \geq \ldots \geq 1-\alpha_{N}$, implying $f_{1} \geq f_{2} \geq \ldots \geq f_{N}$


## BASIC ASSUMPTIONS AND DEFINITIONS CONT...

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {success }}(\mathbf{f})=\sum_{s \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{N}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)^{s_{i}} \alpha_{i}^{1-s_{i}} u(s \cdot \mathbf{f}-k) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(\cdot)$ represents the unit step function, and $S$ is the set containing all possible length $N$ combinations of 0 's and 1's.

- Running through all possible combinations of $s \in S$ would result in exponential time algorithm $\Rightarrow$ inefficient.


## PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS APPROXIMATION

- Each $f_{i}$ represents the average amount of transmissions attempts to transmit over path $i$, and in fact follows binomial distribution.
- If we consider all the paths and use the Gaussian approximation, we obtain

$$
\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right), \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {success }} \approx \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)-k+\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i}^{2} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)}}\right) \triangleq \hat{P}_{\text {success }} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Redundancy and Symbol Allocation Algorithms

- Two algorithms, MRAET and MRAPT (exponential and polynomial time), to make wireless network robust
- Determine minimum redundancy using MDS codes to achieve desired success probability
- Allocate symbols to paths based on path success probabilities


## Applications of different codes

- Reed-Solomon codes are MDS codes but have quadratic decoding time
- Fountain codes have an advantage in that they are rateless
- Well constructed fountain codes are almost MDS
- LT codes have decoding running time $O(k \log k)$, where $k$ is number of input symbols
- Raptor codes have running time linear in the message size


## SIMULATION RESULTS



## SIMULATION RESULTS CONT...



## SIMULATION RESULTS CONT...



Figure: Total Codeword size for Different Codes using MRAET

## SIMULATION RESULTS CONT...



Figure: Bit Error Rate over Different Codes using MRAET

## CONCLUSION

- LT codes have greatest robustness but largest overhead
- MDS codes have lowest overhead but highest bit error rate
- Raptor codes have lowest bit error probability


## QUESTIONS

## THANKS!

## MRAET

$$
P_{\text {success }}^{A}=\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in A} \prod_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}^{s_{i}}\left(1-p_{i}\right)^{1-s_{i}}
$$

Where $A$ is some subset of $S$. Let,

$$
z_{(i, s)}=\left\{z \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{N-(j-2)}\right\} \mid \sum_{l=j-1}^{j-2+i} S_{z, l} \geq s\right\}
$$

for some integers $s, i$. Where $S_{z, l}$ represents the element of $S$ in the $z^{\text {th }}$ row and $t^{\text {th }}$ column. Part 1:

Step 1: Assign $j=1$ and go to step 2.
Step 2: Let $A=S_{\left(\left(2^{j}+1, \ldots, 2^{N}\right),(1, \ldots, N)\right)}$ and go to step 3 .
Step 3: Calculate $P_{\text {success }}^{A}$.
If $P_{\text {success }}^{A}(\mathbf{f}) \geq p^{*}$ then save $j$, let
$f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j}=k, f_{j+1}, \ldots, f_{N}=0$
and $\gamma_{\min }=j, P_{\text {temp }}=P_{\text {success }}^{A}$.
Then go to part 2 of the algorithm.
Otherwise let $j=j+1$ and if $j>N$ move on to Part 2, else if $j \leq N$ return to Step 2.

## MRAET CONT...

If $j<2$ then we have an optimal allocation and we are done. Otherwise:

## Part 2:

Let $i=2$
Step 1: Let $i=i+1$ and if either $i>N$ or $j-2+i>N$ then terminate Part 2, otherwise go to step 2.
Step 2: Let $s=2$
Step 3: If $j-2+\frac{i}{s} \leq \gamma_{\text {min }}$ then
let $A$ denote the subset of matrix $S$ composed of rows whose indices are in $Z_{(i, s)}$ and go to step 4. Otherwise, go to step 6.
Step 4: Calculate $P_{\text {success }}^{A}$
If $P_{\text {success }}^{A} \geq p^{*}$ with $j-2+\frac{i}{s}<\gamma_{\text {min }}$
or
$j-2+\frac{i}{s}=\gamma_{\text {min }}$ and $P_{\text {temp }}<P_{s u c c e s s}^{A}$
then go to step 5 , otherwise go to step 6.
Step 5: Let $P_{\text {temp }}=P_{\text {success }}^{A}, \gamma_{\text {min }}=j-2+\frac{i}{s}$, and
$f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j-2}=k$,
$f_{j-1}, \ldots f_{j-2+i}=\frac{k}{s}$,
$f_{j-1+i}, \ldots, f_{N}=0$.
Go to step 6.
Step 6: Let $s=s+1$. If $s>i$ then go to step 1 , else go to step 3 .

