

TRUST **Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure** Technology

Annual Report (2009 - 2010)

May 28, 2010



TRUST is funded by the National Science Foundation (award number CCF-0424422)









VANDERBILT

UNIVERSITY

STANFORD UNIVERSITY





TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.1 SUMMARY 4 1.2 NEW CENER FACULTY 5 1.4 CONTEXT STATEMENT 6 2 RESEARCH 8 2.1 GOAIS AND OBJECTIVES 8 2.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 8 2.3 CURRENT AND ANTICHATED PROBLEMS 8 2.4 RESEARCH 8 2.4 TENARCH THEOR INDICATORS 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 TENARCH THEOR INDICATORS 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 15 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 16 2.4.4 TENARCY INDICATORS 21 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 2.6 NEURENTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 26 2.6 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 32 3.1 GOALS A	1	GEN	ERAL INFORMATION	4
1.2 NEW CENTER FACULTY 5 1.3 REPORT POINT OF CONTACT. 5 1.4 CONTEXT STATEMENT. 6 2 RESEARCH 8 2.1 GOALS AND ORFICTIVES 8 2.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 8 2.4 RESEARCH THRUST AREAS. 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 Headin Infrastructures 10 2.4.3 Financial Infrastructures 12 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCI PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 23 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 26 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Health Infrastructures 26 3.4 DIFEROMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 22 2.6.2 Physical Infrastructures 28 3.5 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND ORFICTIVES 23 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 22 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 32 <tr< th=""><th></th><th>11</th><th>SUMMARY</th><th>4</th></tr<>		11	SUMMARY	4
1.3 REPORT POENT OF CONTACT.		1.2	New Center Faculty	
1.4 CONTEXT STATEMENT 6 2 RESEARCH 8 2.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 8 2.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 8 2.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 8 2.4 RESEARCH THUST AREAS 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 Health Infrastructures 10 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 12 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 26 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 2.6.4 Health Infrastructures 28 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 EDUCATION 32 3.4 INTERNAL DOUCATION ACTIVITIES 33		1.3	REPORT POINT OF CONTACT	5
2 RESEARCH 8 2.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 8 2.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 8 2.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 8 2.4 RESEARCH THRUST AREAS 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 Health Infrastructures 10 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 12 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 26 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 28 3 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRARE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METICIVITIE		1.4	CONTEXT STATEMENT	6
2.1. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 8 2.2. PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 8 2.4. RESEARCH THRUST AREAS 8 2.4. RESEARCH THRUST AREAS 8 2.4. Iffication and Anticipate PROBLEMS 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 Perstance Infrastructures 15 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 15 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOR RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 2.6.4 Fenormance AND OBJECTIVES 28 3.5 PEOCATION 32 3.6 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 A INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATIO	2	DES	FARCH	8
2.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 8 2.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANGEMENT INDICATORS. 8 2.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS. 8 2.4 RESEARCH THRUST AREAS. 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures. 10 2.4.2 Ileath Infrastructures. 10 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures. 19 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures. 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures. 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures. 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures. 28 3 EDUCATION	4	KE5		0
2.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANGEMENT INDICATORS 8 2.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 8 2.4 RESEARCH TURUST AREAS 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 Health Infrastructures 15 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 19 2.5 RESEARCH METRICS/INDICATORS 21 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 26 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.2 DEUCATION 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 33 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 4.7 ACTIVITIES TO INDICATORS 32 3.8 EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4.1 GOALS AND OBJE		2.1	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	8
2.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 8 2.4 RESEARCH THUST AREAS 8 2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 Health Infrastructures 15 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 19 2.5 RESEARCH METRICS/INDICATORS 21 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 28 3.4 INTERNAL BOUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL BOUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION PLANS 47 </td <td></td> <td>2.2</td> <td>PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS</td> <td>8</td>		2.2	PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS	8
2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures 10 2.4.2 Health Infrastructures 15 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 15 2.4.4 Health Infrastructures 15 2.4.5 Physical Infrastructures 16 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RISEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 26 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION MERICS/INDICATORS 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 <		2.3	CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS	8
2.4.1 FURALICITURES 15 2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures 19 2.5 RESEARCH METRICS/INDICATORS 21 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PENDO RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 28 3 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 33 3.6 PROTECATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MAN		2.4	RESEARCH I HRUST AREAS	8
2.4.2 Heatin Infrastructures 15 2.5 RESEARCH METRICS/INDICATORS. 21 2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 3.6 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.7 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 41 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNO		2.4.1	Financial Infrastructures	10
2.4.3 FRYNDERINGS/INDICATORS. 21 2.5 RESEARCH MERICS/INDICATORS. 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 3.4 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 32 3.1 GOALS AND ODJECTIVES. 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER CUTVITIES 51 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER CUTVITES 52 4.5		2.4.2	Health Infrastructures	13
2.6 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD RESEARCH PLANS 22 2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 22 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 28 3 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS. 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 52		2.4.5	Physical Infrastructures	19 21
2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures 22 2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 26 3.6 PDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.7 ACTIVITIES to INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4		2.5	NEVER DEDOPTING PEDIOD RESEARCH DI ANG	$\frac{21}{22}$
2.6.2 Health Infrastructures 26 2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 28 3 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 ENCLATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.6 <td></td> <td>2.0</td> <td>Financial Infrastructures</td> <td>22</td>		2.0	Financial Infrastructures	22
2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures 28 3 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.7 REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 52 4.5		2.6.2	Health Infrastructures	$\frac{22}{26}$
3 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 40 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.1 GOALS AND DADETIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 <td></td> <td>2.6.3</td> <td>Physical Infrastructures.</td> <td>28</td>		2.6.3	Physical Infrastructures.	28
3 EDUCATION 32 3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION MCTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS. 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS. 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS				
3.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 32 3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS. 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES. 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES. 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES. 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES. 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS. 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS. 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS. 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.	3	EDU	CATION	32
3.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 32 3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIP		3.1	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	32
3.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 33 3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 52 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 <td></td> <td>3.2</td> <td>PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS</td> <td>32</td>		3.2	PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS	32
3.4 INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES. 33 3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES. 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES. 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION. 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS. 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS. 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER. 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 60 5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP		3.3	CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS	33
3.5 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES 40 3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61		3.4	INTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES	33
3.6 EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES. 44 3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS. 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS. 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER. 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS. 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 61 5.1 GOALS AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSH		3.5	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES	40
3.7 ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION 45 3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 61 5.4		3.6	EXTERNAL EDUCATION ACTIVITIES	44
3.8 EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS 46 3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 6		3.7	ACTIVITIES TO INTEGRATE RESEARCH AND EDUCATION	45
3.9 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS. 47 4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 64 5.6 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 64 5.7 NEXT REPORT		3.8	EDUCATION METRICS/INDICATORS	46
4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER 51 4.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 51 4.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 64 5.6 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 64 5.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS 64 6 DIVERSITY 65 6.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES		3.9	NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EDUCATION PLANS	47
4.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.514.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS524.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS524.4KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES524.5OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES594.6KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS594.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS605EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS.615.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES615.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS615.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS615.4EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES615.5OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES645.6EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS645.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS646DIVERSITY656.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS65	4	KNO	WLEDGE TRANSFER	51
4.1 DERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 52 4.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 52 4.4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES 52 4.5 OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES 59 4.6 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS 59 4.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS 60 5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 64 5.6 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 64 5.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS 64 6 DIVERSITY 65 6.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 65 6.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS		41	GOALS AND ORIECTIVES	51
4.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS524.4KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES524.5OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES594.6KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS594.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS605EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS615.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES615.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS615.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS615.4EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES615.5OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES645.6EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS645.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS646DIVERSITY656.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS65		4.1	PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS	52
4.4KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES524.5OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES594.6KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS594.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS605EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS615.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES615.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS615.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS615.4EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES615.5OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES645.6EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS645.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS646DIVERSITY656.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS65		4.3	CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS	52
4.5OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES594.6KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS594.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS605EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS615.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES615.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS615.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS615.4EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES615.5OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES645.6EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS645.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS646DIVERSITY656.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS65		4.4	KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER ACTIVITIES	52
4.6KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS594.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS605EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS615.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES615.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS615.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS615.4EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES615.5OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES645.6EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS645.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS646DIVERSITY656.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS65		4.5	OTHER KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTCOMES	59
4.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS605EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS615.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES615.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS615.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS615.4EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES615.5OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES645.6EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS645.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS646DIVERSITY656.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS65		4.6	KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER METRICS/INDICATORS	59
5EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS		4.7	NEXT REPORTING PERIOD KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER PLANS	60
5.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES.615.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS615.3CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS615.4EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES615.5OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES645.6EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS645.7NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS646DIVERSITY656.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES656.2PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS65	5	ЕХТ	ERNAL PARTNERSHIPS	61
5.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 61 5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 64 5.6 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 64 5.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS 64 6 DIVERSITY 65 6.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 65 6.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 65	-			c 1
5.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 61 5.3 CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 64 5.6 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 64 5.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS 64 6 DIVERSITY 65 6.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 65 6.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 65		5.1 5.2	GOALS AND UBJECTIVES	51 61
5.5 CORRENT AND ANTICIPATED TROBLEMS 61 5.4 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES 61 5.5 OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES 64 5.6 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS 64 5.7 NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS 64 6 DIVERSITY 65 6.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 65 6.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 65		5.2 5.3	CUDDENT AND ANTICIDATED DOOD EMS	01 61
5.4 EXTERNAL FARTNERSHIP ACTIVITIES		5.5 5.4	EVITEDNAL DADTNEDSHID A CTIVITIES	61
5.6 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP METRICS/INDICATORS		5. 4 5.5	OTHER EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES	64
5.0 EXTERINAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS		5.5	EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP OUTCOMES	64
6 DIVERSITY		5.7	NEXT REPORTING PERIOD EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIP PLANS	64
0 DIVERSITY 65 6.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. 65 6.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS 65	,	DIN7		(F
6.1GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	0	DIV	ЕКЭН Ү	03
6.2 PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS		6.1	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	65
		6.2	PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS	65





Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST)



6.3	CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS	
6.4	DIVERSITY ACTIVITIES	66
6.5	DIVERSITY ACTIVITY IMPACT	
6.6	DIVERSITY METRICS/INDICATORS	
6.7	NEXT REPORTING PERIOD DIVERSITY PLANS	
7 MA	ANAGEMENT	70
7.1	ORGANIZATIONAL STRATEGY	
7.2	PERFORMANCE AND MANAGEMENT INDICATORS	
7.3	MANAGEMENT METRICS/INDICATORS	71
7.4	CURRENT AND ANTICIPATED PROBLEMS	71
7.5	MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM	71
7.6	CENTER ADVISORY PERSONNEL	72
7.7	CENTER STRATEGIC PLAN CHANGES	73
8 CE	ENTER-WIDE OUTPUTS AND ISSUES	74
8.1	CENTER PUBLICATIONS	74
8.1	1.1 Peer Reviewed Publication	
8.1	1.2 Journal Articles	
8.1	1.3 Books and Book Chapters	
8.1	4.4 Non-peer Reviewed Publications	
8.2	CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS	
8.3	OTHER DISSEMINATION ACTIVITIES	
8.4	Awards and Honors	80
8.5	Graduates	
8.6	GENERAL KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER OUTPUTS	
8.7	INSTITUTIONAL PARTNERS	
9 IN	DIRECT/OTHER IMPACTS	84
9.1	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	
9.2	OTHER OUTPUTS, IMPACTS, AND INFLUENCES	84
11 AT	TACHMENTS	







1 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Summary

Date Submitted	April 2, 2010
Reporting Period	June 1, 2009 – May 31, 2010
Name of the Center	Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology
Name of the Center Director	S. Shankar Sastry
Lead University	University of California, Berkeley
Contact Information	
Address	320 McLaughlin Hall
Phone Number	510-642-5771
Fax Number	510-642-9178
Email Address of Center Director	sastry@coe.berkeley.edu
Center URL	http://www.truststc.org/

Below are the names of participating Center institutions, their roles, and (for each institution) the name of the contact person and their contact information at that institution.

Institution Name	Carnegie Mellon University, Adrian Perrig
Address	2110 Collaborative Innovation Center
	Pittsburgh, PA 15213
Phone Number	412-268-2242
Fax Number	412-268-6779
Email Address of Center Director	adrian@ece.cmu.edu
Role of Institution at Center	Carnegie Mellon is a lead research, education, and outreach
	partner.

Institution Name	Cornell University, Stephen Wicker
Address	386 Rhodes Hall
	Ithaca, NY 14850
Phone Number	607-255-8817
Fax Number	607-255-9072
Email Address of Center Director	wicker@ece.cornell.edu
Role of Institution at Center	Cornell University is a lead research, education, and outreach
	partner.

Institution Name	Mills College, Almudena Konrad
Address	CPM 204
	Oakland, CA 94613
Phone Number	510-430-2201
Fax Number	510-430-3314
Email Address of Center Director	akonrad@mills.edu
Role of Institution at Center	Mills is an outreach partner to encourage greater female
	participation in engineering.



Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST)



Institution Name	San Jose State University, Sigurd Meldal
Address	ENGR 284
	San Jose, CA 95192
Phone Number	408-924-4151
Fax Number	408-924-4153
Email Address of Center Director	smeldal@email.sjsu.edu
Role of Institution at Center	SJSU is a lead education partner to spread curriculum and encourage
	greater underrepresented minority participation in engineering.
Institution Name	Smith College, Judith Cardell
Address	Clark Science Center, EGR 105b, Northampton, MA 01063
Phone Number	413-585-4222
Fax Number	413-585-3827
Email Address of Center Director	jcardell@smith.edu
Role of Institution at Center	Smith is a reasonab and outroach partner to an acurage greater famale
	Smith is a research and oureach partner to encourage greater remaie

Institution Name	Stanford University, John Mitchell
Address	Gates Building 4B-476
	Stanford, CA 94305-9045
Phone Number	650-723-8634
Fax Number	650-725-7411
Email Address of Center Director	mitchell@cs.stanford.edu
Role of Institution at Center	Stanford is a lead research, education, and outreach partner.

Institution Name	Vanderbilt University, Janos Sztipanovits
Address	2015 Terrace Place
	VU Station B 356306
	Nashville, TN 37235-6306
Phone Number	615-343-7572
Fax Number	615-343-6702
Email Address of Center Director	janos.sztipanovits@vanderbilt.edu
Role of Institution at Center	Vanderbilt is a lead research, education, and outreach partner.

1.2 New Center Faculty

Please see <u>Appendix A</u> for biographical information on each new faculty member added to the Center during this reporting period.

1.3 Report Point of Contact

Below is the name and contact information for the primary person to contact with any questions regarding this report.

Name of the Individual	Larry Rohrbough
Center Role	Executive Director
Address	337D Cory Hall
	Berkeley, CA 94720-1774
Phone Number	510-643-3032
Fax Number	510-642-2718
Email Address	larryr@eecs.berkeley.edu







1.4 Context Statement

The Team for Research in Ubiquitous Security Technology (TRUST) was created in response to a growing sense of urgency in dealing with all aspects of cyber security as it affects society. The role and penetration of computing systems and networks in our societal infrastructure continues to grow and their importance to societal safety and the security has never been greater. Beyond mere connection to the internet and access to global resources, information systems form the backbone of our nation's financial services and electronic commerce, are used for controlling critical infrastructures such as power, water, and telecommunications, and enable the rapid evolution in healthcare toward enhanced services increasingly supported by the digital storage of and instant access to patient health and medical data

That said, many such computing and control systems remain untrustworthy. Waves of viruses and worms sweep the Internet and exhibit increasing virulence and rate of speed that is also directly proportional to their growing ease of deployment. Issues affecting privacy are poorly understood and, when they are understood, are often not sufficiently addressed in system design and development. Security is generally inadequate, and some speak of a "market failure" in the domain. Broader issues of software usability, reliability and correctness remain challenging. Industry stakeholders are unable to recruit new employees adequately trained in these technologies. Society is placing computers into critical roles, although they do not meet the requirements of trust.

TRUST is composed of several universities that have joined forces to organize a multifaceted response to these issues. TRUST represents the strongest and most diverse engagement in the area of trusted systems ever assembled. TRUST recognized the breadth of the problems and has combined fundamental science with a broader multidisciplinary focus on economic, social, and legal considerations as well as a substantial education mission. TRUST is enabling dialog with stakeholders whose needs simply cannot be approached in a narrower and purely technical manner or by any single research group. As such, TRUST acts as an intermediary between policy makers and society at large on the one hand, and researchers, academics, and industrial providers of services and technology on the other.

TRUST seeks to achieve its mission through research as well as a global policy for engaging in education of society as a whole. This annual report of TRUST details the experience of the Center along many dimensions—research, education, diversity, and knowledge transfer.

In research, TRUST has achieved success along several fronts and is addressing fundamental scientific and technological problems and advancing the state-of-the-art in a number of areas: security and privacy issues associated with the rapidly increasing use of electronic media for the archival and access of patient medical records; web authentication, end-user privacy, next-generation browser security, malware detection, and improved system forensic techniques to combat online attacks; application defenses for network-level intrusions and attacks including compromised and malfunctioning legacy applications, viruses, worms, and spyware; incentives for research, investment, policies, and procedures for technology that enhance system security, privacy, and trustworthiness; secure embedded sensor networks for large-scale applications critical to the nation's economy, energy, security, and health; and techniques that ensure trustworthy computing by securing hardware, improving software robustness, and increasing the survivability of critical systems.

In education, TRUST is leveraging an existing learning technology infrastructure to quickly enable TRUST courseware and material to be assembled, deposited in a repository, and adapted for wide webbased content dissemination. In addition to developing special courses for undergraduate and graduate curricula, and regular seminars and webcasts, TRUST has hosted a series of workshops on sensor networks, privacy, identity theft, and electronic medical records. A major thrust recently has been







focused on increasing content in the TRUST Academy Online (TAO) and continuing the redesign of the TAO portal.

In diversity, TRUST has an ambitious goal of reaching a diversity goal across the Center of 30% women and 10% from underrepresented minorities. The Center has been very proactive in this regard and expanded several programs for enhancing diversity and broadening the participation of women and underrepresented minorities.

In knowledge transfer, TRUST has continued a robust program of technology transition with industry (from reporting security vulnerabilities to software vendors to various consulting activities) and active engagement with governmental agencies such as the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR), the Department of Defense (DoD), and the Department of Energy (DoE) which are all concerned with issues of cyber security and trustworthiness. Recently, this has been expanded to include key constituents in the financial sector, in particular through dialogue and exchange of ideas with the Financial Services Technology Consortium (FSTC). TRUST also has an active set of industrial partners with whom we are engaging in research and development collaborations of mutual interest.

Overall, we are happy to report that the Center is making excellent progress towards its goals, its participants are actively engaged, and the outlook is positive.







2 RESEARCH

2.1 Goals and Objectives

The TRUST vision is to provide a unique opportunity for a wide range of cyber security issues to be addressed from many points of view-technological, scientific, social, policy, and legal. Of paramount importance to TRUST is the creation of a science that will simultaneously address the imperatives of all these points of view and allow scientists and technology developers, policy makers, and social scientists to make informed and rigorous decisions with the full understanding of tradeoffs involved. We think that this new science, though exciting and far-reaching, will come about from an evolution of more traditional areas that impinge on this "science of TRUST" as theory and praxis of these areas co-evolve. In particular, the primary areas of new science creation include cryptographic protocols and supporting systems, high confidence software science, security functionality, policy and management guidance, and complex interconnected networked systems. Furthermore, TRUST will have strong, well proven ties with Information Technology (IT) vendors and commercial infrastructure providers which will serve to both ground TRUST research in real-world problems and enable avenues for knowledge and technology transfer. TRUST will have a significant impact on a national scale as its research results will lead to new concepts and doctrine for (1) public policy issues around privacy, access control, and security; (2) technology for protecting and preventing information security breaches; and (3) increased protection of the nation's critical infrastructures, most notably in the areas of electric power, telecommunication, healthcare, financial services, and military networks.

2.2 Performance and Management Indicators

TRUST projects are both continuously and periodically monitored for meeting the center's overall research objectives and the project's individual research objectives. Periodic monitoring consists of biannual meetings of all TRUST personnel where research results are presented and progress in each research thrust is formally reviewed. Continuous monitoring consists of evaluation by both the research thrust area leaders as well as by the TRUST Executive Board. The evaluation metrics are outlined in the table below.

Objective	Metric	Frequency
Scientific Impact	Publications, Presentations, Recognition	Annual
Technological Impact	Transitions, Industry Interest	Annual
Timeliness	Milestone Completion	Semi-Annual
Social Impact	Policy Papers, Legal Policy	Annual

2.3 Current and Anticipated Problems

No significant problems were encountered during the reporting period. No significant problems are anticipated in the next reporting period.

2.4 Research Thrust Areas

TRUST is addressing technical, operational, privacy, and policy challenges via interdisciplinary projects that combine fundamental science and applied research to deliver breakthrough advances in trustworthy







systems in three "grand challenge" areas. During the first three years of the center, TRUST research projects were focused on anywhere from 5 to 11 challenge areas. Evolution of the research areas has occurred due in part to consolidation of similar research interests and a collective agreement among TRUST management and campus principal investigators to focus TRUST researcher efforts in certain areas. Each research thrust was selected to encourage projects that are integrative in nature and provide opportunities for TRUST researchers to work on topics that cross disciplines and allow collaboration across campuses. An overview of the three research thrust areas is below.

- Financial Infrastructures. TRUST researchers aim to develop central science and engineering principles to ensure the long-term security, reliability, and ubiquitous usage of the nation's financial infrastructure. This comprises financial service enterprises, online retail businesses, and customers linked together in a trustworthy environment that supports commercial transactions. TRUST is addressing needs and challenges of a trusted financial infrastructure and its key components:
 - <u>Service Providers.</u> Financial service providers and online retailers interact with customers through e-mail, operate web servers, carry out back-office operations subject to rigorous security and performance requirements, have complex partnering agreements, and rely on their brand image and reputation for competitive advantage.
 - <u>*Customers.*</u> Individuals interact with financial service providers through e-mail and the web. These individuals are usually not technology experts yet they need to be assured of reliable interaction.
 - <u>Interconnection</u>. Financial infrastructure customers rely on open networking standards, browser architecture, and web application development practices. Providers may also communicate through private networks, leverage federated identity management solutions, and outsource functions to other providers through complex networking practices.
 - <u>*Policy.*</u> Financial services and online enterprises are subject to complex and overlapping regulations and evolving levels of customer awareness and sensitivities. Both policy and technology are necessary to drive security in an increasingly decentralized environment in which consumers with limited technical expertise and desire to manage security/privacy play a central role.
- Health Infrastructures. Healthcare has been characterized as a "trillion dollar cottage industry" dependent upon paper records and fragmented, error-prone approaches to service delivery. Recently, however, the healthcare industry is changing, including: the dramatic increase in the amount of information required for making health decisions, the rapidly growing use of Internet worldwide, genome research that opens up opportunity to provide personalized healthcare, and medical errors caused by failures in information management.

Information technology enables the creation of disruptive technologies that can change health care, for example the transition from paper to digital Personal Health Records (PHRs), the growing deployment and use of real-time medical decision support systems and online patient portals, and the emphasis on robust Health Information Systems (HISs). These technologies offer unique opportunities for both improving the delivery of care in medical facilities and shifting healthcare from traditional clinical settings to patient/home-centered settings. That said, adoption of these new, transformational technologies is predicated on the availability of technical solutions and design methodologies to solve problems such as the implementation of privacy requirements and the guarantee of safe operation of HISs. To address this, TRUST researchers are tackling fundamental issues affecting the design of trusted HISs that are composable from component







technologies. A primary concern in HIS design is that privacy and security requirements are frequently expressed in vague, complex and often contradictory laws and regulations. Engineering software systems that are functionally complete, able to adapt to the changing healthcare environment, and can comply with security and privacy laws and regulations is hard, if not impossible, using conventional software and systems design technology. As such, TRUST researchers are using model-based methods to offer a revolutionary way to formally and explicitly integrate privacy and security goals into HIS architectures. While this had led to progress in problem understanding and developing new foundations, TRUST researchers also place strong emphasis on experimental work. Taking advantage of the Center's partnership with the Vanderbilt University Medical Center, researchers have developed a testbed for Model Integrates TRUST research results in a platform used by the medical community for testing and validation.

• **Physical Infrastructures.** This area addresses next generation Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) and other networked embedded systems that control critical physical infrastructures (e.g., power grid, natural gas distribution, automated railroad control, water, transportation) and futuristic infrastructures such as "smart" buildings and structures" (e.g., active-bridges whose structural integrity depends on dynamic control or actuators).

In physical infrastructures using new secure SCADA systems and built on top of the emerging new technology of wireless networked embedded systems, substantive issues of ownership and control of the physical infrastructure (whether it is individuals inside their homes or the grid utility provider). Security requirements are traditionally enumerated in terms of confidentiality, availability, and integrity. In this area, confidentiality is not a primary drive. Moreover, availability is often too weak-real-time constraints must be satisfied which changes the approach for defending against denial of service attacks. Ensuring integrity, however, is important as reliable operation of critical infrastructures needs to be ensured even in cases where an adversary controls a subset of the devices (which requires addressing threats such as the physical compromise of unattended nodes deployed in the field). Additionally, privacy issues arise in this area, such as understanding what can be inferred from the use and analysis of infrastructure information (e.g., increased power draw implies somebody is at home). Moreover, when distributed networks of sensors are widely deployed, opportunities for privacy abuse arise through abuse of information that is being collected for other reasons. Future infrastructures such as smart buildings and structures portend immense data collection in places routinely occupied by individuals. TRUST researchers are addressing such privacy concerns by considering them early on in the design and development of technical solutions and in advancing policy and consumer protection awareness and understanding that will support this future.

Specific research activities in each thrust area are described in more detail in the following sections. For each area, overall objectives and a scope of work are provided as well more detailed information about specific research projects conducted.

2.4.1 Financial Infrastructures

<u>Project Leaders:</u> John Mitchell (Stanford), Doug Tygar (Berkeley)

In the TRUST Center approach to this area, we view the financial infrastructure as the combination of financial service providers, online retail businesses, and their customers, all linked together in a trustworthy environment supporting commercial transactions. While the World-Wide Web supports a range of financial transactions, we view the financial infrastructure as including Web browser,







applications, and interfaces, and also extending beyond the largely customer-oriented Web infrastructure to include companies that use the Web and back-end systems for financial purposes, their internal and interconnected back-end computer systems, and the cultural and regulatory environments in which they operate.

The complexity of the scientific, engineering, cultural, psychological, and legal challenges facing the financial infrastructure stems from several characteristics of the current environment. Foremost among them is that attacks against or within the financial infrastructure are prevalent and lucrative. The FBI estimates that computer crime costs industry \$400B/yr, with estimates of \$50B for ID theft. Another important characteristic that distinguishes this area from other TRUST grand challenge areas is that financial systems are not under control of one organization: web browsers that execute critical parts of current web applications are separately administered by non-experts. In addition, the intra-enterprise financial infrastructure is highly networked. In contrast to traditional computer systems, financial systems *critically involve computers and people*. While authentication of computer systems to each other has been widely studied, websites want to authenticate a person, not a machine. In addition, the importance of the human in the loop leads to significant legal, social, policy, and human factors issues. Finally, the financial infrastructure operates in the face of *rapid technological evolution*. Web technologies are rapidly changing, server development frameworks are similarly rapidly evolving, and the rise of ubiquitous handheld platforms provides a means for development and deployment of new technologies that will replace old ones rapidly.

Based on interviews and discussions with industry leaders and others, TRUST has identified a range of pressing current problems, including:

- *Authentication*. Financial infrastructure enterprises face challenges in reliably authenticating clients (customers) to site and sites (enterprises) to clients, for both email and web.
- *Malware*. Enterprises face sophisticated direct malware-based attacks to their information systems, and indirect attacks through malware on their customer's computers.
- *Internal Operations*. Enterprises face policy, compliance, and risk management challenges as well as continuing exposure to insider threats.

Representative research activities and accomplishments for Financial Infrastructures projects during this reporting period are described below. Corresponding future plans for these projects are described in Section 2.6.1.

- <u>Behavioral Biases in Personal Information Security: An Illusion of Control Hypothesis</u> This
 project investigates privacy and security decision making through the theoretical lenses of
 behavioral economics, and using the tools and methodologies of experimental economics, in a
 series of human subjects experiments. The goal is to inform the design of privacy and security
 technologies through behavioral studies, in order to anticipate and mitigate potential human
 cognitive and behavioral biases that emerge in the context of privacy and security decisions. In
 particular, it focuses on an "illusion of control" hypothesis and its impact on privacy and personal
 information security decision making.
- <u>Deep Automatic Error Checking of Critical Software Infrastructure</u> This project aims to statically verify security properties of large-scale, security-critical software infrastructure, such as an entire operating system or web browser. The core challenge is twofold: designing automatic analysis techniques of sufficient precision and scalability to handle real systems with millions of lines of code almost automatically and, for the inevitable small percentage of cases that cannot be





fully automated to understand what crucial information the programmer can provide in the form of limited specifications that will render the task tractable. Work associated with this project includes developing KLEE, a tool that uses a variation on symbolic execution to automatically generate test cases that execute most statements in real programs.

• <u>Building Trustworthy Medical and Emergency Response Systems using Cornell's Live Objects</u> <u>Platform</u> – This effort is focused on creating a secure, trustworthy and scalable technology for constructing a new generation of collaboration tools and applications that can be applied in health and financial settings, as well as in applications such as military search and rescue. There is a growing opportunity to use Service-Oriented Collaboration Applications in ways that can slash health-care costs, improve productivity, permit more effective search and rescue after a disaster, enable a more nimble information-enabled military, or make possible a world of professional dialog and collaboration without travel. Existing web service technologies, however, focus on applications in which all data travels through a data center. Implementing collaboration features using these technologies is problematic because collaborative applications can generate high, bursty update rates and yet often require low latencies and tight synchronization between collaborating users. One can often achieve better performance using direct client-to-client (also called peer-to-peer, or P2P) communication, but in today's SOA platforms, "side-band" communication is hard to integrate with hosted content.

This project builds on earlier TRUST-funded work to develop Cornell's Live Distributed Objects platform (Live Objects for short) which allows even a non-programmer to construct content-rich solutions that blend traditional web services and peer-to-peer technologies, and to share them with others. Currently, a number of external users are working with the platform so this project focuses on enhancing the platform with powerful new techniques for automatically specifying desired reliability properties (by designing a properties framework and language that "compiles" into the needed code in a way that achieves unique scalability and yields proofs of correctness), a security framework (driven by type checking), and understanding the complex identity management problems that arise when collaboration tools are introduced into real medical settings.

• <u>Characterizing Negative Externalities and their Effect in Security Decision-Making</u> – Deployment of security technology or practices in a network of non-cooperative agents suffers from strong negative externalities, which attackers can use to gain the upper hand. Indeed, the security investments of each agent impact the network as a whole, but do not necessarily translate in increased security for the agent investing. For instance, an individual who spends significant time and money patching and securing her machine before connecting it to the Internet nevertheless remains at the mercy of attacks that rely on other, unprotected machines, over which she has no control.

This project seeks a formal characterization of the impact of negative externalities on global network security, both from the attacker and the target's perspectives. We are combining formal, game-theoretic, modeling with behavioral experiments (user studies), and with data collection and analysis to make original contributions to the economics foundations of information security, and to demonstrate the practical benefits of this research.

• <u>Combating Fraud in On-Line Advertising</u> – Online commerce is a rapidly growing aspect of the economy and a lot of that commerce is driven by on-line advertising. Just like other aspects of the financial and commerce infrastructure are vulnerable to phishing attacks, spam, denial-of-





service attacks, and so on, on-line advertising is vulnerable to various type of fraud, including click-fraud. Successfully committing ad fraud yields direct monetary gains for attackers at the expense of the victims. Thus, it is natural to consider online ad fraud in an economic context. Through this project, in collaboration with researchers at Google, TRUST is modeling online advertising and studying various fraud and pricing issues.

- <u>Fraud Detection in Consumer Reports</u> This project seeks to determine empirically whether it is possible to detect identity theft by an analysis of a consumer report with no extrinsic information or interaction with the consumer. If such a determination is possible, it could drive policymakers to require consumer reporting agencies (CRAs) to engage in anti-fraud monitoring of reports (CRAs currently have negative incentives to engage in this analysis). With an affirmative fraud monitoring system in place, consumers could learn of identity theft in a positive manner (notice from a CRA) rather than the current situation, where consumers often learn of the problem in a negative way (such as being denied a loan or job, or being pursued by a debt collector, because of a polluted consumer report). Positive notification would mitigate the harms of identity theft and reduce losses to consumers and businesses.
- <u>Path of Identity Theft</u> This project is deconstructing the "path" of identity theft and exploring the steps taken by identity thieves in actual situations where they attempt to take control of a victim's identity. The goal is to identify and create a taxonomy of early indicia of fraud, in order to prevent and mitigate the harm of identity theft. Once understood empirically, this knowledge could be used to develop effective early detection systems for fraud, and guide federal regulators in the specification of identity theft "Red Flags," which are now required under the Fair Credit Reporting Act.
- <u>User Perceptions of Uses of Personal Information Online</u> This project is focused on building a survey application for Facebook.com using the site's API in order to both test user comprehension of third-party applications on Facebook (and the abilities of these apps and their access to the user's Facebook data) and to focus on user opinions and experiences with privacy issues on social networks.
- <u>Trustworthy and Dependable Platforms for Critical Ultra-Large-Scale Systems</u> It has become evident that a diversity of emerging software-intensive systems critical to the US financial infrastructure will need to connect huge numbers of platforms, networked together in ways that may or may not be managed centrally by a higher level point of administrative control. These mission-critical ultra-large-scale (ULS) systems present quality of service (QoS) challenges that go well beyond anything seen in today's systems and systems of systems. QoS properties required by ULS systems include (1) the low latency and jitter expected in conventional real-time systems and (2) high throughput, scalability, and reliability as expected in conventional enterprise distributed systems. Achieving these QoS properties in isolation is hard; it is even harder to achieve them simultaneously in ULS systems composed of heterogeneous and (often) undependable components.

In this project, TRUST researchers are developing and validating trustworthy and dependable platforms for critical ULS systems. These platforms are based on Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) technologies and associated educational material that can provide an assured software platform for critical ULS systems whose QoS support enables users and applications to process the right data in the right place at the right time over a much broader range of computers and networks than is possible using conventional SOA technologies. Work is focused on two







integrated thrusts: (1) Dynamic provisioning of resources for SOA-based systems, which focuses on service placement issues, such as selecting appropriate service implementations, placing the appropriate service component implementations on the most suitable nodes in a distributed system, providing varying degrees of replication depending on the importance of the service, and, in dynamic environments, ensuring QoS during service deployment time utilizing the properties of various transport protocols; and (2) QoS and trust management for SOA-based systems, which focus on assembly-wide failover management to increase availability, dynamic swapping techniques to improve performance as well as replacing potential vulnerable components, load balancing techniques to improve the scalability of ULS systems, and resolution of QoS policies which can mutually impose constraints such as reliability and low latency.

- <u>Object-Capability Graphs in Web Browsers</u> Recently, there has been a growing trend to treat JavaScript pointers as object-capabilities within web browsers in order to build safer mashups and more robust implementations of the browser's same-origin security policy. In this project, TRUST researchers are evaluating the security of this approach, suggest improvements, and, where appropriate, propose alternative techniques. The main difficulty in reasoning about systems that use object-capabilities is that one capability can lead to another. For example, if a function is given a pointer to one object, then that function is also implicitly given a pointer to all the objects pointed to by that object. Researchers are modeling these transitive grants of capabilities using a capability graph which will reveal that functions are granted more capabilities, even transitively.
- <u>Next Generation Infrastructure for In-depth Malicious Code Analysis and Defense</u> Malicious code analysis is extremely important for financial infrastructures. Attackers continuously employ creatively crafted malicious code to attack the financial infrastructures for illegal financial gains. Thus, the ability to automatically dissect a malicious code and extract information from it is an important cornerstone for forensic analysis and defense in financial infrastructures. In this project, TRUST researchers are leveraging previous work to design and develop the next generation infrastructure for in-depth malicious code analysis and defense, which will be particularly beneficial to the financial infrastructure.
- <u>Trusted Computing Platforms and Secure Network Enforcement</u> It is becoming increasing difficult to ensure security and availability of network operations in today's highly interconnected environments, partly because the networks consist of a large number of potentially malicious nodes. For example, end-hosts and network elements may be compromised by malicious software or mis-configured. Recently, large "botnets" consisting of hundreds of thousands of hosts controlled by malicious attackers have been used to launch DDoS attacks. Unfortunately, there is currently no mechanism to enforce correct behavior by each end-host in today's network.

Trusted computing technologies such as TPMs (Trusted Platform Modules) or secure processors enable critical security functions to be performed in trusted hardware even when a system is compromised or physically vulnerable. Potentially, if the core security functions of networking can be implemented in trusted hardware, such hardware can enforce security properties at each node rather than implementing complex solutions inside the network. Unfortunately, today's trusted computing techniques are ill-suited for such network enforcements. First, increasing popularity of virtualization techniques complicates the use of software-only mechanisms to establish trust in remote systems. Second, even hardware mechanisms are optional and can be easily turned off if a system is compromised. This project is developing a trusted computing







platform that enables trustworthy enforcement of network operations at each end-host along with network technologies to utilize that platform. Hardware-based mechanisms can serve as a good basis for trust because they cannot be tampered with by any software or even by an owner without substantial effort. For the trusted platform, this project is investigating (1) hardware authentication, (2) attestation, (3) isolation, and (4) enforcement components. For the network architecture and operating system stack, this project is investigating (1) network architecture primitives for enforcing correct end-host behavior, (2) designing the OS network stack to enable such an architecture, and (3) new security and reliability attributes that can be enabled with trusted network stacks. If successful, it is expected that this research will significantly increase the security and availability of network infrastructures in a way that is inexpensive and easy to deploy.

- <u>Scaffolding for Human Computer Interfaces in Financial Infrastructures</u> It is well known that most computer security failures result from human error, usually attributable to poor user interfaces. In this project, TRUST researchers are building on successful work in developing robust user interfaces that are secure from attack to include both a study of end-user user interfaces (e.g., electronic banking) as well as institutional user interfaces (both inter-institutional and intra-institutional). Specifically, this project is (1) studying existing financial user interfaces for financial applications, (3) building and analyzing prototype systems embodying those principles, (4) comparing these prototypes with existing systems and each other and evaluating them using rigorous user studies that contrast their vulnerability to attack, and (5) developing new forensic techniques to trace attacks when they do occur.
- <u>Web Security through Safe Languages</u> This project is addressing an important challenge problem for the financial infrastructure: how to improve the security of the web, both on the client side and on the server side, by building upon type-safe programming languages. Activities include (1) protecting legacy web services code against data-driven attacks, using precise (character-level) tainting for Java, (2) designing secure web templating systems, for development of new web services with inherent resistance to command injection attacks, (3) architectures and languages for privilege-separated web services, and (4) work on secure extensions for browsers.
- Economics of Managing the Interdependent Security Risks When networked parties (individuals and organizations) make decisions about their systems' security, they impact the security of the overall networked infrastructure. Thus, Internet security is interdependent security (IDS). This project is investigating the following questions: (1) What are the networked parties' incentives about security choices, given the interdependent nature of network security; (2) How would the introduction of new policies and regulations—to mitigate the divergence of individual and socially optimal incentives—affect the networked parties' incentives, and (3) How legal and regulatory channels could be jointly used to improve information structure? In this project, TRUST researchers are using game-theoretic modeling to evaluate several options (such as disclosure rules, liability regimes, introduction of mandatory user certification, and other public policies will be the most effective for improving information structure, focusing on several information inefficiencies and discuss how to alleviate them.

2.4.2 Health Infrastructures

Project Leaders: Janos Sztipanovits (Vanderbilt), Ruzena Bajcsy (Berkeley)





Over the past decade, many healthcare organizations have started embracing information technology. Since 2002, more than 90% of the approximately 5,000 member institutions of the American Hospital Association have reported having websites, with most having descriptive information about their facilities and services. A relatively small but growing fraction of health care organizations have created "patient portals" that provide secure, personalized customer services via the web. For example, Vanderbilt University's patient portal is one of the more advanced healthcare sites, providing a growing set of individualized services to more than 35,000 enrolled patients. In Europe, several national initiatives have been started to provide platforms for shared electronic health data records. For example, health@net is an Austrian initiative to develop concepts and an implementation of distributed cross-institutional health data records. The platform is targeted to support cooperation and information exchange between stakeholders in the healthcare domain like hospitals, family physicians, and pharmacies. These developments and experiences have resulted in the establishment of national goals in health information systems (HIS) that include archiving and accessing personal medical records, evidence-based personalized healthcare, and home-based healthcare delivery.

During this reporting period, four related TRUST projects targeted this area:

- Privacy and Compliance for Healthcare Organizations led by Prof. Mitchell from Stanford,
- Mining Care Provider Behaviors and Anomalies from Electronic Health Record Access Logs led by Prof. Malin of Vanderbilt,
- Experimental Platform for Model-Integrated Clinical Information Systems led by Prof. Sztipanovits from Vanderbilt, and
- Real Time Wireless Monitoring of People for Independent Living and Healthcare led by Prof. Bajcsy of UC Berkeley.

Representative research activities and accomplishments for Health Infrastructures projects during this reporting period are described below. Corresponding future plans for these projects are described in Section 2.6.2.

- <u>Real Time Wireless Monitoring of People for Independent Living and Healthcare</u> This project is exploring the feasibility of wireless technology for continuous real time monitoring people in indoor and outdoor environments. The critical issues in this paradigm are privacy, reliability, security, and robustness. The ultimate goal is an integrated system which will not only observe/monitor people in their daily activities and interactions with other people but record their location movements with sporadic or continuous feedback from either an automated system and/or a healthcare supervisor in order to encourage the user's performance goals. The information collected during this process will be stored and collide with other previously collected information in databases, such as medical records, enabling both direct feedback and later queries. While this kind of a system offers many benefits to the users and institutions, it raises serious questions about privacy, credibility, integrity of the database, and vulnerability from intruders, etc.
- <u>Privacy, Compliance, and Risk Management</u> Privacy is an increasingly important business concern in healthcare, financial services, and other organizations. In this project, TRUST investigators are building on previous work to develop approaches for modeling systems that handle sensitive information, languages for specifying privacy policies, and algorithms for their enforcement. They are also developing more extensive policy examples, such as a significant portion of HIPAA in a machine-processable format, and beginning a new direction incorporating risk management concepts into security analysis and decision making.







Specifically, activities are extending a TRUST privacy policy language, the Logic of Privacy and Utility (LPU), with internal operations (e.g., Alice uses Charlie's data to do X) to look at polices for internal use within an organization and extending LPU with data provenance concepts, which is relevant since regulations may not apply when data is publicly available.

In addition to information about individuals, privacy policies often contain clauses that refer to aggregate or anonymized information about a group of individuals. For example, the HIPAA Privacy Rule has a clause allowing "anonymized" protected health information to be released, where "anonymized" is defined to be "as certified by a qualified statistician". In order to develop computational methods for specifying and enforcing such privacy properties, it is essential to make precise the notion of "data anonymity" or "data privacy" as used in such contexts. TRUST researchers are developing theoretically well-founded definitions for data privacy concepts and integrate these concepts into our privacy policy language and enforcement methods.

One of the biggest problems that privacy-sensitive organizations face is designing their internal activities and information practices to simultaneously serve their customers or constituents effectively and manage risks from disclosure of sensitive information. This fundamental problem arises in hospitals and clinics, where personal health information must be used to provide effective health care, but must also be protected from indiscriminate sharing to respect the privacy of patients—a requirement made more precise by HIPAA. An organization must carefully design the way it processes and uses information to balance the competing goals of privacy and the usefulness, or utility, of the business process. Because considering utility or privacy alone does not provide enough information to make meaningful management decisions, TRUST researchers are developing a framework and model for designing, evaluating, and auditing business processes to achieve utility goals while minimizing privacy risks, including identifying how much and which parts can be automated and where to align incentives to ensure people act in a manner that ensures compliance with the privacy policy.

In another area, TRUST researchers have found significant interest and need in privacy and legal compliance issues, in particular the need for machine-processable versions of standard legal requirements in a form that technical people can use to make operational decisions. To address this need, TRUST researchers are developing a formal (computer) language for expressing privacy policies including the forms of rules that appear in laws like HIPAA, GLBA, COPPA, CA SB1386, etc. Challenges here include expressivity, usability, and ambiguity in laws and initial focus is on expressing the HIPAA rules in this language.

Finally, TRUST researchers are addressing some exciting research problems related to risk management and computer security, in particular modeling the risks of attack and the cost of defense in a way that supports rational decision making. Some interesting dimensions of the problem are that decision making depends on the utility function and risk tolerance/aversion of the decision maker and a goal is to develop suitable mathematical models for risk management in this context and evaluating the models by carrying out case studies involving, for example, enterprise security architectures.

<u>Access Control Across Distributed Systems</u> – In recent years, there have been a number of organization in healthcare industries (e.g., Kaiser Permanente, Mayo Clinic, CVS Pharmacy) adopting centralized healthcare management systems, such as those provided by Google and Microsoft. Centralization, while providing convenience, unfortunately has the side effect of







granting these for-profit organizations access to a large number of people's private health information. An alternative solution to centralization is distribution—letting organizations and individuals store healthcare information in separate repositories, while making it possible for all the information be accessible by the relevant party easily and securely. In this project, TRUST researchers are studying how to make it easy to write codes that operate on a distribution of data while ensuring confidentiality.

- <u>Software Reliability</u> This project aims to improve software reliability through program analysis. TRUST researchers are working in a number of areas, including (1) automatic generation of Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) and SQL injection attacks with goal-directed model checking, (2) development of UniFI, a tool that attempts to automatically detect dimension errors in Java programs, to automate the detection of dimension errors in Java programs, (3) introduction and inference of stationary fields to better understand and detect errors in new code additions by developing an efficient algorithm for inferring which fields are stationary in a program, based on the observation that many fields acquire their value very close to object creation.
- <u>Mining Care Provider Behaviors and Anomalies from Electronic Health Record Access Logs</u> The goal of this project is to develop methods, implemented in working software, that automatically monitor how users (e.g., physicians) access the records of subjects (e.g., patients) and flag potentially privacy-compromising actions (e.g., an unauthorized "peek"). The definition of such behaviors will assist healthcare organization officials in understanding how their employees function and collaborate so that policies do not preclude existing complex workflows. This project builds on previous work of TRUST researchers focused on building a software toolkit and real medical record access logs repository from the Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC) and proof-of-concept pattern mining from such a repository. In this project, TRUST researchers are expanding methods to mine patterns on a larger scale with a focus on sequential patterns of record access and exploring how to translate the clinical patterns our methods learn into formal workflows for integration with model-based computing and a logical privacy specification.
- <u>Experimental Platform for Model-Integrated Clinical Information Systems</u> This project is focused on the development of an experimental platform for Model-Integrated Clinical Information Systems (MICIS). The role of MICIS is to provide a common integration testbed for security- and privacy-aware Clinical Information Systems (CIS). The MICIS architecture includes a component integration platform and model integration platform: the component integration platform is based on a standard Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) framework that is extended with policy evaluation and enforcement capabilities and the model integration platform is built on Vanderbilt's metaprogrammable Model-Integrated Computing (MIC) toolsuite. The system models capture workflows, services, organizations, roles, messages, message attributes, deployment, and access control and security policies. The generated artifacts include workflow descriptions in WS-BPEL, web service descriptors in WSDL, and access control and privacy policies in Prolog. TRUST researchers are also applying the experimental platform in three ongoing cooperative efforts between ISIS and the Vanderbilt University Medical Center.
- <u>DexterNet Medical Infrastructure</u> This project builds on prior TRUST research and links the DexterNet and the Vanderbilt University Medical Center systems. DexterNet, a small-scale wireless sensor networking environment for remote healthcare, is being extended to include a privacy-aware framework for handling information out of the Nokia N800 handheld device.





TRUST researchers are leveraging other TRUST sensor networking testbed by installing the action recognition system on several DexterNet motes, generating multiple streams of data for processing by the system. This enables the system to include basic activity level, action recognition, GPS location, and potentially heart and breathing data as available streams, creating a data-rich environment from which to begin exploring privacy-enhancing mechanisms.

2.4.3 Physical Infrastructures

<u>Project Leaders:</u> Steve Wicker (Cornell), Adrian Perrig (Carnegie Mellon), Shankar Sastry (Berkeley)

The nation's critical infrastructure—the power grid, telecommunications, water transport, interstate highways, etc.—constitute an immense investment. The financial investment takes the form of sunk costs and ongoing development and maintenance, while human investment is ongoing through development, maintenance, and regulatory organizations at the state and federal level. Infrastructure is clearly critical to the national economy. National modes of production depend on the functionality of critical infrastructures. Furthermore, multiple positive externalities derived from the establishment of critical infrastructure have created secondary and tertiary dependencies (e.g., air traffic control dependence on power and telecom infrastructure).

The TRUST Center recognizes that increasing complexity and 21st century security requirements demand new approaches to control, security, and long-term maintenance. Our work has been based on multidisciplinary, multi-institutional research projects. The effort also extends across theory, technology, policies, and testbeds. For example, Berkeley, Carnegie Mellon, and Cornell have worked together on threat models, attack detection and attack-resilient models, and control-theoretic approaches to security. Vanderbilt, Carnegie Mellon, and Berkeley have developed an experimental SCADA testbed for use by Center personnel and external researchers. Cornell, Stanford, and Berkeley have worked together on technology and science support for development of privacy policies

Representative research activities and accomplishments for Physical Infrastructures projects during this reporting period are described below. Corresponding future plans for these projects are described in Section 2.6.3.

- <u>TRUST SCADA Testbed: Infrastructure and Experiments</u> The goal of this project is to provide an experimental testbed with well-documented examples for SCADA research in the TRUST community by building up tool-supported experimental machinery and prototypical experiments. Using existing generic tools available from previous research (MIC tools) and customizing them to provide experimental support, TRUST researchers are developing a fully integrated toolchain where infrastructure plant models can be created and deployed on the plant simulator and a replica SCADA system can be modeled and deployed on the platform. The resulting testbed will be modular, composable (i.e., plug-n-play capabilities), and configurability via graphical interfaces, will have remote experiments which will be conducted, documented, and results published for other researchers to use as examples and templates to build their own experiments. TRUST researchers are also developing research challenge problems whose solutions will be evaluated via testbed experiments. The desired outcome is an accessible, shared, and easily reproducible research platform, as well as experimental results and educational materials for teaching and experimentation.
- <u>A Low Power Hardware Platform for Secure Embedded Systems</u> The goal of this project is to develop an ultra low power hardware platform for secure embedded sensing. TRUST researchers are using a combination of expertise in ultra low power asynchronous processor design and rapid





prototyping via an FPGA-based approach to evaluate the necessary trade-offs between hardware and software. The net result of this project will be a security-aware ultra low power asynchronous microprocessor suitable for embedded sensing.

• <u>Empirical Investigations of Privacy</u> – Individuals' privacy concerns emanate from both online and offline sources: information sharing on social networking sites, new location-based services such as Google StreetView, and offline venues such as video surveillance and other systems that network physical places. Often the privacy concerns and objections of individuals fall outside what existing privacy law protects. For example, public and government objections to Google StreetView motivated the company to institute facial and license plate blurring within images of public streets, sidewalks, and street side facades throughout the StreetView product. Similarly, individuals object to police use of CCTV on public streets despite a legal framework that offers little to no protection. While multiple theories of privacy—and its relationship to technology have been proposed, little empirical effort has been undertaken to document and understand how individuals conceptualize privacy on the ground. What problems do individuals perceive as "privacy" problems? How do they frame and articulate their objections? What animates their concerns? What does this tell us about the sufficiency of existing policy and technical approaches to privacy protection?

To that end, TRUST researchers are conducting empirical investigation of several datasets that contain information about the privacy objections individuals raise in relation to Internet applications and services. Current U.S. law provides limited protection for privacy and individuals experiencing privacy harms often shy away from the added publicity that generally attends litigation. Therefore, examining lawsuit problems provide limited insight into contested privacy issues on the Internet. Fortunately, through the collection of data and access to existing data sets about interpersonal and group efforts at norm enforcement in social networks, other forms of private ordering, dispute resolution, and other "below the radar" efforts to protect privacy TRUST researchers are assembling a rich understanding of privacy's meaning in an everyday life influenced by these new technologies.

- <u>Intrusion Detection for Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) Systems</u> A hybrid, two-stage intrusion detection system (IDS) for mobile ad hoc networks has been developed through previous TRUST funding. The framework for this IDS has the flexibility to also monitor physical infrastructures. The goal of this project is to investigate the deployment of the IDS within Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems, leveraging the collaborative development of the SCADA testbed within TRUST (Berkeley, Carnegie Mellon, and Vanderbilt) to enhance the intrusion detection capability within the remote terminal units (RTUs) of the SCADA architecture. The approach starts with the assumption that the SCADA system has been compromised then attempts to identify the deviancy of the compromised nodes and minimize the negative consequences of those nodes on the overall SCADA system.
- <u>Analysis tools for Classes of Attacks and Defenses of Networked Embedded Control Systems</u> Growing concern has recently risen on the vulnerabilities of the country's strategic physical infrastructures to security attack. The extensive use of information and communication technology (ICT) has made easier to gain access to system components, increasingly connected to the internet. Distributed Control Systems (DCS) and SCADA infrastructures are of particular interest, as they are usually the basis for sensing and control of large critical infrastructures such as power, gas, water, and industrial plants. This project is developing system theoretic tools for the design and analysis of attack detection schemes and attack-resilient estimation and control







algorithms together with an evaluation of the potential consequences of an attack. Significant advances are expected in modeling attacks, in developing model based detection schemes specific to cyber-physical systems and, in designing attack-resilient estimation and control algorithms.

• <u>Defense-in-Depth Intrusion Detection and Intrusion Tolerant Control for SCADA Systems</u> – The cyber-physical security of real-time, continuous systems necessitates a comprehensive view and holistic understanding of network security, control theory and the physical system. Ultimately, any viable technical solutions and research directions in securing SCADA systems must lie in the conjunction of computer security, communication network and control engineering. However, the very large installed base of such systems means that in many instances we must for a long time to come rely on retrofitted security mechanisms, rather than having the option to design them in from scratch This leads to a pressing need for deployable, robust, SCADA-specific intrusion detection systems (IDS) and intrusion tolerant control techniques.

This project is developing IDS technology and intrusion tolerant control techniques that can (1) efficiently detect and block cyber intrusions into SCADA systems in real operational environments, and in real-time, (2) without interrupting the control performance of the protected system, (3) without creating extra operational burden or operational reservations due to false alarms, (4) in the presence of both malicious and messily benign network traffic. The resulting system must operate in a real-time, robust fashion, with performance adequate to meet the demands of the dynamic cyber-physical interactions inherent to SCADA systems.

- <u>Data Aggregation Schemes for SCADA</u> This project is developing a theory of aggregation of SCADA data through in-network processing and combining it with a routing scheme. Given the potentially enormous quantities of data collected by SCADA systems, it would be useful to utilize an aggregation scheme that considers spatial correlation of information. This project is investigating variations of direct diffusion and related content-aware routing schemes as well as a network using nodes with IP addresses, given the ample support to such networks and the all-IP features of next-generation cellular systems.
- <u>Privacy-Aware Design Rules for Networking Infrastructure</u> This project is developing a framework for privacy-aware engineering design. The Fair Information Practices proposed in Records, Computers, and the Rights of Citizens (HEW 1973) can be translated into privacy-aware engineering design rules. These rules begin with an absolute imperative to limit information collection to explicit and publicly expressed mission requirements. This simple imperative flows into a mandate for distributed information processing, anonymity-preserving information routing and tracking functions, and strong distinctions between identifying active equipment and identifying operators and owners. This project will result in a set of clear design rules and several test cases, including 3G cellular, which demonstrate that full functionality can be retained without the massive accumulation of personal information.
- <u>Privacy Concerns in Upcoming Demand-Response Systems</u> TRUST researchers are exploring the confluence of sensor networking, power distribution, privacy, and security issues that will emerge from a substantial increase in power system monitoring at the consumer level.

2.5 Research Metrics/Indicators

A key component of the Center research lifecycle is the monitoring and evaluation of individual projects. TRUST projects are both continuously monitored and periodically reviewed to ensure that they support







the Center's overall research goals and make progress against the project's research objectives. The evaluation metrics are described below.

- Scientific Impact How significantly does the project contribute to the knowledge base and general understanding of advances in the research area? This impact is typically measured by the number of published papers, presentations in open research conferences, and awards or other recognition for contributions to the research field.
- **Technological Impact** How well does the project advance the state-of-the-art or state-of-thepractice in the research area? This impact typically is measured by ways in which research results are transitioned to industry, government, or the end-user community and examples where research results have been leveraged by industry in the creation of commercial or open source technologies.
- **Timeliness** How effectively does the project meet its planned milestones? This is an evaluation of the actual project progress and advancement against planned activities, milestones, and deliverables.
- Social Impact How well does the project contribute in ways that benefit society as a whole? This impact may be measured in terms of how the project research has influenced the development or refinement of public policies, federal, state, and local legislation, and legal decisions.

The TRUST Executive Committee continuously monitors Center research projects. If it seems unlikely that a particular project will meet its planned goals or objective or is not delivering the desired impact in one or more evaluation areas, that project will be ramped down in a period not to exceed six months from the determination of its lack of viability.

2.6 Next Reporting Period Research Plans

The goal of the TRUST research areas is to set the Center's strategic research agenda and align individual projects in such a way that they support the strategic research objectives. Because trustworthiness is an extremely broad field and TRUST does not have the resources to cover the entire spectrum of challenges, we have annually strived to focus TRUST research in areas where the Center could have the most impact. During the first three years, the research areas enabled TRUST researchers to both pursue specific research directions that the Principal Investigators believed were important and study application areas with an eye towards better understanding the landscape. The sections below provide a description of the planned TRUST research areas for the next reporting period. For each center thrust, the name(s) and institution(s) of the lead TRUST faculty member(s) is included.

2.6.1 Financial Infrastructures

Thrust Leaders: John Mitchell (Stanford University), Doug Tygar (Berkeley)

Representative research projects in the Financial Infrastructures area were described in Section 2.4.1; representative future plans for the next reporting period for those projects are summarized below.

• <u>Behavioral Biases in Personal Information Security: An Illusion of Control Hypothesis</u> – We propose to investigate privacy and security decision making through the theoretical lenses of behavioral economics, and using the tools and methodologies of experimental economics, in a series of human subjects experiments. Our goal is to inform the design of privacy and security technologies through behavioral studies, in order to anticipate and mitigate potential human cognitive and behavioral biases that emerge in the context of privacy and security decisions. In particular, we will focus on an "illusion of control" hypothesis and its impact on privacy and personal information security decision making.







The PI has already obtained Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval for two studies and is negotiating approval with Carnegie Mellon IRB for the third study. With sufficient budget to recruit and pay human subjects to participate in the studies, the researchers expect to be able to run the studies within the first 3-5 months from the start of the project, leaving sufficient time for possible follow-up studies (based on the results of the first three studies), as well as the writing and dissemination of the results. The researchers expect to submit the completed results of at least a subset of the studies within the 12 months since the start of the project, and submit a cumulative journal article (combining the different studies) by the end of the project.

- <u>Deep Automatic Error Checking of Critical Software Infrastructure</u> TRUST researchers have been developing KLEE, a tool that uses a variation on symbolic execution to automatically generate test cases that execute most statements in real programs. The long term goal is to be able to take programs of 100K-1M lines and automatically run most statements in them. We are currently in the 10K or less size. Adding another zero or two will mainly require:
 - More clever search heuristics: While the set of paths is exponential, the number of "interesting" paths is not. We have developed (and will further develop) ways to merge equivalent paths (even when they differ superficially) and to reach unexecuted statements.
 - More clever constraint solver tricks: While constraint solving in general is NP-hard, people program in particular, not in general. Thus, exploiting the regularities in the constraints generated by code can give exponential speedups.

In the short term, TRUST researchers are taking 50+ network applications and extending the techniques in KLEE to obtain 90%+ coverage on them. Given that this code is network exposed, improving its security in a non-trivial way will be a significant practical result. For each bug found, KLEE is able to generate an attack that will trigger it—i.e., the concrete packet sequence that when sent to an un-instrumented copy of the program will crash it. Researchers will use this ability to focus developer attention on fixing those errors.

In the next reporting period, TRUST researchers also plan to focus on scaling the new buffer overrun techniques up to both the entire Linux OS and Firefox. The goal is to automatically check at least 90% of buffer accesses automatically, and to understand what the limits of static analysis are with respect to any remaining, unverified buffer accesses.

- <u>Building Trustworthy Medical and Emergency Response Systems using Cornell's Live Objects</u> <u>Platform</u> –TRUST researchers will expand by using real problems derived from dialogs with the health, financial, and military sectors as drivers. They will build simple applications but will extract new challenge questions from them, which can then be tackled through a mixture of theoretical and practical methods and ultimately used to push the envelope on the platform, motivate papers and research talks, and to help other educators get these sorts of ideas and solutions into the hands of their students. TRUST researchers are also hoping to create a widearea "second life" environment, based on live objects: a potential killer application for this work that could attract a very high level of interest in our effort.
- <u>Characterizing Negative Externalities and their Effect in Security Decision-Making</u> TRUST researchers will build on the work done to enhance existing game-theoretic models by accounting for limited information (including information asymmetries), improper strategy execution, and general utility functions, to gather and analyze data through case studies, and to design and run







preliminary (pilot) user studies to abstract into operational variables the key human factors involved. Next reporting period, TRUST researchers will focus on enriching models, based on the information gleaned from the case studies, and on running additional user studies with more complex game structures accounting for more strategic attackers, and for prescribed intervention mechanisms.

- <u>Combating Fraud in On-Line Advertising</u> TRUST researchers plan to continue work on online advertising and fraud and continue to study a variety of privacy issues related to large scale data management.
- <u>Fraud Detection in Consumer Reports</u> TRUST researchers have developed a strong working relationship with ID Watchdog and have gained a greater understanding of the company's data modeling. Additional milestones include meeting with the ID Watchdog's data team to create a system for collecting this data for empirical analysis, analyzing the data collected, and writing a report detailing the results—one measure of success being empirical observations about the ability to detect fraud from consumer reports. A future impact is also significant public policy outcome by showing that if it is possible to detect fraud in this way, this report could build a record that would support creating greater incentives for CRAs to perform anti-fraud analyses.
- <u>Path of Identity Theft</u> Planned work in this project is similar to the previous project with one measure of success being the ability to make empirical statements concerning the initial steps impostors take when stealing identities.
- <u>User Perceptions of Uses of Personal Information Online</u> Future activities of this project focus on the completion of a Facebook survey application using the site's API, including (1) finalizing survey questions, (2) completing the application design, including: user interface design, question delivery logic, database creation, installation "incentive" (whether we straightforwardly appeal to our subjects' sense of duty to take a survey or provide an incentive for installing the app and taking the survey, such as a prize or user feedback/game), (3) data gathering and analysis, and (4) identification of potential publishing venues based upon findings.
- <u>Trustworthy and Dependable Platforms for Critical Ultra-Large-Scale Systems</u> Proposed future work builds on current accomplishments by incorporating and enhancing the following technologies and research to support maintaining QoS for pub/sub middleware via autonomic adaptation:
 - Supervised machine learning to address timely adaptation to dynamic environments and managing multiple interacting QoS requirements by selecting in a timely manner an appropriate transport protocol and protocol parameters given specified QoS and a particular environment configuration. The machine learning component will include features for several different environment configurations and supervised training to learn the correct protocol and parameters for a given environment configuration. The machine learning will interpolate and extrapolate its learning based on the current environment configuration, which might not have been included in the supervised training.
 - Environment monitoring to address timely adaptation to dynamic environments by providing environment configuration information. Relevant environment configuration values will be monitored as needed such as the number of subscribers, the percentage of network packet loss, and the sending rate of the data. These monitored values will be input to the machine learning component to determine an appropriate network transport and accompanying parameters.







- Autonomic adaptation to address timely adaptation to dynamic environments and managing multiple interacting QoS requirements by (1) querying relevant values from the environment monitoring, (2) activating the machine learning component which will determine an appropriate transport protocol and parameters, (3) retrieving the recommended protocol settings, and (4) transitioning the adaptive network transports to use the recommended settings.
- Incorporation of common general and domain-specific data distribution profiles to determine system behavior and performance, e.g., when certain data types are more popular or in higher demand than others. Cornell personnel (e.g., Ýmir Vigfússon) are conducting research to characterize data distribution profiles, while Vanderbilt personnel are researching behavior of QoS-enabled pub/sub middleware utilizing the profiles.
- <u>Object-Capability Graphs in Web Browsers</u> –This project will evaluate the security of objectcapability systems used in web browsers. Using a common set of techniques, TRUST researchers will investigate the object-capability systems used at distinct layers in web browsers. TRUST researchers plan to build a number of tools for extracting capability graphs from web browsers. For example, instrumenting the JavaScript heap to record the points-to relation among JavaScript objects and implementing an XPCOM interface parser and type inference system to deduce the relation between XPCOM types in Firefox. It is expected that these tools will be useful for future research projects and for automated testing of web browsers.
- Next Generation Infrastructure for In-depth Malicious Code Analysis and Defense -TRUST researchers plan to enhance and apply the analysis infrastructure in several different directions to take on a variety of further security threats. First, in the area of automatic directed testing, TRUST researchers will apply the infrastructure for symbolic execution and test input generation to search for vulnerabilities in security-relevant software of both defensive and offensive varieties. For instance, vulnerabilities in defensive software like virus checkers or intrusion detection systems could allow malicious software to escape detection or even allow compromise themselves. On the other hand, vulnerabilities in malicious software such as botnet clients could allow new possibilities for containing their spread or preventing them from doing harm. Second, building on existing work in understanding the protocols that malicious binary programs use for network communication, TRUST researchers want to apply related techniques to automatically understand the internal communications between functional elements in a malicious code sample. Such information about internal structure could, for instance, allow a decryption function used by a botnet to be extracted and reused for network monitoring, and understanding the functional decomposition of a binary would allow many other kinds of analysis to be applied in a more scalable way. Third, TRUST researchers plan to move beyond simple heuristics for control dependencies and develop techniques that apply more generally in both dynamic and static analysis contexts to address control dependencies, another challenging area for tainting and symbolic-execution based code analysis, in which one part of a program affects the execution of a later part not by directly modifying data that it reads, but by making control decisions that have an indirect effect on later execution. Finally, TRUST researchers plan to use the analysis infrastructure to investigate architectures for building more secure systems in the future. For instance, one reason that present systems are difficult to secure is software at many different levels must access and process sensitive information, and implementation or design flaws at any of these levels might allow compromise (e.g., allowing confidential information to be revealed, or allowing an attacker control of data he should not have). It is widely agreed that these difficulties could be ameliorated by shrinking the amount of code that must be trusted in this way, but it is difficult to do so while preserving all of the complex functionality of modern systems. In order to







better understand the design possibilities for future secure systems, TRUST researchers plan to study how sensitive information is processed in large systems (e.g., the combination of JavaScript code, a web browser, a windowing system, and an operating system that are involved in using an e-commerce web application). By examining which software accesses sensitive data in current-generation systems, the goal is to look for patterns of unnecessary access and evaluate the prospects for reducing the amount of trusted code in new architectures.

- <u>Trusted Computing Platforms and Secure Network Enforcement</u> TRUST researchers plan to focus more on the network aspect of the project and further develop the hardware platform based on the needs that are more specific to the network enforcement.
- <u>Scaffolding for Human Computer Interfaces in Financial Infrastructures</u> A near-term milestone is the implementation of prototype systems based on design principles proposed and a refined list of forensic techniques. Longer-term milestones include user studies on various prototype systems (and contrast with existing systems), user studies on forensic techniques, seminar presentations on secure interface design, and the release of final version of educational modules. Additionally, a release is planned for defense mechanisms for learning systems in hostile environments as is the spin-off of a commercialized version of the usability testing system and an open source version of the testbeds.
- <u>Web Security through Safe Languages</u> This project aims to provide strong security for the web, including securing both servers and clients—and as type-safe languages are a powerful foundation for this work, plan are to build upon Java (on the server-side) and JavaScript (on clients) and study both how to retrofit legacy code for security, as well as how to design new systems that are inherently resilient to certain classes of attacks. On the server side, work will (1) develop methods for securing legacy web application code written against a broad variety of data-driven attacks, including cross-site scripting attacks, SQL injection attacks, path manipulation attacks, and others, (2) facilitate construction of new web services code, in a way that ensures security against these and other attacks, and (3) demonstrate how new languages and system architectures can provide improved security for server-side web application code. On the client side, work will (1) study how to provide security for browser extensions, in particular how tools could partially automate and make more efficient the current manual process of analyzing new browser extensions, and (2) develop new models for extension construction that better support this kind of review process, using ideas derived from proof-carrying code (particularly, policy-carrying code).
- <u>Economics of Managing the Interdependent Security Risks</u> During the next reporting period, the focus will be on modeling the effects of asymmetric information in the presence of user and provider heterogeneity and considering various forms of regulatory interventions, such as disclosure rules, liability regimes, and the introduction of mandatory user certification. The legal component of this work relates to exploring how a legal framework should evolve to facilitate enabling prosecution of international crimes (e.g., bank fraud and identity theft) driven by Internet insecurity and reducing inefficiencies driven by the separation of rights for information ownership and its control in application to privacy and data collection issues.

2.6.2 Health Infrastructures

Thrust Leader: Janos Sztipanovits (Vanderbilt University), Ruzena Bajcsy (Berkeley)

Representative research projects in the Health Infrastructures area were described in Section 2.4.2; representative future plans for the next reporting period for those projects are summarized below.





- <u>Real Time Wireless Monitoring of People for Independent Living and Healthcare</u> TRUST researchers plan to strengthen the collaboration between this project and the "Experimental Platform for Model-Integrated Clinical Information Systems" project. The planned joint effort will include the following key components:
 - Targeting post-operative home-based monitoring of Congestive Heart Failure (CHF) patients.
 - Extending the system to collect, analyze, give feedback, and securely transmit heart failure patient medical data from different home medical devices to the clinical information system.
 - Developing a decision support system for the treatment management of CHF patients based on the STEEP (Sepsis Treatment Enhanced through Electronic Protocolization) toolset developed by TRUST researchers at Vanderbilt.
 - Supporting the delivery of treatment recommendations from decision support system to the patients.
- Privacy, Compliance, and Risk Management In the area of Logical Specification and Enforcement of Privacy Regulations, TRUST researchers plan to continue work on logical expression and enforcement of privacy regulations. Specifically, continue efforts to formalize substantial fragments of HIPAA, GLBA and FERPA, building on prior work and extending the logic with features that appear in such regulations-dynamic and parametric roles, delegation, real-time, exceptions and cross-references, to name a few. In the area of Privacy-Preserving Aggregate Information Sharing, TRUST researchers plan to investigate a systematic foundation for privacy guarantees building on prior work on differential privacy for probabilistic systems and reasoning about privacy-preserving aggregate information sharing in organizational processes and distributed systems. This is particularly relevant in the context of processes in health care institutions that deal with both individual and aggregate information, and privacy policies (e.g., HIPAA) impose constraints on flows of both kinds of information. In the area of Information Risk Management, TRUST researchers plan to address issues associated with privacy violations that occur when organizational processes and controls in place to ensure that privacy expectations are respected by employees are violated. Since enforcing controls comes at a cost, and complete monitoring is typically infeasible, organizations have to manage their privacy risks by designing and taking into account the incentives of the employees and the external auditor. TRUST researchers view this as a mechanism design problem and are currently working on a repeated game model with reputation effects to model the interaction among the organization, its employees, and the external auditor with plans to evaluate the model by carrying out case studies of organizational processes in hospitals and BPO's. Finally, in the area of HIPAA Formalization and Demonstration, TRUST researchers will continue to develop formalization of HIPAA and to develop demonstrations systems based on this and make the Prolog presentation of HIPAA an open-source project so that any researcher or user (hospital or clinic) can use it—allowing others to contribute, hopefully leading to greater confidence in the accuracy of the formalization of HIPAA.
- <u>Access Control Across Distributed Systems</u> TRUST researchers plan to create an application development platform and a reference implementation to demonstrate how it should be done. The ideas to be explored include (1) a distributed semantic web of information where access control is provide at the granularity of individual data tuples, (2) a distributed data base query language that hides the details of distribution from the end user, (3) a distributed database system that automatically enforces the compliance of access control policies, (4) a programming





language that uses information flow control to safeguard against application coding errors, and (5) an integration between the application and query language to implement access control and information flow control. The plan is to create an open API so others can build inter-operable components independently

- <u>Software Reliability</u> Many modern software platforms today, including browsers, middleware server architectures, cell phone operating systems, and web application engines support third-party software extensions. TRUST researchers plan to develop an object-oriented approach that enables platform developers to efficiently enforce fine-grain safety checks on third-party extensions without requiring their cooperation. This enable harnessing the true power of third-party software by giving it access to sensitive data while ensuring that it does not leak data.
- <u>Mining Care Provider Behaviors and Anomalies from Electronic Health Record Access Logs</u> Future activities are planned in four areas: (1) Develop noise filtering methods to maximize signal strength (i.e., workflows discovered) and minimize false positives (i.e., access anomalies detected); (2) Evaluate how various temporal and sequential pattern mining algorithms for categorical data function in the context of medical record access logs. Then, based on the results, determine how best to adapt such algorithms to incorporate organization-specific knowledge (e.g., clinical features and user-department assignments); (3) Investigate how to transform probabilistic workflows within the clinical environment into model-based computing. This will entail the docking of workflows to service-oriented computing languages; (4) Investigate how to transform workflows into temporal logic-based languages to specify and detect deviations from (or conflicts with) workflows in a formal manner.
- <u>Experimental Platform for Model-Integrated Clinical Information Systems</u> TRUST researchers plan to strengthen the collaboration between this project and the "Privacy and Compliance for Healthcare Organizations" project. The planned joint effort will include the following key components:
 - Separating static and dynamic structural and policy constraints, where static constraints can be checked design time, while dynamic constraints need to be checked runtime.
 - Developing consistency checking tools for resolving conflicts between functional models and policies.
 - Introducing constructive modeling in the design flow for repairing functional models that contradict policy requirements.
 - Developing a suite of model transformation tools that can translate dynamic structural and policy constraints into Horn logic.

TRUST researchers also plan to strengthen the collaboration between this project and the "Real Time Wireless Monitoring of People for Independent Living and Healthcare" project as described in that project's future plans.

• <u>DexterNet Medical Infrastructure</u> – Work will continue to strengthen the link between DexterNet and the Vanderbilt University Medical Center systems, while further developing connections in the privacy work at Cornell and Vanderbilt.

2.6.3 Physical Infrastructures

<u>**Thrust Leaders:**</u> Steve Wicker (Cornell), Adrian Perrig (Carnegie Mellon), Shankar Sastry (Berkeley) Representative research projects in the Physical Infrastructures area were described in Section 2.4.3; representative future plans for the next reporting period for those projects are summarized below.





- <u>TRUST SCADA Testbed: Infrastructure and Experiments</u> TRUST researchers plan to expand a working prototype testbed. While the current setup is operational, it is difficult to use and it does not have remote access capability so in order to overcome such limitations, TRUST researchers will develop software tools to increase ease of use, such as graphical tools, a remote access capability, and an attack models interface and create experimental research examples to demonstrate the testbed's flexibility. Expected deliverables are (1) testbed implementation available on the web, (2) a website documenting all testbed details and providing interface to the experiment documentation and repository, (3) documentation for the use of the testbed, (4) tools to configure, deploy, execute, and analyze the testbed experiments, and (5) sample experiments (stored in some form of repository).
- A Low Power Hardware Platform for Secure Embedded Systems Future activities are focused on a SNAP2 Implementation and an FPGA-Based Prototype. For the SNAP2 Implementation, the primary activity is to complete the implementation of the SNAP processor with AES support. The physical chip implementation is underway and a complete transistor-level description of the modified SNAP processor is complete. Work on optimizing the transistor sizes to reduce power consumption while maintaining the current operating frequency of the processor is planned as preliminary results indicate that the power consumption can be significantly reduced even compared to what is currently reported. Planned work will also investigate the susceptibility of the SNAP2 implementation to side-channel attacks and the development of a mode to support a larger external ROM to "fake" the presence of on-chip FLASH memory for instruction storage. For the FPGA-Based Prototype, plans are to complete the full verification of the RTL model for SNAP which will require some back-annotation to match the model to the enhanced version of SNAP that supports the extended instruction set. Additional planned activities will enhance the integration with the compiler tools developed by TRUST researchers at Cornell and emulating the behavior of SNAP to reduce the execution time of applications and possibly using the FPGAbased prototype to interface with other components pending the final chip fabrication. For elliptic curve cryptography, planned activities will further evaluate the hardware and software costs in support of SNAP, the limiting factor for ECC in SNAP being the memory size, including investigating techniques to reduce the memory requirements for ECC in order to encrypt the AES keys for network transmission.
- Empirical Investigations of Privacy This project will use available data sets and, through surveys and interviews, generate new data to understand existing conceptions of privacy and the privacy management strategies employed by individuals. Planned activities include examining complaints and notices sent to search engines and service providers as well as to the third-party clearinghouse ChillingEffects.org for privacy concerns and performing a content analysis to identify privacy concerns and violations both according to type of service offered (search, photo sharing, etc.) and across all services. Also planned are surveys and interviews to document the practices individuals on social networks use to manage information about themselves. This work will explore three specific conceptions of privacy: control over presentation of self, intrusion upon seclusion or solitude or into private affairs (including family life), and public disclosure of embarrassing private facts. Activities will explore three distinct sets of strategies: how individuals manage information under their control, how they attempt to manage information about them controlled by peers (such as photos, stories, buddy lists etc.), and how they attempt to manage information about them in relation to application and service providers.
- <u>Intrusion Detection for Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) Systems</u> One of the challenges of protecting the SCADA system is providing fault tolerance and recovery within





the network. While TRUST researchers have examined intrusion detection, the natural extension is to provide some mechanism to reassign network tasks if some nodes are compromised. TRUST researchers have developed a task reallocation strategy that will optimally identify nodes that can provide identical resources of the compromised node, and reassign the network tasks accordingly. A caching scheme helps to minimize the network traffic overhead. This reallocation process is based upon information obtained from the application layer instead of monitoring all network traffic, thus minimizing the cost of monitoring all network packets. This work will leverage the TRUST SCADA testbed to provide sample underlying network traffic patterns for analysis, including the following:

- o Network recovery vs. network demands
- Network recovery vs. power demands
- Maintenance overhead costs for reliable reallocation methods
- <u>Analysis tools for Classes of Attacks and Defenses of Networked Embedded Control Systems</u> Planned activities will (1) identify and classify known cyber-incidents to control systems based on three categories: accidents, non-targeted attacks (e.g., worms spreading in control systems software) and targeted attacks, (2) develop a taxonomy of the vulnerabilities of control systems, and outline the current security posture and current efforts for securing control systems, (3) identifying the incentives for asset owners and vendors for deploying systems with the best security practices, and (4) outline a research plan for defense in depth of control systems.
- <u>Defense-in-Depth Intrusion Detection and Intrusion Tolerant Control for SCADA Systems</u> Future plans will further develop "normalcy checking", that is, a combination of techniques designed to capture two envelopes of possible system activity: (1) definitely safe operations and (2) definitely unsafe operations. When identifiable, the first of these can be safely ignored; the second merits immediate attention/blocking; and the middle ground between the two requires additional analysis. The first technique will draw upon in this regard is specification-based intrusion detection that constructs the control system's overall allowable behavior, that is, as seen from the application level, and reflecting the monitored plant dynamics, including its valid extreme cases. The second uses encodings of misuse signatures and their possible variants. The third draws upon models derived from the control system's formal dynamics; this aspect is unique to the problem domain and holds great promise.
- <u>Data Aggregation Schemes for SCADA</u> Work will continue to develop a theory of aggregation of SCADA data through in-network processing and combine it with a routing scheme.
- <u>Privacy-Aware Design Rules for Networking Infrastructure</u> Planned activities will advance the development of a privacy-aware telecommunication system. Areas to be addressed are providing full disclosure of data collection, requiring consent to data collection, minimizing the collection of personal data, minimizing the identification of data with individuals, and minimizing and securing data retention. These guidelines will be applied to the development of a privacy-aware cellular network, showing how functionality can be retained without accumulating user location information.
- <u>Privacy Concerns in Upcoming Demand-Response Systems</u> Planned future work includes further improving behavior extraction algorithms by using Markov Chain and Lempel-Ziv based predictive algorithms originally used, and already proven to be effective, within the context of home automation. It is also planned to further develop the disclosure metric, which associates





data quality (accuracy of readings, time resolution, types of readings, etc) from a particular source with the information that may potentially be disclosed by the data.







3 EDUCATION

3.1 Goals and Objectives

In education, TRUST is generating learning materials, providing dissemination structures, and establishing broad educator communities. Our education activities have reached undergraduate and graduate students, postdoctoral scholars and junior faculty, and industry professionals to address the technical, policy, and economic issues essential to improving cyber security and trustworthy systems.

Affiliated with TRUST is a multi-disciplinary team of students, post doctoral scholars, research scientists, and faculty from a world class research group of universities providing a unique breadth and depth of research expertise and accomplishment in cyber security and critical infrastructure protection. The Center research team is supported by students and faculty from partner institutions with whom the Center collaborates to provide unique opportunities for female and underrepresented minority students and faculty to engage in cross-institutional activities.

The TRUST education mission is to educate the next generation of computer scientists, engineers, lawyers, policy makers, and social scientists in the field of cyber security and trustworthy systems. Specific TRUST education goals are to:

- 1. Provide graduate students with research opportunities in cyber security and trustworthy systems topics.
- 2. Provide academic-year and summer research opportunities to undergraduate students.
- 3. Increase the number of women and underrepresented students that pursue graduate education in cyber security and trustworthy systems.
- 4. Provide academic courses and degree programs supporting TRUST research and education mission.
- 5. Prepare and support HSIs, MSIs and HBCUs faculty in the teaching of TRUST related research topics.
- 6. Develop technology to assist with the dissemination and outreach efforts of the Center.

Research and education are interwoven into all Center activities. TRUST summer programs, workshops, technical series, seminars, and internships leverage the materials and tools developed in our research projects. These materials and tools also become module content and project profiles distributed on the TRUST Academy Online (TAO). A goal of TRUST is to disseminate education materials for engineering, computer science, law, public policy, economics, and social science students working in cyber security. In the TAO we have developed teaching modules that can be incorporated into diverse curricula, ranging from privacy modules that can be taught to engineers working on SCADA control systems to cryptography modules that introduce digital rights management concepts to law students.

3.2 Performance and Management Indicators

To support both quantitative and qualitative analysis of TRUST education programs, we continue to use participant and mentor surveys, focus groups, in-depth interviews, rubrics, program metrics, and electronic portfolios as methods for data collection. These are intended to capture the effectiveness of TRUST programs and the educational and professional development value added to participants. We are also working to expand our participant tracking efforts, especially for Center students after graduation, to continue contact with participants, monitor where they are in their careers, and better understand the impact affiliation with TRUST had on their professional development and advancement. Working with organizations like the National Center for Women and Information Technology (NCWIT), the Anita Borg







Institute for Women and Technology, and the Assessing Women and Men in Engineering Project (AWE), will support our assessment efforts while disseminating our results to a broader audience as well as our TRUST Academy Online community.

The TRUST Academy Online (TAO) continues to grow as a repository for TRUST research results and course materials. User survey feedback is used to refine the portal's technology and user functions, as necessary, and data collection strategies track the use and dissemination of TRUST education materials from the TAO. Analysis of the TAO online access statistics indicates that approximately 25% of people accessing the TAO download a resource in the repository, however we will further develop portal survey and user-rating technologies to help us better understand our online community and their usage of the TAO.

3.3 Current and Anticipated Problems

No significant problems were encountered during the reporting period. No significant problems are anticipated in the next reporting period.

3.4 Internal Education Activities

During this reporting period, the Center education activities expanded the learning technology infrastructure, continued the work of successful undergraduate and graduate programs, and expanded academic course development and teaching opportunities. The items below describe in more detail specific education activities of the TRUST Center during this reporting period.

Activity Name	TRUST Academy Online (TAO) Portal
Led by	Larry Howard (Vanderbilt)
Intended Audience	Students, Faculty and Industry Professionals
Approx Number of	Unlimited; portal and content is open access via the Internet
Attendees (if appl.)	

The <u>Trust Academy Online (TAO) Portal (http://tao.truststc.org</u>) is a vehicle for online community outreach for the TRUST Center. Its initial emphasis was to provide educators access to sets of learning materials contributed by center investigators, institutions, and partners and is used to disseminate learning materials developed or contributed by educators participating in the TRUST Center.

TAO content is bundled into "profiles" that provide descriptions, metadata, and complementary scaffolding resources such as guides to their use for teaching and learning in the classroom, lab, or online. The profiles include a variety of learning materials such as PowerPoint presentations, lecture notes, case studies, class assignments, related web site links, video clips, and "rich" media content.

During this reporting period, we continued our effort in the development of "visual storytelling" the vehicle as for this communication. In project profiles. lightweight multimedia shorts are used to



Figure 1: The TAO Portal Front Page







quickly present the essential details of a project's work in a way that is accessible to a broad audience. We used a small group of TRUST research projects at Vanderbilt to "prototype" and refine this concept. TAO media designers then collaborated with project graduate students to identify story elements and produce multimedia resources. The profiles were then established and fully populated by the project teams. Given that TRUST projects comprise a fairly stable portfolio, we feel this strategy is scalable to incrementally include all TRUST projects, resulting in a rich information flow.

Accompanying this extension in audience, we enhanced the user experience on TAO. A keystone element in our strategy was the introduction of "visual browsers" as an alternative way of presenting and selecting profiles from collections. This navigation vehicle was influenced by innovations such as Apple's "cover flow" browsers and its distinct quality makes a significant contribution to the visual impact of the portal. At the same time, we have retained the tabular, text-based browser of the courseware profiles as a navigation alternative. These changes resulted in an increase in TAO portal usage of 71% over the last year and an increase in the



Figure 2: The Visual Browser for TAO Courseware Profiles

number of learning modules and courseware, currently 176 resource files produced by 46 TRUST researchers.

To further our continuing commitment to provide educators and other users online access to materials

and resources produced by TRUST researchers, the TAO has been registered as a collection in the National Science Digital Library (NSDL), the Nation's online library for education and research in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics. Using the OAI-PMH metadata harvesting protocol, the NSDL now will routinely



import metadata from the TAO's courseware and project profiles and will support searching this metadata from within the digital library. Registration of the TAO in the NSDL is part of our ongoing efforts to broaden awareness of the Center's research and education missions. Membership in the NSDL and support for the OAI-PMH metadata resulted in a three-fold increase in the number of search engine visits (e.g., accessing the TAO via a Google search result) and promotion of the portal at TRUST education seminars and workshops has significantly increased the exposure of the TAO and greatly increased usage.

Activity Name	TRUST Courseware Modules and Projects
Led by	Larry Howard (Vanderbilt), Kristen Gates (Berkeley)
Intended Audience	TRUST portal users: students, faculty, researchers, and industry professionals
Approx Number of Attendees (if appl.)	Unlimited. Portal and content is open access via the Internet.

The TRUST Academy Online (TAO) is an online repository for TRUST Courseware Modules and Projects. Accessible by the public, the TAO contains learning materials available at no cost and enables educators access to leading-edge research and teaching materials specific to trusted systems







technology and policy issues. The purpose of the courseware modules is to provide learning materials that are assessable via the TAO portal that are usable by teaching faculty as course content, lecture material, and supporting information for higher education courses. Modules consist of a variety of learning materials, including PowerPoint presentations, lecture notes, case studies, class assignments, related web site links, and video clips.

TRUST researchers incorporate their findings and methods, whenever possible, into the standard curricula addressing operating systems, programming languages and compilers, analysis of algorithms, networking protocols, and databases. The primary goal for the TAO Portal is to make a body of these curricular materials available to the larger educational community. Courseware development aims at three areas of research: Security Technology, Systems Science, and Social Sciences. It is anticipated that curriculum development based on this courseware will follow different trajectories resulting in materials of different granularities, from individual modules to complete courses and lower division to the advanced graduate level. Building on our Year 4 inventory, the portal now host: 56 contributing members, 53 courseware profiles, and 326 file and link resources.

Activity Name	Women's Institute in Summer Enrichment (WISE)
Led by	Kristen Gates (UC Berkeley)
Intended Audience	Graduate students, post-doctoral fellows, and professors from all disciplines that are interested in Ubiquitous Secure Technology and the social, political, and economical ramifications that are associated with this technology, with a focused recruitment effort toward underrepresented minority groups and women.
Approx Number of Attendees (if appl.)	23 participants with 12 speakers

WISE is an annual one-week residential summer program that brings together graduate students, postdoctoral fellows, and professors from all disciplines that are interested in Ubiquitous Secure Technology and the social, political, and economical ramifications that are associated with this technology. WISE participation is open to U.S. professors and post-doctoral fellows, and Ph.D. candidates studying at U.S. universities. Participation is limited to 30 people and will be selected from a nationwide pool of applicants, who have demonstrated, outstanding academic talent. The WISE target audience is underrepresented minority groups and women in information technology. Learning and presentation materials were cataloged on the TAO Portal for reference.

The program was held June 6-19, 2009 on the Berkeley campus. For the summer 2009 program, invited speakers were:

- Rebecca Bace: Infidel, Inc.
- Ruzena Bajcsy: Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, UC Berkeley
- Kimberly Claffy: Cooperative Association for Internet Data Analysis, UC San Diego
- Julie Earp: Computer Science, North Carolina State University
- Brianna Gamp, eBay
- Fran Maier: TRUSTe
- Brad Malin: TRUST, Electrical Engineering, Vanderbilt University
- Jelena Mirkovic, University of Southern California Information Sciences Institute
- Deirdre Mulligan: School of Information, UC Berkeley.





The summer 2009 participants include: 13 faculty, 1 research scientist, 1 government attorney, 15 graduate students; the group is composed of 28 women and two men.

Tuition for WISE 2009 was \$2,500; however, TRUST fellowships were available to U.S. professors, post-doctoral fellows, and Ph.D. candidates studying at U.S. universities. A total of 27 fellowships with travel stipend were awarded.

Program Evaluation: Each WISE fellow completed a program evaluation. WISE participants are tacked over a several year period to evaluate the program impact on research, teaching, professional development, job placement, and retention. This was the fourth year for WISE and WISE 2010 will be hosted by Vanderbilt.

An evaluation of first-year WISE participants was conducted with a follow-up survey scheduled for years one, three, and five. Recommendations from the WISE 2006 survey were put into place for the WISE 2007 program. The WISE 2007 cohort was surveyed at the end of the program and again one year out. The cohort will also be surveyed again at three and five years out. TRUST also set up a program group on LinkedIn for the WISE 2009 cohort. The LinkedIn site, along with survey instruments, will facilitate the tracking of the WISE cohorts to help determine if participants leveraged workshop information into their professional and career development goals. For example, they will be asked if they initiated a course or research activity, incorporated research ideas from the workshop, initiated collaboration with WISE speakers, and/or maintained contact with the network of WISE participants.

Activity Name	TRUST Research Experiences for Undergraduates (TRUST-REU)
Led by	Kristen Gates (Berkeley), Chris Hoofnagle (Berkeley), Shankar Sastry
	(Berkeley), Dawn Song (Berkeley)
Intended Audience	Undergraduate students, underrepresented minority groups, and
	women.
Approx Number of	10
Attendees (if appl.)	

The TRUST Research Experiences for Undergraduates (TRUST-REU) offers a group of talented undergraduate students the opportunity to gain research experience. The program's objective is to provide research opportunities in engineering to students who have been historically underrepresented in the field for reasons of social, cultural, educational, or economic barriers. The program provides students with the opportunity to gain research experience by participating in TRUST-related research projects with TRUST faculty and graduate students and affirms their motivation for graduate study and strengthens their qualifications. Upon completion of this program, it is expected that TRUST-REU students will be better prepared and motivated to attend graduate school.

TRUST-REU is an annual eight-week program, last year conducted June 8 – July 31, 2009. In 2009, TRUST had 10 students participating in the REU. Each student was given a \$4,000 stipend for the period, travel allowance, and provided on-campus housing. In addition to the research experience, TRUST-REU students participated in educational activities including lab tours and industry field trips, received graduate school advising, and took part in a subsidized GRE test preparation course.

The table below lists the names of the TRUST-REU 2009 participants and their research projects:






Participant Name (Home Institution)	Project Title
Shannon Canty (Clemson)	User Control of Flash Cookies
Benjamin Christen (Youngstown State) Michael Walker (Youngstown State)	Privacy Scrubber: Means to Obfuscate Personal Data from Benign Application Leakage
Jerald Dawson (Jackson State)	Identification of Bad Data
Elie El Chartouni (San Jose State) Diaminatou Goudiaby (Elizabeth City State) Brice Sorrells (Minnesota)	Development of a Children's Health Game for the Android Mobile Platform
Adam Magana (Youngstown State)	Tomorrow's Smart Power Grid: Crafting Security Measures Using State Estimation
Quentin Mayo (Jacksonville Science)	Flash Cookies and Analysis of Web-base Businesses' Privacy Practices involving Local Shared Objects
Lauren Thomas (Louisiana State)	Exploring Privacy Through California's "Shine the Light" Law

Program Evaluation: TRUST-REU students are evaluated at midterm and at the end of the program. They also report their research progress at the regular weekly meetings. They receive feedback on their work from faculty advisors at the weekly meetings and after the midterm evaluation. At the end of the program, the TRUST-REU students evaluate the program via a questionnaire, the results of which are distributed to faculty advisors and graduate student mentors as feedback and for program development. TRUST-REU participants are also tracked over time to identify those students considering graduate school and those that have been accepted into graduate school programs.

Activity Name	TRUST Academic Courses
Led by	Various TRUST Faculty
Intended Audience	Undergraduate and graduate students
Approx Number of	Varies by course
Attendees (if appl.)	

During this reporting period, a number of academic courses were developed or updated by TRUST faculty across the Center partner institutions. Listed below is information on each course, including the title, faculty teacher(s), intended audience, enrollment (per semester), when the course was or will be first offered, and a brief description.







Course Name:	Information Technology in Society "Trustworthy Systems: the societal/ethical
	impact of information technology applications"
Taught By:	Maryanne McCormick (Berkeley) and Ruzena Bajcsy (Berkeley)
Audience:	Undergraduate majors in computer science and engineering
Enrollment:	24 per semester
First Offered:	Spring 2008
Description:	This course provides an interdisciplinary introduction and overview of the societal and ethical implications of trustworthy systems in information technology in society. It will cover the positive and negative consequences of IT on individuals, neighborhoods, schools, commerce, and democracy. Prerequisites: None (appropriate for all undergraduate majors, and particularly encouraged for computer science and engineering majors). Course Objectives: The goal of this course is to provide a unified introduction to the ramifications of IT design and deployment on individuals and society. The course provides a broad exposure to IT applications and systems, at a level of detail aimed at both the beginning technical student and the social science student. For the technical student, this course will provide a societal context for their studies, placing the objectives and results of their design and deployment decisions in a larger context. At the same time, for the social science student, this course will provide a basic understanding of the technology and provide an opportunity to focus on the intersection of policy and technology. For all students, this course will provide a venue to consider IT issues in an interdisciplinary context, and in so doing, we hope to provide good foundational training for the next generation of cyber-security professionals.

Course Name:	Internet Policy Challenges in a Global Environment (INF290)
Taught By:	Deirdre Mulligan (Berkeley)
Audience:	Graduate majors in Information Science, Law & Computer Science
Enrollment:	24 per semester
First Offered:	Spring 2009
Description:	The internet—as a global, "always-on" platform—poses unique challenges to legal and political frameworks premised on territorial jurisdiction. Operating in this global marketplace exposes companies, and sometimes individuals, to conflicting normative, legal and political commitments. Through case studies, this course considers the options in (i) developing technologies and business strategies to address the varied, and sometimes competing, laws of different countries; (ii) amending laws and otherwise engaging in policy development for the global internet; and (iii) explaining these choices and limitations to regulators, business partners and users. It will consider the implications of these various strategies on an issue-by-issue basis in the areas of content regulation, intellectual property, information security, and privacy, and explore the cross-cutting consequences and dependencies between choices in these various issue areas.







Course Name:	The Digital World and Society (CMPE25)
Taught By:	Russ Smith (San Jose State)
Audience:	Undergraduate majors in computer science and engineering
Enrollment:	20 per semester
First Offered:	Spring 2008
Description:	This course is designed to enable students to understand how digital technology impacts the world in which we live. Emphasis is placed on how the Internet and emerging digital technologies are changing fundamental and traditional elements of society.
	Course Objectives: The course provides an overview of the technologies covered will be given followed by sections on the impact they have on governments, society and the individuals. Students will become aware of the far reaching impacts that the technologies that we so easily have embraced. Class will consist of both lecture and class activities.

Course Name:	Software Security Technologies (CMPE279)
Taught By:	Mark Stamp (San Jose State)
Audience:	Upper Division and graduate level majors in computer science and engineering
Enrollment:	50 per semester
First Offered:	Spring 2008
Description:	The course provides the fundamental concepts, methods and tools used to design and implement software security technologies for constructing trustworthy centralized, distributed or enterprise-wide software systems.

Course Name:	Web Programming and Society (CS142)
Taught By:	John Mitchell (Stanford)
Audience:	Undergraduate majors in computer science and engineering
Enrollment:	100 per semester
First Offered:	Spring 2009
Description:	The web uses complex applications that run on heterogeneous browsers that may be built using programming technologies such as JavaScript, AJAX, Google Web Toolkit, Apache Struts, Java Server Faces, and Rails. This course covers how core web technologies work, common security vulnerabilities, and how to build secure web applications that avoid them.

Course Name:	TechLaw with Progressive Minds (CS302)
Taught By:	John Mitchell (Stanford)
Audience:	Graduate majors in computer science and engineering
Enrollment:	20 per semester
First Offered:	Spring 2008
Description:	How the advent of computing technologies is reflected in the confluence of law, public policy, and technology. Issues relating to civil liberties, consumer protection, e-voting, copyright law, patent law, international patent law, trade secrets, political processes, and litigation are covered.







Course Name:	Mobile Communications (ECE5680)
Taught By:	Steve Wicker (Cornell)
Audience:	Graduate majors in computer science and engineering
Enrollment:	50 per semester
First Offered:	Spring 2009
Description:	Theory and analysis of mobile communication systems, with an emphasis on understanding the unique characteristics of these systems. Topics include cellular planning, mobile radio propagation and path loss, characterization of multipath and fading channels, modulation and equalization techniques for mobile radio systems, source coding techniques, multiple access alternatives, CDMA system design, and capacity calculations.
	Course Objectives: This course will provide an overview of wireless communications, with an emphasis on un-tethered transceivers. It covers traditional topics– channel modeling, demodulation in the presence of noise, and error control coding–and then moves on to recent developments in multicarrier modulation, spread spectrum, and space-time modulation and coding. It emphasizes applications to successful wireless telephony and LAN systems and also considers higher-layer system concepts such as mobility management, with an emphasis on third-generation (3G) cellular systems. The course will conclude with a brief overview of communication and privacy law, with a discussion of recent research into privacy-aware network design techniques.

Course Name:	Fault-tolerant Distributed Computer Systems (CS514)
Taught By:	Ken Birman (Cornell)
Audience:	Upper division majors in computer science and engineering
Enrollment:	80 per semester
First Offered:	Fall 2008
Description:	Distributed systems are difficult to build and understand, for all sorts of reasons. Failures are common in large systems, and we can't let them shut the application down; a well-engineered system will tolerate failures and repair any damage they caused. A single event, perceived at multiple locations, may not be totally ordered with respect to other, conflicting, events. Networks have annoying connectivity and bandwidth properties that force the designer to confront challenging engineering tradeoffs.
	Course Objectives: The focus of CS5410 is on the principles and techniques that one can use to achieve a high-quality, trustworthy, fault-tolerant distributed system. Lectures will present the principles; programming assignments will enable students to put these principles into practice. The course project will expose students to state of the art technology platforms (notably web services) but will also involve using cutting-edge techniques that are not available (yet) in products. The goal is to understand what these kinds of platforms can be expected to do "without help" but also to get used to the idea that one can push beyond their limitations when necessary, and that doing so can open the door to all sorts of creative possibilities.

3.5 Professional Development Activities

During this reporting period, TRUST students were involved in a number of professional development activities within the domains of computer science, information technology, law and social policy as well as additional activities such as internships, entrepreneurial business course, career preparation workshops, and professional societies. The following sections list the various professional development activities of TRUST students.







The TRUST Center provides a unique opportunity for a wide range of cyber security issues to be addressed from many points of view—technological, scientific, social, policy, and legal. The diverse academic and professional interests of TRUST students are a major contribution to the Center's success. TRUST students have a wide range of academic and professional interests reflected by the conferences attended, workshops supported, personal development courses taken, and social and professional society memberships. These professional development activities increase student cross-domain and multi-domain knowledge, professional growth, academic success, and overall retention–all of which benefit TRUST and the student learning experience and impact provided by the Center.

TRUST students have participated in the following business development courses, training, internship, and fellowship programs:

- Coursework: "Customer and Business Development in Hi-Tech Enterprise", Hass Business School, UC Berkeley, CA
- Coursework: "Marketing Strategies for Entrepreneurs in Silicon Valley" Hass Business School, UC Berkeley, CA
- Internship at Microsoft Research, Redmond, WA
- Internship at IBM Research, Haifa, Israel
- Internship at Telecom Italia WSN Lab Berkeley, CA
- Internship at Yahoo! Research, Santa Clara, CA
- Invited talk at Yahoo!, Santa Clara, CA

TRUST students have membership in the following organizations:

- ACM: Association for Computing Machinery
- California State Bar Association
- District of Columbia Bar Association
- Future of Privacy Foundation's Advisory Council
- Global Environment for Network Innovations (GENI) End-User Opt-In Working Group
- HKN: Eta Kappa Nu National Electrical Engineering honor society
- IEEE: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
- International Association of Privacy Professionals
- ISAlliance-ANSI Workshop on Developing a Framework to Analyze and Manage Financial Risk for Cyber Security
- Java Community Process
- Network Research Review Committee, Internet2
- SIGMA XI: International Honor Society of Science and Engineering
- Silicon Valley Software Quality Association
- SWE: Society of Women Engineers
- Tau Beta Pi: The Engineering Honor Society
- Transaction Processing Performance Council (TCP)
- USENIX: Advanced Computing Systems Association
- W3C: The World Wide Web Consortium
- WICSE: Women in Computer Science and Electrical Engineering

TRUST students have participated in the following workshops, conferences, and symposiums:

- AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Chicago, IL
- ACM International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis (ISSTA 2008), Seattle, WA
- ACM Computer and Communications Security Conference, Alexandria, VA





- ACM Computer and Communications Security Symposium, Tokyo, Japan
- ACM International Conference on Distributed Event-Based Systems (DEBS 2009), Nashville, TN
- ACM SIGACT-SIGOPS Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing (PODC 2008), Toronto, Canada
- ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks (HotNets-VII), Calgary, Alberta, Canada
- ACM/IEEE International Conference on Information Processing in Sensor Networks, San Francisco, CA
- ACM/IEEE International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems, Toulouse, France
- Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) Complex Networks Program Review, Arlington, VA
- Annual Network & Distributed System Security Symposium (NDSS), San Diego, CA
- Carnegie Mellon Conference on the Electricity Industry, Ithaca, NY
- Carnegie Mellon University, Detection of Complex and Multistage Computer Network Attacks, Pittsburgh, PA
- Cyber Security and Information Intelligence Challenges and Strategies (CSIIRW09), Oak Ridge National Lab, Oak Ridge, TN
- Electronic Crimes Task Force, DHS, United State Secrete Service, San Jose, CA
- European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP 2008). Paphos, Cyprus
- GE Global Research Center PhD Women's Research Networking Event 2008
- Grace Hopper Celebration of Women in Computing conference, Keystone, CO
- ICO: An Introduction to Secure Control, Pisa, Italy
- Identity Theft Technology Council (ITTC), DHS-SRI International, San Mateo, CA
- IEEE Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE 2009), Washington, DC
- IEEE Consumer Communications & Networking Conference, Las Vegas, NV
- IEEE Energy 2030 Conference, Atlanta, GA
- IEEE International Conference on Information Assurance and Security, Naples, Italy
- IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, Oakland, CA
- IEEE/IFIP International Conference on Dependable Systems and Networks (DNS2008), Anchorage, AK
- IFIP Working Group 11.10 International Conference on Critical Infrastructure Protection, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH
- Infosec Technology Transition Council (ITTC) Meetings, Menlo Park, CA
- International Compulog/ALP Summer School on Logic Programming and Computational Logic, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM
- International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS), Sydney, Australia
- International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks (ICCCN'08), Saint Thomas, U.S. Virgin Islands
- International Conference on Distributed Smart Cameras, Palo Alto, CA
- International Conference on Embedded Software (EMSOFT), Atlanta, GA
- International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS), St. Louis, MO
- International Conference on Object Oriented Programming, Systems, Languages and Applications (OOPSLA 2009), Orlando, FL
- International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare, London, England
- International Conference on Telecommunications (ICT'08), Saint Petersburg, Russia
- International Conference on Web Services (ICWS 2009), Los Angeles, CA





- International Joint Conference on Automated Reasoning, Sydney, Australia
- International Symposium on Distributed Computing (DISC '08), Arcachon, France
- International Symposium on Distributed Objects, Middleware, and Applications (DOA'08), Monterrey, Mexico
- International Symposium on Recent Advances in Intrusion Detection (RAID 2009), Saint-Malo, Brittany, France
- International Symposium on Wireless and Pervasive Computing (ISWPC'09), Melbourne, Australia
- International Workshop on Cyber-Physical Systems, Beijing, China
- International Workshop on Sensor Networks (SN 2008), U.S. Virgin Islands
- International World Wide Web Conference (WWW 2009), Madrid, Spain
- Kavli Institute Symposium on Computing Challenges. Ithaca, NY
- Large-Scale Distributed Systems and Middleware (LADIS 2008), White Plains, NY
- Measuring Identity Theft at Telecommunications Companies, Consumer Issues Conference, University of Wyoming Consumer Issues Conference, WY
- MIT Lincoln Labs Information Session 2009: MIT Lincoln Laboratory, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Lexington, MA
- MOTHIS '08 Workshop, Vanderbilt, TN
- Northern California Undergraduate Mathematics Conference, Sonoma State, CA
- O'Reilly Emerging Technologies Conference, San Jose, CA
- Object Management Group (OMG) Workshop on Distributed Object Computing for Real-time and Embedded Systems, Washington, DC
- Privacy Law Scholars Conference, Berkeley, CA
- Privacy Law Scholars Conference, Washington, DC
- Richard Tapia Celebration of Diversity in Computing Conference, Portland, OR
- RSA Security Conference 2009, San Francisco, CA
- Securing Sensor Networks, Stockholm, Sweden
- Annual Security Breach Notification Seven Years Later, BCLT/BTLJ, Berkeley, CA
- SSEAT, Workshop on State-space Exploration for Automated Testing, Chicago, IL
- Sun Microsystems, A Body Sensor Network Application, Menlo Park, CA
- Super Computing (SC) High Performance Computing (HPC), Networking, Storage and Analysis Conference, Austin, TX
- Targeted Malware, Workshop on Interdisciplinary Studies in Security and Privacy, Polytechnic Institute of NYU, Brooklyn, NY
- The IT Security Entrepreneurs' Forum (ITSEF III), Palo Alto, CA
- USENIX Annual Technical Conference, Boston, MA
- USENIX Conference on File and Storage Technologies (FAST '09), San Francisco, CA
- USENIX Security Symposium, San Jose, CA
- USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI 2008), San Diego, CA
- USENIX Workshop on Hot Topics in Security, San Jose, CA
- USENIX Workshop on Large-Scale Exploits and Emerging Threats (LEET), Boston, MA
- USENIX/ACM Networked Systems Design and Implementation Symposium (NSDI), San Francisco, CA
- W3C Workshop on Security for Access to Device APIs from the Web, London, England
- Web 2.0 Security and Privacy (W2SP 2009), Oakland, CA
- Women's Institute in Summer Enrichment (WISE), Cornell, NY





• Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society, Alexandria, VA

3.6 External Education Activities

The items below describe in more detail specific external education activities of the TRUST Center during this reporting period.

Activity Name	Curriculum Development in Security and Information Assurance
	(CDSIA)
Led by	Sigurd Meldal (San Jose State)
Intended Audience	California State University System and Hispanic Association of
	Colleges and Universities member institutions
Approx Number of	37
Attendees (if appl.)	

On May 1, 2009 TRUST hosted the second annual Workshop on Curriculum Development in Security and Information Assurance (CDSIA 2008) at San Jose State University.

The objectives were to (1) reach out to the many universities of the California State University system and to other universities whose mission is focused on work-force preparation and undergraduate education, (2) to share with faculty members of these institutions material and support structures developed by the TRUST partners, (3) to strengthen the TRUST-related community of educators, and (4) to facilitate the education of members of underrepresented communities in the domain of secure technologies.

CDSIA 2009 had 37 participants from 19 universities. Half of those universities are Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSIs) and the remainders are all Associate members of the Hispanic Association of Colleges and Universities (HACU). Fifteen of the 23 CSU schools were represented. Three TRUST partner institutions (San Jose State (host), Stanford, and UC Berkeley) also participated in CDSIA 2009. In 2009, to reduce overlap of activities, the Information Assurance Capacity Symposium Program (IACSP) was incorporated into the CDSIA workshop. The IACSP was an outreach to HSI and Historically Black College and University (HBCU) faculty members, to work with them to introduce and strengthen the Information Assurance components of their curriculum.

The workshop topics included:

- Security, information assurance, and policy in the general education curriculum
- Tools support for teaching IA and security curriculum components
- Sharing and delivering curricula through the TRUST Academy Online (TAO)
- What preparation does industry require?
- Certification and accreditation where are we with respect to security?
- What role (if any) should the teaching of "malware" play in the curriculum?

Program materials generated by this program were cataloged on the TAO Portal.







Activity Name	TRUST Seminar Series
Led by	Annarita Giani (Berkeley), Alvaro Cardenas (Berkeley)
Intended Audience	Graduate level (MS & Ph.D.) students in computer science, faculty and
	industry professionals
Approx Number of	1,355 over 28 week series
Attendees (if appl.)	

The TRUST Speakers Series began in the fall of 2007. The program is a weekly event on the Berkeley campus that brings in well-known speakers who are experts in the fields of security, privacy, and trustworthy systems. The event is focused toward graduate students in computer science, industry professionals, and campus community at large.

In the fall 2009, TRUST hosted 11 speakers with a total of over 400 people attending and in the spring 2010, TRUST will host 14 speakers. Next year we are investigating both broadcasting the TRUST Seminar talks live via the Web and archiving the talks for offline viewing—both of which will make the talks available to a much wider audience.

3.7 Activities to Integrate Research and Education

Education deliverables were tied to all TRUST research, education and outreach projects. Learning materials and modules were distilled from the TRUST research trust and archived on the TRUST Academy Online portal as are workshops and symposiums such as TIPPI and WISE archived presentations.

Activity Name	DHS-SRI Infosec Technology Transition Council (ITTC)
Led by	John Mitchell (Stanford), Larry Rohrbough (Berkeley)
Intended Audience	Academics and Industry Professionals
Approx Number of	Total of 280 over the three meetings in 2009-2010
Attendees (if appl.)	

The DHS-SRI Infosec Technology Transition Council (ITTC) is a working forum that brings together experts and leaders from the government, private sector, financial industry, information technology services, venture capital, and academia and science sectors to address the problem of information security and related activity.

Workshops are held three times per year (during this period they were held in June 2009, October 2009, and February 2010) and are used to identify proactive IT security solutions and assist in the acceleration of its development and deployment into the marketplace. Seasoned IT security practitioners, law enforcement professionals, and representatives from academia and science have strategically aligned themselves with subject matter experts and organizations to accomplish this goal. A key component to the success of this public-private partnership is the ability to actively work with leaders in the community who are principals of change in an effort to better protect our communities and corporations from attacks against their critical infrastructures. The subject matter experts of the ITTC seek to share information that will assist in the discovery, due diligence, development, and deployment of next generation technologies best suited to protect our critical infrastructures and serve our communities.

John Mitchell from Stanford and Larry Rohrbough from Berkeley are the TRUST liaisons to the DHS-SRI ITTC and meetings are attended by various TRUST researchers.





Activity Name	IT Security Entrepreneurs' Forum (ITSEF)
Led by	John Mitchell (Stanford), Larry Rohrbough (Berkeley)
Intended Audience	Academics and Industry Professionals
Approx Number of	275 for the March 17, 2010 meeting
Attendees (if appl.)	

The Department of Homeland Security and Kauffman Foundation IT Security Entrepreneurs' Forum (ITSEF) is a Public Private Partnership initiative is designed to "bridge the gap" between IT security solution providers and the end users of our nation's IT and Telecommunications critical infrastructures. The ITSEF believes that innovative solutions developed by entrepreneurs' can best be promoted through collaborative efforts between the public and private sectors.

A key component to the success of such relationships is to identify and bring together public and private sector "change agents" who can drive education and awareness programs through forums that will promote lasting and permanent relationships between all levels of government and the full range of emerging and established private sector companies. This year's forum occurred during a critical time as attacks and emerging threats continue to increase in sophistication and frequency against our nation's IT and telecommunication infrastructures. The ITSEF strives to accelerate the search for and implementation of "best of class" solutions to address such threats.

John Mitchell from Stanford and Larry Rohrbough from Berkeley are the TRUST liaisons and Stanford is a sponsor of the ITSEF.

3.8 Education Metrics/Indicators

The items below describe how the Center is doing with respect to the education metrics and indicators and data that have been collected during this reporting period. Information is provided for both Learning Materials and Technology and Professional Workshops and Symposiums.

Learning Materials and Technology

During this reporting period, there was a continued effort to reconfigure the TAO Portal, including metadata technology and information architecture, as well as the further development of TAO courseware modules and projects. This effort has resulted in a three-fold usage increase over the last year. We have also increased the number of learning modules and courseware, currently 326 resource files produced by 56 TRUST contributors.

We will also implement data collection strategies that will track the use and dissemination of TRUST education materials from the TAO. Further analysis of the TAO online access statistics indicates that approximately 25% of people accessing the TAO download a resource in the repository. That said, we will further develop portal survey and user rating technologies to help us better understand our online community and their usage of the TAO. Additionally, the TAO has been registered as a collection in the National Science Digital Library (NSDL), the nation's online library for education and research in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics. Registration of the TAO in the NSDL is part of our ongoing efforts to broaden awareness of the Center's research and education missions.

Professional Development Workshop and Symposiums

TRUST professional development activities are designed for graduate students, post-doctoral scholars, industry researchers, and faculty from various disciplines working and conducting research in cyber security and trustworthy systems. In addition to education and learning opportunities, these programs





support professional growth, especially for female and URM faculty, with the goal of ultimately expanding the number female and URM researchers in cyber security and trustworthy systems.

TRUST faculty and staff have participated at education oriented conferences through panels, associated workshops or a series of presentations, including: Engineering Education NSF Awardees Conference, International Conference on Engineering Education, Computer Alliance for Hispanic Serving Institutions, Richard Tapia Celebration in Diversity in Computing, Grace Hopper Celebration of Women in Computing, TechLeaders: Anita Borg Institute, Executive Women's Forum, Information Security, Risk Management and Privacy, Broadening Participation in Computing Community Meeting, Berkeley EECS Annual Research Symposium (BEARS), International Information Integrity Institute, San Francisco Electronic Crime Task Force Meetings, and the Department of Homeland Security SRI Infosec Technology Transition Council.

The assessment process is both qualitative and quantitative and will include pre- and post-evaluation surveys, focus groups, participant assessments, and program evaluations for the education, human resource development, and underrepresented minority student uptake initiatives of TRUST. Evaluation rubrics will be developed for assessment of course materials, electronic portfolios, and research activities.

3.9 Next Reporting Period Education Plans

The education initiatives detailed in this document will continue into the next reporting period. No major changes in the direction are anticipated but the level of activity will increase.

The TAO will continue to develop. Course modules and learning objects will be developed as educational deliverables of each TRUST research area. As the review process continues, refinement will be made to the module design and the portal. The TAO is making an impact by providing TRUST Center learning materials for use by teaching faculty as course content, lecture materials, and program support for the development of their computer science or related higher education courses. TRUST has created a significant number of learning modules across a wide range of topical areas, providing educators access to a substantial amount of leading-edge research and teaching material. The Center will continue to place materials generated by our education, outreach, and diversity programs on the TAO to be shared with other teachers and researchers.

TRUST visibility and influence in education community continues to grow as TRUST researchers and staff participation in educational conferences, workshops, panel discussions, and industry workgroups take hold.

The Women's Institute in Summer Enrichment (WISE) is a signature program for TRUST and consistently receives excellent evaluations form participants. WISE is hosted at TRUST partner institutions (the summer 2010 will be at Vanderbilt) and the Center will continue to offer this program each summer. To meet the program's increasing demand, TRUST will expand WISE to 30 participants per summer with a greater emphasis on recruiting female URM scholars.

The TRUST-REU will continue be greatly expanded for summer 2010. For that program, we will host a total of 32 undergraduate students at all TRUST partner institutions (Berkeley, Carnegie Mellon, Cornell, Stanford, and Vanderbilt) to increase the number of undergraduate students exposed to research in general and the TRUST Center in particular. The TRUST-REU will continue to support the Center's goal of increasing the number of underrepresented minority groups and women that are conducting research in cyber security and trusted systems. For summer 2010, TRUST-REU students will participate in the following research projects:







Berkeley

- *DeterLab*: In this project, students will use DeterLab to investigate attacks against control systems and machine learning systems that operate on data transmitted via a public communication network.
- User Perceptions of Privacy: Individuals' privacy concerns emanate from both online and offline sources. Often the privacy concerns and objections of individuals fall outside what existing privacy law protects. While multiple theories of privacy and its relationship to technology have been proposed, little empirical effort has been undertaken to document and understand how individuals conceptualize privacy on the ground. In this project, students will analyze datasets that contain information about the privacy objections individuals raise in relation to Internet applications and services and conduct surveys and interviews to better understand conceptions of privacy and the privacy management strategies employed by individuals. Students will also examine complaints and notices sent to search engines and social network providers and perform a content analysis to identify privacy concerns and violations both according to type of service offered (e.g., search, photo sharing) and across all services. Students will conclude by documenting the practices individuals use to manage information about themselves on internet sites and social networks.
- *Path of Identity Theft*: In this project, students will develop tools to automate the identification of identity profile changes and assess their risk in order to help individuals spot identity theft early in its commission, thereby lowering costs to creditors and consumers. By partnering with a prominent identity theft monitoring company that checks tens of thousands of individuals' identity profiles on a daily basis and alerts them if any personal information changes, students will gain insight into how a change in an individual's profile could be an early warning sign of identity theft versus a legitimate change of address or a new data source that a consumer reporting agency just acquired. Students will work to identify these signals of fraud, create a taxonomy of them, and determine their provenance in an attempt to learn what types of events are most likely to indicate to a consumer that they are at heightened risk of fraud.

Carnegie Mellon

• *Security on Smartphones*: With the proliferation of smartphones, such as the Apple iPhone or Google Android, many opportunities for security open up. Since users carry these phones almost all the time, these phones can be leveraged for security, such as trust establishment among people who meet, digital person-to-person payments, etc. In this project, students will develop a smartphone security application for Motorola Droid smartphone.

Cornell

- *Investigating the Structure of the Internet*: This project will involve both computer scientists and sociologists to determine the extent to which the web can be considered "democratic." Students will learn some graph theory, networking, and how information networking design choices have legal, economic, and societal impact.
- *Privacy for Demand-Response Systems*: This project will investigate demand-response systems to identify candidate architectures. The work will include privacy considerations, such as privacy-aware designs.
- Design and Deployment of Sensor Networks.







Stanford

- Web Security Modeling Using Alloy: Web security is different from network security in some interesting ways. Attackers generally interfere with network protocols by installing network sniffers that capture and record packets on the network. A network attacker can see everything on the network, and can forget packets to disrupt network communication. A web attacker, on the other hand, can set up web sites and attract victims to them. A web attacker can send malicious content to an unsuspecting user's web browser, and cause the browser to send malicious requests or postings to other sites. While network security has been widely studied, web security is less well developed, at least scientifically. This project will develop security models for the web and explore the security of web mechanisms such as "secure" cookies, http-only cookies, the browser same-origin policy, anti-phishing mechanisms, and so on. Students will use a symbolic analysis tool called Alloy to develop executable models of the web security mechanisms, find attacks, and develop repairs to make the web more secure for future users.
- Social Network Security: Social networking sites such as blogs, wikis, Facebook, and Twitter invent new mechanisms to support new paradigms of user interaction. These new mechanisms often lead to new security problems. One example related to new functionality of social network sites and their security problems is blog trackback. This is an automated cross-referencing function that can be used for spam. We have studied the trackback protocol and blogspam. However, it is still an open problem to design, test, and evaluate a secure trackback mechanism. Other problems include reliable authentication, preventing or recovering from account takeover, and access control models for a wiki. This project will look at security problem related to social networking and design and evaluate possible solutions.
- *CAPTCHA Study*: Completely Automated Public Turing tests to tell Computers and Humans Apart (CAPTCHAs) are widely used by websites to distinguish abusive programs from real human users. Captchas typically present a user with a simple test like reading digits or listening to speech and then ask the user to type in what they saw or heard. The image or sound is usually distorted in various ways to make it difficult for a machine to perform the test. When successful, captchas can prevent a wide variety of abuses, such as invalid account creation and spam comments on blogs and forums. Captchas are intended to be easy for humans to perform, and difficult for machines to perform. So far, there are few really good studies on either what makes a Captcha easy for humans or difficult for machines. This project will involve further study of human difficulty and automated algorithms for breaking audio or visual Captchas.

Vanderbilt

- Security Attacks on Cyber-Physical Systems: Students will investigate the impacts of security attacks on the cyber-physical systems, including (1) implementing/running a simple networked control system in DETERLab, (2) using network attack generation tools to generate network attacks during the execution of the network control system, and (3) collecting traces, measure NCS system performance and study the results.
- *Fuzzing Testing of Web Applications*: Students will perform fuzzing testing of web applications, including (1) studying open-source web application systems and web fuzzers, (2) installing selected systems on DETERLab (3) revising/configuring the selected web fuzzer to (semi)automatic generate pseudo random test data (fuzzing), and (4) running the test data over the open source web-applications, collect the logs and study the results.





- *Fuzzing Testing of Web Services*: Students will perform fuzzing testing targeting web services, including (1) studying open-source web-service-based systems and web fuzzers, (2) installing selected systems on DETERLab (3) revising/configuring the selected web fuzzer to (semi)automatic generate pseudo random test data (fuzzing), and (4) running the test data over the open source web service, collect the logs and study the results.
- Secure Communications for Android: Students will investigate secure communications on the Android mobile platform, including conducting studies to better understand the tradeoff in implementing parts of the protocol at the OS/driver layer versus the Java VM layer versus the application layer and the tradeoff of potential weaknesses versus performance.

CDSIA has received excellent reviews from faculty participants. CDSIA is creating a community of TRUST scholars and has merged it with the IACBP into one annual event called the Annual Symposium on Curriculum. Going forward, we will continue to leverage CDSIA to engage community colleges and broaden participation. The 2010 program is scheduled for May 21-22 at San Jose State.

We will continue our relationship with the **Student Transitional Alliance for Research in STEM (STARS)**. STARS is a NSF-sponsored program and the partnership is designed to provide faculty and students from minority serving institutions (MSIs) with increased access to undergraduate and graduate research opportunities at six NSF-sponsored STCs (those funded in FY 2005 and FY 2006). The goals of this program are to: 1) increase the number of students from MSIs completing graduate degrees on STC campuses, 2) increase the number of students and faculty members from under-represented groups to obtain research experience at STC sites, 3) increase the involvement of MSI researchers on STC projects, 4) provide an expanded forum for STCs to share their education and knowledge transfer initiatives, and 5) increase faculty and staff diversity at STCs.

TRUST is actively collaborating with the STARS program and its lead, Dr. William McHenry, the Project Director of the Science and Diversity Center and Executive Director of the Mississippi e-Center at Jackson State University. During this reporting period, STARS funding supported two undergraduate students in the TRUST-REU summer 2009 program and we anticipate receiving STARS funding for additional TRUST-REU program participants in the summer 2010.

One new education initiative that is under development is an **Academic Program Specialization**. TRUST will create a graduate-level academic specialization in Cyber Security and Trustworthy System at all TRUST partner institutions. This specialization will be open to all students and require the completion of a minimum of eight semester units of advanced courses from a predefined list of topics. TRUST partner institutions will not only leverage existing graduate-level courses but will augment each institution's course offerings with the new courses described above. This, in turn, will enable each TRUST partner institution to expose a larger number of students to those topics.







4 KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER

4.1 Goals and Objectives

The Center's knowledge transfer goal is to establish TRUST as a true public private partnership—namely a trusted intermediary between industry, government, infrastructure stakeholders, and the research community.

TRUST knowledge transfer objectives are to: (1) develop strong liaison with the concerns of industry and infrastructure stakeholders; (2) produce legislative and legal policy papers and amicus briefs; (3) leverage testbeds for demonstrating Center research project results; (4) enable student internships and support entrepreneurial clubs; and (5) convene meetings, summits, and workshops to share the results and knowledge gained through Center research activities.

The structure of TRUST lends itself to a comprehensive approach to knowledge transfer. Since TRUST addresses well defined and long term societal needs, the results in computer security, privacy, and critical infrastructure protection can be easily communicated to decision makers, policy makers, and government agencies. With respect to industry, the Center's integrative testbeds represent focal points for interaction and dialog with major stakeholder industries (e.g., power, telecommunication, embedded systems). In fact, several integrative testbeds are being provided by the stakeholders, which offer significant leverage for the Center and support technology transfer from the research community to government and industry partners. Finally, TRUST researchers are leaders in their scientific communities. Their broad cooperation to achieve the TRUST objectives will serve as a catalyst to turn attention of the community toward the emerging science of secure systems.

TRUST comprises multiple institutions, technology vendors, and infrastructure users and providers. Broad participation from leading research universities, undergraduate colleges serving under-represented groups, computer vendors (e.g., Cisco, HP, IBM, Intel, Microsoft, Symantec), and infrastructure providers (BellSouth, Boeing, Qualcomm, Raytheon) will result in wide spread dissemination, adaptation and continued evolution of ubiquitous secure technology. TRUST research will learn and evolve with our results using an iterative investigate-develop-educate-apply cycle. We will develop science, technology, and proof of concept prototypes that will be tested through models that emerge from a series of analytical and case studies, experimentation, and simulations. We plan to use periodic updates of living reports and community workshops throughout the life-cycle of TRUST.

The research output of the Center will be disseminated in four ways: (1) publications in the open literature and on the web, (2) Seminars and workshops held at major conferences and infrastructure protection meetings, (3) public lectures and meetings with the general public concerned about security and privacy issues on the internet and critical infrastructure protection, and (4) curriculum development and courses taught at the partner institutions as well as the outreach institutions.

During the reporting period, we believe that TRUST has been solidly on track with respect to its knowledge transfer objectives. Success is measurable in many ways: technologies that are being commercialized, TRUST researchers who are working hand-in-hand with industry and standards groups to help improve trustworthiness of major infrastructure systems, activities aimed at educating the public and exploring non-technical ramifications of TRUST themes, development of significant TRUST spin-offs, and exploratory discussions regarding additional activities such as a center for research on trustworthy electronic health records and a trusted financial systems center under discussion with the U.S. Department of Treasury.







4.2 Performance and Management Indicators

TRUST knowledge transfer activities are periodically monitored for meeting the Center's overall knowledge transfer objectives and the individual activity's knowledge transfer objectives. Periodic monitoring consists of meetings of the TRUST Executive Board where progress of each knowledge transfer activity (or sets of activities) is formally reviewed. The evaluation metrics are outlined in the table below.

Goals	Objectives	Evaluation Criteria	Frequency
Economic, Legal,	Policy paper, amicus	Scholarly impact,	Bi-Annual
Social Impact of	briefs, legislation	Societal impact, Legislative impact	
incor		Judicial impact	
Testbeds	Demonstrations to scale	Industrial interest,	Annual
	of TRUST technology on realistic platforms	Industrial adoption, Stakeholder interest	
		Stakeholder adoption	
Financial	Identify generic/unique	Stakeholder interest,	Annual
infrastructures	features of TRUST issues,	stakeholder support	
	issues		
Electric power	Identify vulnerabilities of	Stakeholder interest,	Annual
demand side	SCADA systems, propose	Stakeholder support	
minastructures	systems solutions		
Secure Global	Examine and critique	Stakeholder interest,	Annual
Information Grid	proposed architectures,	Stakeholder support	
Architectures	propose security		
	solutions		

4.3 Current and Anticipated Problems

No significant problems were encountered during the reporting period. No significant problems are anticipated in the next reporting period.

4.4 Knowledge Transfer Activities

The TRUST industrial collaboration and technology transfer initiatives support the goals and objectives of the Center's knowledge transfer component. Within TRUST, knowledge transfer is enabled by (1) using partner knowledge and experience to focus research on real-world problems; (2) verifying our science and technology at partner sites to ensure they work in practice; (3) including partners in every stage of the research, science and technology development process; and (4) aggressively licensing TRUST intellectual property to corporate partners for commercialization. (In particular, the Center has developed an interesting open source software IP model to facilitate interactions with industry.)

The items below describe in more detail specific knowledge transfer activities of TRUST researchers. Items are grouped by the lead institution(s).







Te	Technology Transition to the U.S. Air Force			
Le	Led by Cornell University			
Or	Organizations Involved			
Name			Address	
1	Cornell University		Ithaca, NY 14850	

At the request of the Chief Information Officer of the U.S. Air Force, Mr. Tilotson (and the AF/XC, Mr. Werner), Birman and Schneider organized a workshop to study risks associated with Air Force deployment of Windows Vista as a single solution on client platforms. Although the workshop did identify some risks, we also identified a number of cutting edge risk management options that seem to address most issues. For example, TRUST research on artificial diversity seems to be a powerful remedy for the potential creation of a viral "target" associated with the very homogeneous deployment model, and indeed Windows Vista itself incorporates stack randomization, which is a very important first step. AF/XC was extremely pleased with the outcome and is acting on our recommendations for next steps, including early deployment suggestions and longer term research proposals. Contact: Dr. Sekar Chandersekaran (cchander@ida.org)

Research Dissemination via Conferences and Workshops			
Le	d by	Cornell University	
Organizations Involved			
	Name		Address
1	Cornell University		Ithaca, NY 14850
2	2 University of California, Berkeley		Berkeley, CA 94720
3	Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305
4	Carnegie Mellon Unive	ersity	Pittsburgh, PA 15213
5	Vanderbilt University		Nashville, TN 37235

The TRUST research team has had prominent roles such as keynote and other invited talks, both at major research conferences, industry-oriented conferences, and at some of the largest platform vendors, such as IBM, Microsoft and Cisco and are infusing these talks with TRUST themes. Such activities are good opportunities for dialog with folks "on the ground". Additionally, multiple TRUST members often support the same government workshops. For example, several TRUST researchers participated in a series of NSF sponsored workshops associated with the national cyber security research and development strategy, embedded sensors, and other small real-time devices. NSF is now exploring the creation of a new research program in this area. Finally, TRUST researchers have taken the lead to start new workshops and conferences focused around TRUST research themes. Of note during this period was the second annual Model-Based Trustworthy Health Information Systems (MOTHIS) workshop which was established by TRUST research Janos Sztipanovits.







Industry Technology Transition and Product Adoption				
Le	Led by Cornell University and Stanford University			
Or	ganizations Involved			
	Name		Address	
1	Cornell University		Ithaca, NY 14850	
2	Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305	

Under the direction of Professor Ken Birman at Cornell, work is underway on helping the Red Hat Linux community develop a new, open-source technology for time-critical event-driven computing. Many applications, such as financial systems or medical systems, are "event driven" in that some form of external data source (a ticker plant, or medical telemetry) must drive a reaction by the system. Today, there are surprisingly few technical options for building such systems: users are forced to purchase message middleware products from vendors and complain that the solutions are complex, expensive, and unstable in scaled-out deployments. Cornell's Ricochet protocol (NSDI 07) addresses these requirements in a simple, lightweight manner that offers extremely good real-time properties and involves minimal infrastructure. We're now working to produce a version matched to the needs of the Red Hat community, with the hope that the IP might enter their public-source distribution early in the 2009 timeframe. Patents on Ricochet would be transferred to OIN and licensed, for free, to any organization wishing to implement a new solution using the same ideas, and the Ricochet platform itself would become an open source component. We're also working on a new research paper reflecting some of the innovations needed to address practical deployment issues posed by the folks at Red Hat. Our main contact is Carl Trieloff (cctrieloff@redhat.com), the Chief Technology Officer of Red Hat.

Researchers from Stanford University collaborated with RSA Security on integration with the RSA SecurID hardware token. SecurID generates a one-time password that is still vulnerable to "attackerin-the-middle" password stealing attacks. With the server-side software developed as a result of this collaboration, RSA SecurID one-time passwords are protected from phishing attacks.

Op	Open Source Software Dissemination			
Led by Stanford University				
Organizations Involved				
	Name		Address	
1	1 Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305	
2	University of California, Berkeley		Berkeley, CA 94720	

Pwdhash, SafeCache, SafeHistory, and SpyBlock are all available as freely downloadable opensource software. At least tens of thousands of downloads have occurred, and there has been continuing media attention through 2006-07. Additionally, we have made available open source software releases of our Doppelganger code (http://www.umeshshankar.com/doppelganger/).







Privacy Issues in Electronic Medical Records				
Led by Stanford University			ty	
Organizations Involved				
	Name		Address	
1	Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305	
2	2 Vanderbilt University Medical Center		Nashville, TN 37235	
3	Vanderbilt University (ISIS)		Nashville, TN 37235	

Currently, the Stanford model of the MyHealth system is a simple workflow graph on the roles in the portal (patient, secretary, nurse, doctor, etc). Based on our analysis of this simplified workflow, we have made several design suggestions to the MyHealth team at the Vanderbilt Medical Center. Specifically, we have suggested (1) MyHealth include tags for messages, (2) use these tags to enforce privacy requirements, and (3) use these tags to route messages more accurately. The Vanderbilt team at ISIS is currently creating a hi-fidelity model of the MyHealth system, including its workflow. We will use this model to further evaluate MyHealth.

De	DexterNet			
Led by University of California,		University of California	, Berkeley	
Or	Organizations Involved			
	Name		Address	
1	Cornell University		Ithaca, NY 14850	
2	University of California	a, Berkeley	Berkeley, CA 94720	
3	Vanderbilt University		Nashville, TN 37235	

Berkeley, Cornell, and Vanderbilt researchers have jointly developed an integrated wireless sensor networking environment for remote healthcare. DexterNet is a demonstration platform for TRUST technologies for robust, reliable, and privacy-aware remote healthcare service using robust and privacy-aware wireless sensor network mesh network routing, minimum-disruption service recovery in ad hoc networks, and digital right management for sensor information.







Industry Technology Collaboration and Consulting				
Le	Led by University of California, Berkeley and Stanford University			
Organizations Involved				
	Name		Address	
1	Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305	
2	University of California	a. Berkelev	Berkeley, CA 94720	

David Wagner from the University of California, Berkeley has partnered closely with Hewlett Packard Labs researchers on the Joe-E project. HP Labs researchers are serving as the first users of Joe-E, and two internal HP projects have decided to adopt Joe-E. In particular, the Waterken server is implemented using 18K lines of Joe-E code and 3K lines of Java code. HP Labs researchers have helped us ensure that our techniques work in practice and to improve the Joe-E programming language. HP Labs researchers have been closely involved in the development of Joe-E; we have held day-long meetings approximately once each month. In addition, Wagner's research group at UC Berkeley and researchers at HP Labs jointly organized a security review of the Waterken server, to assess our experience with how well Joe-E was able to support the security goals of the Waterken project. Wagner also consults for Fortify Software, a startup producing software security tools, on their security products. Fortify Software is in the process of commercializing research into program analysis from several TRUST participants, including research by Aiken, Dawson, Song, Wagner, and others. Wagner has helped Fortify to transition his own research into their commercial products, as well as to transition research by other software security researchers from TRUST and elsewhere.

Dan Boneh and John Mitchell from Stanford University were advisors to Passmark, which was acquired by RSA. Rachna Dhamija from the University of California, Berkeley started Usable Security Systems, a company based on the Berkeley dynamic skins technology.

Model Integrated Clinical Information Systems (MICIS)			
Le	Led by Vanderbilt University		
Or	Organizations Involved		
	Name Address		
1 Vanderbilt University Medical Center		al Center	Nashville, TN 37235

Vanderbilt researchers have developed MICIS, a software toolkit that is based on model-based design techniques and high-level modeling abstractions to represent complex clinical workflows in a serviceoriented architecture paradigm. MICIS models are enriched with formal security and privacy policy specifications, which are enforced within the execution environment. One of the application domains of MICIS is the management of sepsis in acute care settings at the Vanderbilt Medical Center. The Sepsis Treatment Enhanced through Electronic Protocolization (STEEP) is a joint effort between TRUST at Vanderbilt and the Health Tech Lab of Vanderbilt Medical Center. MICIS is also being applied is the Emergency Department (ED) of the Vanderbilt Medical Center. The goal in any ED is the rapid turnaround of patients while maintaining a high quality of care and reducing cost by not ordering unnecessary tests. Privacy and security is achieved using the policy languages developed by TRUST.







Sepsis Treatment Enhanced through Electronic Protocolization (STEEP)				
Le	Led by Vanderbilt University			
Or	Organizations Involved			
	Name		Address	
1	Vanderbilt University Medical Center		Nashville, TN 37235	

STEEP is a joint effort between TRUST at Vanderbilt and the Health Tech Lab of Vanderbilt University Medical Center. It is an on-line patient management and advisory system using evidencebased guidelines for managing septic patients in Emergency Departments. The use of model-based techniques for specifying and implementing guidelines as coordinated asynchronous processes has proved to be a promising new methodology for providing advanced clinical decision support. STEEP is currently tested at the Simulation Center of Vanderbilt University Medical Center.

Health Education Relational Network Extraction Toolkit (HORNET)					
Led by Vanderbilt University					
Or	Organizations Involved				
	Name		Address		
1	Carnegie Mellon University		Pittsburgh, PA 15213		
2	2 Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305		
3	University of California, Berkeley		Berkeley, CA 94720		

Vanderbilt, Carnegie Mellon, and Stanford researchers have developed this open source electronic medical record access surveillance toolkit which can detect suspicious behavior with respect to usage of medical records. HORNET incorporates a suite of algorithms and statistical techniques for building social, or interaction networks in a temporal setting which is platform independent and can be integrated with existing health records infrastructures. It is currently being piloted with real-world access transaction logs from the Vanderbilt University Medical Center.

Architectural Modeling and Policy Languages					
Led by Vanderbilt Univer			sity		
Or	Organizations Involved				
	Name		Address		
1	Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305		
2	Vanderbilt University		Nashville, TN 37235		

Vanderbilt and Stanford has been having regular telecons where they explore the ways how the temporal logic based policy language developed at Stanford can be integrated into the Model Integrated Computing toolsuite of Vanderbilt. The modeling environment, model analysis and model transformation tools support the precise specification of workflows in the system, while the policy language captures the policies that influence the execution of those workflows as well as guarantee the privacy, confidentiality and integrity of the data involved. The ongoing regular meetings have been helping both groups to gain better understanding of each other's technology.







Se	Security Co-Design Toolbox					
Le	d by	Vanderbilt Univer	sity			
Organizations Involved						
	Name		Address			
1	Cornell University		Ithaca, NY 14850			
2	Vanderbilt University		Nashville, TN 37235			

We have developed security co-design tools that couple security with the initial design stages of sensor networks. The basic idea is that embedded (a.k.a. cyber-physical) systems must be designed with security considerations in mind. At its core, interactions are established between embedded system properties (response-time, bandwidth, data lifetime) and computer security issues. Co-design then takes the form of interweaving security and para-functional aspects in the design process. Ongoing work is focused on security property verification of design-models and metamodel composition for integrating security modeling into embedded system design languages. The final objective is a toolbox with application-specific extensions that can be used to develop secure sensor networks in a wide variety of application domains.

SocialNetSec'09						
Led by Stan		Stanford Universit	Stanford University			
Or	Organizations Involved					
	Name		Address			
1	Stanford University		Stanford, CA 94305			
2	University of California, Berkeley		Berkeley, CA 94720			

TRUST researchers John Mitchell and Dan Boneh organized the First Workshop on Social Network Security (SocialNetSec'09) at Stanford University September 11, 2009. This workshop brought together researchers, industry practitioners, and government personnel to discuss key issues relating to the security and privacy of social networks. The talks presented a wide range of research challenges facing the social networks industry. The following is a list of the presenters and talks given:

- Tao Stein (Facebook), Protecting Facebook's Users and their Interactions
- Kevin Bingham (DoD/Office of the Secretary of Defense), *DoD and Social Networking Status and Activities*
- Arvind Narayanan (Stanford Security Lab), Privacy by Design in Social Networks: Pitfalls and Challenges
- Kun Liu (Yahoo!), *Privacy-Preserving Social-Network Analysis with a Focus on Privacy Risks Computations for Social-Networking Users*
- Palash Nandy (YouTube), Gaming Reputation for Fame and Profit
- Sam Altman and Nick Sivo (Loopt), Security, Privacy, and Location
- Gerome Miklau (University of Massachusetts Amherst), *Analyzing Private Network Data using Output Perturbation*
- Jasvir Nagra (Google), Caja A Sanitizing Rewriter that Makes it Possible to Host and Compose Potentially Malicious Third Party HTML, CSS, and JavaScript Easily and Safely





Fir	First Workshop on Secure Control Systems (SCS)							
Led by University of Cal			fornia, Berkeley					
Organizations Involved								
	Name		Address					
1	Carnegie Mellon University		Pittsburgh, PA 15213					
2	University of California, Berkeley		Berkeley, CA 94720					

TRUST researchers in collaboration with researchers from the Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden (KTH) have organized the *First Workshop on Secure Control Systems* (SCS) will be held April 12, 2010 in Stockholm, Sweden as part of the 13th International Conference on Hybrid Systems: Computation and Control (HSCC) which is one of five conferences associated with CPSWeek 2010. The focus of the workshop will be on system theoretic approaches to enhance security and resilience of control and monitoring systems—systems that govern the operation of critical infrastructure such as power transmission, water distribution, transportation networks, building automation systems and process control systems.

The workshop aims to foster collaborations between interested researchers from the fields of control and systems theory, software verification and computer security. It will provide a forum for discussing theories and methodologies that encompass ideas from (1) robust, fault-tolerant and networked control systems, (2) heterogeneous composition, abstraction and verification methods, and (3) information security and privacy and, hopefully, advance the development of guidelines for the design and operation of security policies for control systems and a principled approach to enhance security, trustworthiness, and dependability of control systems.

The workshop will include research presentations, and industry/academic panel session, and a tutorial on the need for resilient control systems lead by researchers from the Idaho National Laboratory (INL) which will focus on the importance of resilient control systems and their related processes as well as SCADA testbeds.

4.5 Other Knowledge Transfer Outcomes

No additional knowledge transfer outcomes to report.

4.6 Knowledge Transfer Metrics/Indicators

Knowledge transfer provides the means by which research results are transitioned from Center faculty and students to society. TRUST knowledge transfer activities are both continuously monitored and periodically reviewed to ensure that they support the Center's overall knowledge transfer goals and make progress against the activity's knowledge transfer objectives. The evaluation metrics are described below.

- Economic, Legal, and Social Impact of TRUST How does the activity improve the understanding of economic, legal, and social aspects of cyber security and critical infrastructure protection technologies? This impact is measured by the number of policy papers and amicus briefs produced as well as efforts to provide subject matter expertise that helps shape legislation and influences judicial decisions.
- **Testbeds** How well does the activity leverage testbeds to promote industry and stakeholder interest and adoption? The role of the testbeds is to integrate and evaluate technologies in specific and realistic systems, keep the research on track to answer societal objectives, and demonstrate technologies to stakeholders in real systems.





- **Financial Infrastructures** How does the activity address the unique security, privacy, and data protection challenges of the financial services industry? While a number of the problems encountered in financial infrastructures are generic to the development of trusted systems, there are several unique problems having to do with strong needs for privacy, selective revelation, and forensics.
- Electric Power Demand Side Infrastructure How does the activity address the unique challenges being faced by electric power service providers, SCADA operators, and government organizations and research laboratories? The problems associated with securing electric power systems, and their associated network of SCADA components, is demanding and complex and requires solutions that solve specific issues in the security of SCADA networks.
- Secure Global Information Grid Architectures How does the activity address challenges within the Department of Defense as it strives to interconnect enterprise networks, information exchange networks, and tactical networks via the Global Information Grid (GIG)? In particular, there are opportunities to provide impact in information assurance, specifically in the areas of multiple levels of security, real time information sharing architectures, and command and control architectures.

Knowledge transfer activities are periodically monitored by the TRUST Executive Board where progress of each activity (or sets of activities) is formally reviewed. Knowledge transfer activities are expected to produce specific deliverables or results such as amicus briefs, position papers, industrial liaison consultations, solution repositories, summits, and case studies.

4.7 Next Reporting Period Knowledge Transfer Plans

For the next reporting period, the Center will increase dialog with major stakeholder industries and specific companies within those industries. In particular, the Center is hoping to leverage its growing relationships with industry via the many research and education activities that have been established in the first five years of the Center.

Additionally, the Center plans to build on previous programs that brought together TRUST researchers with U.S. and international researchers to develop security technologies, increase security public awareness, and foster security partnership among government organizations, academic institutions, and private sector companies. The hope is to see sets of TRUST researchers form mini-centers in the areas of SCADA computing, electronic health care records, and trusted computing for financial applications. These mini-centers will bring additional resources to TRUST enabling the Center to leverage the government investment being made in core TRUST research and provide concrete application areas on which TRUST researchers can focus their efforts.







5 EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS

5.1 Goals and Objectives

One of the goals of the Center is to serve as a trusted intermediary between academics, industry, and policy makers, while simultaneously addressing long term societal needs in its research and education activities, and pursuing knowledge transfer. To integrate these objectives together, TRUST has sought to partner with representatives from the Information Technology (IT) industry and national laboratories. These partnerships not only facilitate the transfer of TRUST research results to industry but they provide an opportunity for TRUST to receive guidance in the Center's overall strategic planning and implementation through senior industry personnel on the TRUST Scientific Advisory Board (SAB).

5.2 Performance and Management Indicators

Several performance indicators are used to track progress in meeting the overall metric of global impact of the Center. As with other areas, TRUST partnerships are periodically monitored for their effectiveness in supporting the Center's partnership goals objectives. The evaluation metrics are outlined in the table below.

Objective	Metric	Frequency
Increased External	Number of TRUST	Annual
Partnerships	partners	
Increased Amount of	Level of funding from	Annual
External Funding	industrial partners	
Growth in Base of	Number of Knowledge	Annual
Knowledge Transfer	Transfer collaborators	
Collaborators		
Joint Research Impact	Number and magnitude	Annual
	of joint research activities	
	with National	
	Laboratories	
Policy and Legislation	Level of interaction with	Annual
Influence	Policy/Legislative	
	organization	

5.3 Current and Anticipated Problems

No significant problems were encountered during the reporting period. No significant problems are anticipated in the next reporting period.

5.4 External Partnership Activities

The items below describe external partnership activities of TRUST researchers.







Partnership Activity			Industrial Research Partnership			
Led by			UC Berkeley			
Org	ganizations Involved					
	Name of Organization	Sha	red Resources (if	Use of Resources (if applicable)		
	-	any)			
1	University of					
	California, Berkeley					
	(Lead Organization)					
2	Carnegie Mellon					
	University					
3	Cornell University					
4	Mills College					
5	San Jose State					
University						
6 Smith College						
7	7 Stanford University					
8	Vanderbilt University					

TRUST researchers and staff at all partner institutions are working with a number of industrial companies. The Industrial Research Partnership initiative strives to strengthen ties between TRUST and industry. Through this initiative, a number of industrial partners participate in knowledge transfer, serve on the Center's Scientific Advisory Board, or collaborate actively with TRUST researchers. Current TRUST industrial partners are:

- BT
- Cisco Systems
- DoCoMo USA Labs
- EADS
- ESCHER Research Institute
- Hewlett Packard
- IBM
- Intel
- Microsoft
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Pirelli
- Qualcomm
- Sun
- Symantec
- Tata Consultancy Services
- Telecom Italia
- United Technologies.

The primary means of supporting the Center through the Industrial Research Partnership is for a company to become an official corporate partner at one of the Center's sponsorship levels (Affiliate, Small or Minority-Owned Business, Partner, or Premium Partner) and provide the associated level of funding to the Center. Sponsorship benefits and types of collaboration with Center faculty vary by membership level.





Partnership Activity			International Collaboration for Advancing Security Technology			
			(iCAST)			
Lee	d by		UC Berkeley, Carnegie Mellon University			
Organizations Involved						
	Name of Organization	Shar	red Resources (if	Use of Resources (if applicable)		
		any))			
1	University of					
	California, Berkeley					
2	Carnegie Mellon					
	University					

iCAST is a team consisting of members from the Taiwan Information Security Center (TWISC), the Institute for Information Industry (III), the Industrial Technology Research Institute of Taiwan (ITRI), and the Chung Cheng Institute of Technology at the National Defense University (NDU). iCAST collaborates with international institutions in various fields related to information security. In particular, TRUST has worked closely with TWISC to expand information security research and development activities, to increase information security public awareness, and foster information security partnership among government organizations, academic institutions, and private sector companies. TWISC research is in the areas of cryptology, network security, multimedia security, software security, and information security management. The initial three-year program is ending but an effort is being made to build on that very successful program to extend, and possibly expand, the work.

Par	tnership Activity	Financial Services	Financial Services Roundtable		
Lee	d by	Stanford Universit	у		
Org	ganizations Involved				
	Name of Organization	Shared Resources (if	Use of Resources (if applicable)		
		any)			
1	Cornell University				
2	Stanford University				
3	University of				
	California, Berkeley				

TRUST has established a partnership with two Financial Services Roundable consortia: the Financial Services Technology Consortium (FSTC) and BITS. Both organizations support member institutions in the financial services industry: the FSTC sponsors noncompetitive, collaborative R&D of interest to the financial services community and BITS promotes activities that sustain consumer confidence and trust by ensuring the security, privacy and integrity of financial transactions.

TRUST investigators John Mitchell from Stanford and Ken Birman and Fred Schneider from Cornell have been most active in engaging senior FSTC/BITS personnel and industry executives. Initial dialogue between TRUST researchers and FSTC/BITS personnel has identified a number of areas of active research within TRUST that is also of interest to FSTC/BITS member institutions. Currently, Dan Schutzer from the FSTC is working to establish a special interest group (SIG) within the organization to bring together academic researchers and industry practitioners to share research results and operational and technical needs. The initial focus of this group will be related to security of cloud computing and social networks but TRUST is working to identify other promising research areas relevant to the financial services industry and topics to be presented at future FSTC/BITS SIG







meetings. It is expected that this partnership will increase exposure to TRUST research activities and identify opportunities for future industry and government collaboration.

5.5 Other External Partnership Outcomes

None to report.

5.6 External Partnership Metrics/Indicators

During this reporting period, there was significant progress made in the area of external partnerships. TRUST faculty and staff worked closely with a number of companies through the Center's Industrial Research Partnership program to obtain support for TRUST research projects as well as education and outreach activities. Industrial partners new to TRUST during this reporting period are DoCoMo USA Labs, EADS, and Tata Consultancy Services. These partnerships provide an opportunity to leverage fundamental cyber security and critical infrastructure protection research being conducted in the Center and apply it to other areas.

5.7 Next Reporting Period External Partnership Plans

During the next reporting period, we hope to increase the number of companies participating in the Center's Industrial Research Partnership program and, in particular, further pursue opportunities for external industry funding to augment the government investment made in the Center. We feel that this effort will not only further grow the number of knowledge transfer opportunities for Center research results but it will also provide TRUST faculty and students more opportunities to collaborate with industry executives and professionals and apply their research to real-world problems.

We also hope to increase the center's global presence by identifying international partners with whom the Center can partner to broaden our research, education, and knowledge transfer impact. Initial discussions have taken place with cyber security researchers and centers, government organizations, and commercial companies in Belgium, Denmark, Finland, India, Korea, Singapore, Sweden, Taiwan, and the United Kingdom.







6 DIVERSITY

6.1 Goals and Objectives

In TRUST, our diversity efforts will take a "grass roots" approach by building strong partnerships with faculty and institutions that will help us achieve our goals of inclusion of women and underrepresented minorities (URM). These partnerships will help us to cultivate the role models and mentors necessary to meet the diversity goals and objectives of the Center. Our programs can be grouped under the following goals:

- Infuse the computer science and engineering pipeline with new, diverse, and talented individuals
- Retain those individuals within TRUST research areas
- Prepare those individuals for successful careers, especially as researchers and educators in academia

Our objectives are quantified by the level of participation of women and underrepresented minorities within the Center. We seek to achieve 30% women among the Center's participants (i.e., faculty, students, research scientists, and Center staff). We also seek to achieve 10% underrepresented minorities among the Center's participants. The Center conducts assessments to track our progress towards these objectives.

6.2 Performance and Management Indicators

TRUST diversity activities are periodically monitored for meeting the Center's overall diversity objectives. Periodic monitoring consists of meetings of the TRUST Executive Board where progress of each diversity activity (or sets of activities) is formally reviewed. The diversity evaluation metrics are outlined in the table below.

Goals	Objectives	Evaluation Criteria	Frequency
Minority Faculty Research	Guided Summer Program	Number of faculty, Exit Surveys, Tracking surveys of alumni	Every 3 Years
Immersion Institute	Attract more women students to TRUST and related fields	Exit surveys, Tracking surveys of alumnae, Module development	Every 3 Years
SUPERB-TRUST	Research opportunities for minority undergraduate students at non-partner institutions	Exit surveys, Tracking surveys of alumni, Graduate school applications	Every 3 Years
Community Outreach	Dialog with public about policy, privacy, and economics	Exit surveys	Every 2 Years

Recruitment of underrepresented minority groups and women is a high priority for TRUST. For example, announcements for TRUST summer programs were distributed via email to the following organization and websites: The Computer Alliance of Hispanic Serving Intuitions (CAHSI), Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU), Louis Stokes Alliance for Minority Participation (LSAMP), Alliances







For Graduate Education and the Professoriate (AGEP), Committee for the Status of Women in Computing Research (CRA-W), California State University Computer Science Department Chairs and EECS university department chairs, Quality Education for Minorities Network (QEM) and Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship (IGERT) website program portal.

6.3 Current and Anticipated Problems

No significant problems were encountered during the reporting period. No significant problems are anticipated in the next reporting period.

6.4 Diversity Activities

The sections below describe some of the Center's activities which are contributing to the development of U.S. human resources in science and engineering at the postdoctoral, graduate, undergraduate, and precollege levels—especially those aimed at attracting, increasing, and retaining the participation of women and underrepresented groups.

<u>TRUST Research Experiences for Undergraduates (TRUST_REU)</u> – This program supports a cohort of URM undergraduate students for an eight week summer residential program at TRUST partner institutions. The program allows undergraduate students to work with TRUST faculty and graduate students in a TRUST-related research area, experience firsthand a rigorous academic research environment, participate in technical seminars, participate in professional development activities, and present the results of their research.

<u>Student Transitional Alliance for Research in STEM (STARS)</u> – This is a NSF sponsored program and the partnership is designed to provide faculty and students from minority serving institutions (MSIs) with increased access to undergraduate and graduate research opportunities at six NSF-sponsored STCs (those funded in FY 2005 and FY 2006). The goals of this program are: 1) To increase the number of students from MSIs completing graduate degrees on STC campuses, 2) To increase the number of students and faculty members from under-represented groups to obtain research experience at STC sites, 3) To increase the involvement of MSI researchers on STC projects, 4) To provide an expanded forum for STCs to share their education and knowledge transfer initiatives, and 5) To increase faculty and staff diversity at STCs.

<u>Women's Institute in Summer Enrichment (WISE)</u> – This is a one-week residential summer program that brings together graduate students, post-doctoral fellows, and professors from all disciplines that are interested in ubiquitous secure technology and the social, political, and economical ramifications that are associated with this technology. The fourth offering of this program was held at the University of California, Berkeley (summer 2009); there were 30 participants with nine speakers. The Institute emphasizes the inclusion of women and underrepresented graduate students, post-doctorates, and junior faculty.

6.5 Diversity Activity Impact

"[The] under-participation in CS [computer science] by large segments of our society represents a loss of opportunity for individuals, a loss of talent in the workforce, and a loss of creativity in shaping the future of technology. Not only is it a basic equity issue, but it threatens our global economic viability as a nation."

P. A. Freeman and J. Cuny, "Common ground: A diverse CS community benefits all of us," Computing Research News, vol. 17, 2005.







TRUST seeks to address the grand challenge as described by Freeman and Cuny. Our efforts in computer science and engineering must make strides to diversify the workforce in order to meet the future demands of our technical profession. To that end, TRUST faculty and staff are engaged in a number of diversity activities:

<u>Women's Institute for Summer Enrichment (WISE)</u>: WISE has now become a signature program of TRUST to attract women researchers.

<u>TRUST Research Experiences for Undergraduates (TRUST-REU)</u>: During summer 2009, Berkeley faculty and graduate student mentors led research projects for 10 undergraduate students. Participants were from diverse backgrounds and cultures, including three female students and six URM students— most from undergraduate institutions with limited research programs giving them an opportunity to be exposed to cutting edge of academic research in general and projects tightly integrated with ongoing research of TRUST faculty and graduate students, in particular.

<u>Information Assurance Capacity Building Program (IACBP)</u>: The IACBP is a capacity building program supporting faculty development and retention in minority serving intuitions. This program also creates opportunity for future collaboration between IACBP and TRUST faculty.

<u>Curriculum Development in Security and Information Assurance (CDSIA)</u>: The CDSIA is a capacity building program with the objective to (1) reach out to the many universities of the California State University system and to other universities whose mission is focused on work-force preparation and undergraduate education, (2) to share with faculty members of these institutions material and support structures developed by the TRUST partners, (3) to strengthen the TRUST-related community of educators, and (4) to facilitate the education of members of underrepresented communities in the domain of secure technologies.</u>

<u>Community Outreach</u>: Programs like the TRUST Speakers Series provide information and technology transfer to the community at large. In addition to providing on-campus presentations, TRUST archives speaker presentations on the TAO Portal. This program is learning exchange for professionals and academics in the security field.

6.6 Diversity Metrics/Indicators

As stated previously, the Center has established the goals of 30% participation by women and 10% participation by members of underrepresented groups. Figure 3 and Figure 4 provide the historical participation within the Center by gender and by race/ethnicity respectively. In each case, the Center is at or near the goal for participation in each category. For a perspective in computer science and engineering, the Taulbee Survey reports approximately 20% participation by women and approximately 5% participation by underrepresented minorities.





Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST)





Figure 3: TRUST Participation by Gender



Figure 4: TRUST Participation by Race/Ethnicity

The tables below provide detail on the gender, race, and citizenship breakdown of TRUST participants in the WISE, TRUST-REU, and CDSIA programs during this reporting period.

WISE 2009										
Constituency	Ge	nder	Race					US Citizen		Total
	М	F	White	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Other	Y	N	
Faculty	1	13	1	3	7	2	1	7	7	14
Graduate Students	0	10	3	3	3	1	0	9	1	10
Research Scientists	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	2
Post Doctorates	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
TOTALS:	1	26	5	7	11	3	1	18	9	27





TRUST-REU 2009

Constituency	Ge	nder	Race					US C	itizen	Total
	М	F	White	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Other	Y	N	
Undergraduates	7	3	4	5	0	0	1	8	2	10
TOTALS	7	3	4	5	0	0	1	8	2	10

CDSIA 2009

Constituency	Gender		Race					US Citizen		Total
	М	F	White	African American	Asian	Hispanic	Other	Y	N	
Faculty	30	10	18	1	20	1	0	39	1	40
TOTALS	30	10	18	1	20	1	0	39	1	40

6.7 Next Reporting Period Diversity Plans

We plan to continue our successful activities such as WISE, TRUST-REU, and CDSIA. To that portfolio, we will add the Bridges to Underrepresented Institutions for Long-term Development in Information Technology (BUILD-IT) conference. We plan to hold this conference at Vanderbilt with support from all TRUST campuses. The conference will select faculty mentors from Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) and Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSIs) and provide them with the opportunity to (a) learn about TRUST research thrusts, (b) meet TRUST faculty and graduate students, and (c) discuss the Center's diversity mission, objectives, and programs. Target institutions are among the largest producers of undergraduates in computer science and engineering from traditionally underrepresented groups. We will form relationships with faculty at schools who produce our targeted participants so those faculty feel confident in sending their best and brightest to TRUST Center partner institutions. We will also address key issues for the success of a diverse population such as the campus climate, community support structure, and availability of professional development.

We have identified the Computing Alliance for Hispanic-Serving Institutions (CAHSI) and the Association of Computer/Information Sciences and Engineering Departments at Minority Institutions (ADMI) as potential partners to aid us in reaching a new generation of researchers in the area of security. We also plan to work with the Coalition to Diversify Computing to support their programming efforts, such as participating in the Richard Tapia Celebration of Diversity in Computing Conference, and leverage our affiliation with the Empowering Leadership Alliance to connect our students with a larger community of scholars and mentors.







7 MANAGEMENT

7.1 Organizational Strategy

TRUST is organized to support the Center's strategic goals and objectives and to provide an operational structure that enables collaboration and allows the Center's researchers to primarily focus on research. At the same time, the TRUST organization has the necessary management and leadership resources that allow such a large, diverse organization to effectively function.

The TRUST organization chart is shown in Appendix B. The Center is guided by the Director (and Principal Investigator) Prof. Shankar Sastry from Berkeley. Additional Center leadership and management is provided by the Chief Scientist, Prof. Fred Schneider from Cornell; the Executive Director, Larry Rohrbough, from Berkeley; the Education Director, Dr. Kristen Gates from Berkeley; the Outreach Director, Prof. William Robinson from Vanderbilt; the Policy Director, Prof. Deirdre Mulligan from Berkeley; the Program Manager, Gladys Khoury from Berkeley; and the Program Coordinator, Sally Alcala, from Berkeley.

The Executive Board manages and executes the overall administration of the Center. The Executive Committee consists of the Center Director, Chief Scientist, Executive Director, Education Director, Diversity Director, Policy Director, Program Manager, and university Principal Investigators.

7.2 Performance and Management Indicators

Effective operation and management of the Center depends on several key processes and agreements. One of which is the set of TRUST Center By-Laws. The By-Laws were drafted and accepted into practice in the first year of the Center and govern the operation and management of the Center.

The TRUST Center By-Laws are as follows:

- 1. The TRUST center will be administered by a board of directors with no more than nine directors and no fewer than five directors. The Board will have a Chairman.
- 2. The board will have as ex-officio members the co-PIs of the NSF STC TRUST proposal: that is, John Mitchell, Mike Reiter, Shankar Sastry, Janos Sztipanovits and Steve Wicker will be the Board members. Shankar Sastry will be the Chairman of the Board. The chairman of the board will be responsible for conducting the meetings, or delegating the conducting of the meeting to another board member.
- 3. Directors are elected to or removed from the board by 2/3 vote of the standing directors rounded up to the next integer (for example, if the board has 5, then 4 must vote in favor, if 4, then 3, and if 3, then 2).
- 4. A quorum for a directors meeting consists of 2/3 of the directors. Meetings will be scheduled at an average interval of once a month until modified by the directors.
- 5. Directors meetings can be scheduled by a 2/3 vote, and directors will be notified at least one week in advance.
- 6. A quorum for a directors meeting consists of 2/3 of the directors and decisions made at such a meeting are final. Participation by telephone at the meetings is fine.





- 7. Unless otherwise stated, any decision by the board is by majority vote (either a majority of the directors present at a meeting, or a majority of the standing directors if the decision is made without a meeting). Obtaining votes by email is acceptable.
- 8. Major TRUST activities including research, education and outreach directions will be reported to the board on a periodic basis, not to exceed three months, for concurrence.
- 9. A Secretary will be appointed by the board, and will be responsible for recording decisions made by the board and distributing a summary of the deliberations to any board members not present at a meeting.
- 10. A Treasurer will be appointed by the board, and will be responsible for reporting financial status to the board, including cash flow position and projections for all accounts that are part of the TRUST center.
- 11. The bylaws can be modified by a 2/3 vote of the standing board. Amendments will be logged in and kept current by the secretary of the Board.

7.3 Management Metrics/Indicators

During this reporting period, the Center leadership provided effective management and guidance. Center staff, Principal Investigators, and members of the Executive Board worked together to provide an operational structure that supported the research, education, and knowledge transfer goals of the Center as well as an infrastructure for running the day-to-day aspects of the Center.

7.4 Current and Anticipated Problems

No significant problems were encountered during the reporting period. No significant problems are anticipated in the next reporting period.

7.5 Management and Communications System

The TRUST management structure includes a number of systems and processes that foster communication within the Center. First, the TRUST website (www.truststc.org) is designed to be a comprehensive resource for obtaining TRUST-related material and communicating with TRUST researchers and staff. The TRUST website provides e-mail lists, collaborative workspaces, access to publications and presentations, news items, blogs, information on past and future TRUST events, and workshop/conference registration pages. Industrial, governmental and academic participants have individual accounts and membership in multiple workspaces via a secure login procedure. E-mail lists and newsgroups are linked to each other providing easy access to discussion threads. E-mail messages are archived and are searchable. Resources such as workgroups and publications have fine grained access control and the website provides workgroup web pages via participant supplied HTML and Wiki pages. There have been no problems with the website, despite that fact that its content has grown significantly as has the number of registered users and page views and its infrastructure has become the primary means by which information is communicated to TRUST researchers and the wider TRUST community.

In order to ensure regular dialogue and communication across partner institutions, the TRUST Executive Board holds standing monthly meetings to discuss the current status of projects, funding and resource allocation, and other management and operational issues. New during this reporting period is the added use of WebEx to share documents among the geographically-dispersed Executive Board membership and the inclusion of a non-Executive Board TRUST investigator at each meeting to report on recent research





results and outcomes. Ad hoc meetings are also arranged as necessary in addition to these regularly scheduled meetings and the frequency of the Executive Board meetings has changed from monthly to bimonthly to weekly as necessary to allow the group ample opportunities to confer and make timely decisions.

7.6 Center Advisory Personnel

TRUST receives outside advice, guidance, and counsel from two groups: the External Advisory Board (EAB) and the Industrial Advisory Board (IAB). Each group is described in more detail below.

<u>External Advisory Board</u> – The TRUST EAB is a distinguished group of experts in research, education, policy, and management whose guidance supplements the strategic planning by TRUST management and the TRUST Executive Board. The primary goal of the EAB is to offer an independent assessment of TRUST research, education, outreach, and diversity accomplishments, goals, and plans. EAB input plays a crucial role in the annual revision of the TRUST strategic plan.

The EAB's effectiveness is directly related to its ability to offer unbiased counsel; as such, selfgovernance is a guiding principle in the EAB's charter. EAB members are appointed for three year terms and the EAB is headed by a chairperson, who is also appointed for a term of three years.

NSF policies on conflict of interest govern the independence of the EAB and require that EAB members do not have financial interests or collaborations with faculty and staff being supported by TRUST funding. The EAB meets annually and performs the following functions:

- First, it reviews the TRUST strategic plan, project plans, and annual report on research, education, and outreach. Unfettered Q&A sessions during TRUST briefs facilitate collecting information on pivotal points.
- Second, the EAB conducts deliberations, which occur in closed session presided by the EAB chairperson.
- Third, the EAB produces a report and presents its findings to the TRUST Executive Board and the Vice Chancellor of Research at the TRUST lead institution, UC Berkeley.

EAB members and their affiliations are listed in the table below.


Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST)



	Name	Affiliation
1	Alfred Aho	Columbia University
2	Annie Anton	North Carolina State University
3	Patricia Bellia	University of Notre Dame
4	Matthew Davis	University of California
5	Lee Burge	Tuskegee University
6	David Clark	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
7	George Cybenko	Dartmouth College
8	James Johnson	Howard University
9	Jay Lala	Raytheon
10	Carl Landwehr	University of Maryland
11	Teresa Lunt	Palo Alto Research Center
12	Dan Manson	California State Polytechnic University
13	Andrew Odlyzko	University of Minnesota
14	William Sanders	University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
15	Joseph Sifakis	CNRS, Verimag
16	Gene Spafford	Purdue University

<u>Industrial Advisory Board</u> – The TRUST IAB consists of senior executives and thought leaders from industry, academia, and government and commercial research laboratories. The primary goal of the IAB is to engage the TRUST Executive Board to communicate industry's perspective and research needs and help the Executive Board develop and execute a successful Center/Industry partnership model.

IAB members and their affiliations are listed in the table below.

	Name	Affiliation
1	Andrew Chien	Intel
2	Jean Colpin	United Technologies Research Center
3	Phil Edholm	Nortel Networks
4	Pieroguido Iezzi	Perelli
5	Wayne Johnson	HP Laboratories
6	William Mark	SRI International
7	John W. Noerenberg	Qualcomm
8	Giovanni Penna	Telecom Italia
9	Emil Sarpa	Sun Microsystems
10	Steve Trilling	Symantec

7.7 Center Strategic Plan Changes

Changes to the TRUST Strategic Plan are indicated within that document. The TRUST Strategic Plan was last updated September 18, 2008.







8 CENTER-WIDE OUTPUTS AND ISSUES

8.1 Center Publications

The following sections provide lists of various TRUST Center publications produced during this reporting period. Publications are listed in reverse chronological order and are grouped into the following categories based on their publication type: Peer Reviewed Publications, Journal Articles, Books and Book Chapters, and Non-Peer Reviewed Publications. For each publication, a link to the TRUST publications database is provided as reference.

8.1.1 Peer Reviewed Publication

- <u>Bootstrapping Trust in Commodity Computers</u>, Bryan Parno, Jonathan M. McCune, Adrian Perrig, Proceedings of IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, May, 2010
- <u>Static Power Reduction Techniques for Asynchronous Circuits</u>, Carlos Tadeo Ortega Otero, Jonathan Tse, Rajit Manohar, IEEE International Symposium on Asynchronous Circuits and Systems, May, 2010
- <u>Simulation of Network Attacks on SCADA Systems</u>, Rohan Chabukswar, Bruno Sinopoli, Gabor Karsai, Annarita Giani, Himanshu Neema, Andrew Davis, First Workshop on Secure Control Systems, Cyber Physical Systems Week 2010, April, 2010
- <u>Fine-Grained Privilege Separation for Web Applications</u>, Adrian Mettler, David Wagner, Akshay Krishnamurthy, World Wide Web Conference, IW3C2, April, 2010
- <u>Secure Compressed Domain Watermarking for H.264 Video</u>, Sampath Bavipati, Xiao Su, Proc. 7th Int'l Conf. on Information Technology: New Generations, April, 2010
- <u>Adapting and Evaluating Distributed Real-time and Embedded Systems in Dynamic</u> <u>Environments</u>, Joe Hoffert, Douglas Schmidt, Aniruddha Gokhale, 1st International Workshop on Data Dissemination for Large scale Complex Critical Infrastructures, April, 2010
- <u>Mobile User Location-specific Encryption (MULE): Using Your Office as Your Password</u>, Adrian Perrig, Ahren Studer, Proceedings of ACM Conference on Wireless Network Security (WiSec), March, 2010
- <u>Security a science?</u>, Sigurd Meldal, IEEE IACC 2010, Deepak Garg, 19, February, 2010
- Decreased time delay and security enhancement recommendations for AMI smart meter networks, Coalton Bennett, Stephen Wicker, IEEE Xplore Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT), 2010, 6, 19, January, 2010
- <u>Self-Replicating Objects for Multicore Platform.</u>, Ken Birman, Krzysztof Ostrowski, Self-Replicating Objects for Multicore Platform., 2010
- <u>Application of Reed-Muller Codes for Localization of Malicious Nodes</u>, Anna Kacewicz, Stephen Wicker, IEEE ICC, 2010
- <u>A Middleware for Gossip Protocols</u>, Robbert van Renesse, A Middleware for Gossip Protocols, 2010
- <u>Can a Routing Protocol Be Truly Optimal? Dynamic Load-Balancing in Wireless Sensor</u> <u>Networks</u>, Daniel Seung Lee, Stephen Wicker, WAMICON 2010, WAMICON, 2010
- Joint State-Space Planning: A Practical Algorithm for the Multiple Path Consensus Problem, Mikhail Lisovich, Maxim Likhachev, 2010 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2010), 2010
- Joe-E: A Security-Oriented Subset of Java, Adrian Mettler, David Wagner, Tyler Close, Network and Distributed Systems Symposium, Internet Society, 2010
- <u>Object Views: Fine-Grained Sharing in Browsers</u>, Leo Meyerovich, Adrienne Porter Felt, Mark Miller, International World Wide Web Conference (WWW), 2010





- <u>Protecting Browsers from Extension Vulnerabilities</u>, Adam Barth, Adrienne Porter Felt, Prateek Saxena, Aaron Boodman, NDSS, 2010
- <u>Distributed Programming with Distributed Authorization</u>, K. Avijit, A. Datta, R. Harper, 5th ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Types in Language Design and Implementation, January, 2010
- <u>InvisiType: Object-Oriented Security Policies</u>, Jiwon Seo and Monica S. Lam, Annual Network and Distributed System Security Symposium, 2010
- <u>SPIN and Berkeley Fit Towards Social Interaction in Mobile Body Sensor Networks</u>, 2. Posu Yan, Irving Lin, Michael Roy, Edmund Seto, Curtis Wang, Allen Yang, Ruzena Bajcsy:, 2010
- <u>Using Machine Learning to Maintain Pub/Sub System QoS in Dynamic Environments</u>, Joe Hoffert, Daniel Mack, Douglas Schmidt, 8th Workshop on Adaptive and Reflective Middleware, December, 2009
- <u>A Study of User-Friendly Hash Comparison Schemes</u>, Hsu-Chun Hsiao, Yue-Hsun Lin, Ahren Studer, Cassandra Studer, King-Hang Wang, Adrian Perrig, Hung-Min Sun, Bo-Yin Yang, Hiroaki Kikuchi, Proceedings of Annual Computer Security Applications Conference (ACSAC), December, 2009
- <u>ANTIDOTE: Understanding and Defending against Poisoning of Anomaly Detectors</u>, B. Rubinstein, B. Nelson, L. Huang, A. Joseph, S. Lau, S. Rao, N. Taft, and J. D. Tygar, November, 2009
- <u>Efficient Character-level Taint Tracking for Java</u>, Erika Chin, David Wagner, 2009 ACM Workshop on Secure Web Services, 13, November, 2009
- <u>Evaluating Transport Protocols for Real-time Event Stream Processing Middleware and Applications</u>, Joe Hoffert, Douglas Schmidt, Aniruddha Gokhale, 11th International Symposium on Distributed Objects, Middleware, and Applications (DOA '09), November, 2009
- <u>Cloudifying Source Code Repositories: How Much Does it Cost?</u>, Hakim Weatherspoon, Large-Scale Distributed Systems and Middleware (LADIS 2009, October, 2009
- <u>Berkeley Fit An Interactive Social Mobile Application For Promoting Physical Activity</u>, Edmund Seto, Irving Lin, Michael Roy, Victor Shia, Curtis Wang, Posu Yan, Allen Yang, Ruzena Bajcsy, 2009 mHealth Summit, October, 2009
- <u>Code-Partitioning Gossip</u>, Ken Birman, Programming Languages and Operating Systems, October, 2009
- <u>Wireless Sensor Networks: a Building Block for Mass Creativity and Learning</u>, S. Hsu and J. D. Tygar., October, 2009
- <u>PhishCatch A Phishing Detection Tool</u>, Weider D. Yu, Shruti Nargundkar, Nagapriya Tiruthani, The 33rd 2009 IEEE International Computer Software and Applications Conference, IEEE Computer Society, 491-496, 22, September, 2009
- <u>Rethinking Reliable Transport for the Datacenter</u>, Mahesh Balakrishnan, Joe Hoffert, Ken Birman, Douglas Schmidt, Proceedings of the Large-Scale Distributed Systems and Middleware Workshop (LADIS 2008), September, 2009
- <u>GO: Platform Support For Gossip Applications.</u>, Ymir Vigfusson, Ken Birman, IEEE P2P 2009, September, 2009
- <u>Implementing Reliable Event Streams in Large Systems via Distributed Data Flows and</u> <u>Recursive Delegation.</u>, Ken Birman, Krzysztof Ostrowski, 3rd ACM International Conference on Distributed Event-Based Systems (DEBS 2009)., July, 2009
- <u>ASPIER: An Automated Framework for Verifying Security Protocol Implementations</u>, S. Chaki, A. Datta, 22nd IEEE Computer Security Foundations Symposium, July, 2009
- <u>Hardware Authentication Leveraging Performance Limits in Detailed Simulations and</u> <u>Emulations</u>, Daniel Y. Deng, Andrew H. Chan, and G. Edward Suh, Proceedings of the 46th Design Automation Conference (DAC'09), July, 2009





- <u>Stealthy Poisoning Attacks on PCAbased Anomaly Detectors</u>, B. Rubinstein, B. Nelson, L. Huang, A. Joseph, S. Lau, S. Rao, N. Taft, and J. D. Tygar, June, 2009
- <u>Live Distributed Objects for Service Oriented Collaboration</u>, Ken Birman, Daniel Freedman, Krzysztof Ostrowski, Third International Conference on Intelligent Technologies for Interactive Entertainment (Intetain '09), June, 2009
- <u>The Price of Uncertainty in Security Games</u>, Jens Grossklags, Benjamin Johnson, Nicolas Christin, Proceedings (online) of the 8th Workshop on Economics of Information Security, June, 2009
- <u>Storing and Accessing Live Mashup Content in the Cloud</u>, Ken Birman, Krzysztof Ostrowski, 3rd ACM SIGOPS International Workshop on Large Scale Distributed Systems and Middleware (LADIS 2009), 2009
- Secrecy and Reliability using Raptor Codes in the Presence of a Wiretapper in a Multiple Path Wireless Network, Anna Kacewicz, Stephen Wicker, IEEE WCSP, 2009
- Balancing Gossip Exchanges in Networks with Firewalls
 Robbert van Renesse, Balancing Gossip Exchanges in Networks with Firewalls, 2009
- <u>Adaptive Voice Spam Control with User Behavior Analysis</u>, Yan Bai, Xiao Su, Bharat Bhargava, Proc. 11th IEEE Int'l Conf. on High Performance Computing and Communications, 354-361, 2009
- <u>Providing Survivability against Jamming Attack via Joint Dynamic Routing and Channel</u> <u>Assignment</u>, Shanshan Jiang, Yuan Xue, Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on the Design of Reliable Communication Networks (DRCN), 2009
- <u>Optimal Wireless Network Restoration Under Jamming Attack</u>, Shanshan Jiang, Yuan Xue, Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks (ICCCN), 2009
- <u>Robust Medical Data Delivery for Wireless Pervasive Healthcare</u>, Shanshan Jiang, Yuan Xue, Annarita Giani, Ruzena Bajcsy, Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Smart Homes for Tele-Health (SmarTel), 2009
- <u>Providing QoS Support for Wireless Remote Healthcare System</u>, Shanshan Jiang, Yuan Xue, Annarita Giani, Ruzena Bajcsy, Workshops on Multimedia Aspects in Pervasive Healthcare, held in conjunction with the IEEE International Conference on Multimedia & Expo (ICME), 2009
- <u>Quantitative Measurement Optimization-based Routing Restoration Strategies for Multi-hop</u> <u>Wireless Networks</u>, Shanshan Jiang, Yuan Xue, the 4th IEEE Workshop on Wireless Mesh Networks (WiMesh), co-located with SECON, 2009
- <u>Maintaining QoS for Publish/Subscribe Middleware in Dynamic Environments</u>, Joe Hoffert, Douglas Schmidt, 3rd ACM International Conference on Distributed Event-Based Systems, 2009
- <u>Conditioned-safe Ceremonies and a User Study of an Application to Web Authentication</u>, Chris Karlof, Doug Tygar, David Wagner, Sixteenth Annual Network and Distributed Systems Security Symposium, 2009
- <u>Automatic Dimension Inference and Checking for Object-Oriented Programs</u>, Sudheendra Hangal and Monica S. Lam, International Conference on Software Engineering, 2009
- <u>DexterNet: An Open Platform for Heterogeneous Body Sensor Networks and Its Applications.</u>, Philip Kuryloski, Annarita Giani, Roberta Giannantonio, Katherine Gilani, Raffaele Gravina, Ville-Pekka Sepp, Edmund Seto, Victor Shia, Curtis Wang, Posu Yan, Allen Y. Yang, Jari Hyttinen, Shankar Sastry, Stephen Wicker, Ruzena Bajcsy, of Body sensor Network, 2009
- <u>A Wireless Body Sensor Network for the Prevention and Management of Asthma</u>, Edmund Y. W. Seto, Annarita Giani, Victor Shia, Curtis Wang, Posu Yan, Allen Y. Yang, Michael Jerrett, Ruzena Bajcsy, of Industrial Embedded Systems SIES 2009 Special Session on Health-care, 2009





- 8.1.2 Journal Articles
 - 2010
 - <u>A distributed intrusion detection system for resource-constrained devices in ad-hoc networks</u>, Adrian Lauf, William H. Robinson, Alan Peters, Elsevier Ad-hoc Networks, 8, 3, 253-266, May, 2010
 - <u>Inferring Personal Information from Demand-Response Systems</u>, Mikhail Lisovich, Deirdre Mulligan, Stephen Wicker, IEEE Security & Privacy, 8, 1, 11-20, January, 2010
 - <u>A History of the Virtual Synchrony Replication Model</u>, Ken Birman, Replication, 91-120, 2010
 - <u>Surveillance, Policing and Democracy</u>, Deirdre Mulligan, Stephen Wicker, Minnesota Law Review, 2010
 - <u>iPhone Security Analysis</u>, Mark Stamp, Vaibhav Pandya, International Journal of Multimedia Intelligence and Security, 2010
 - <u>Inferring Personal Information from Demand-Response Systems</u>, Mikhail Lisovich, Deirdre Mulligan, Stephen Wicker, IEEE Security and Privacy Magazine, 2010
 - <u>Secure Re-keying and Collusion Prevention Using Block Designs</u>, Nathaniel Karst, Stephen Wicker, IEEE Transactions on Networking, 2010
 - <u>Providing Survivability against Jamming Attack for Multi-Radio Multi-Channel Wireless Mesh</u> <u>Networks</u>, Shanshan Jiang, Yuan Xue, Journal of Network and Computer Applications (JNCA), 2010
 - <u>Privacy Costs and Personal Data Protection: Economic and Legal Perspectives</u>, Romanosky Sasha, Alessandro Acquisti, Berkeley Technology Law Journal, 2010
 - <u>Distributed Sensor Perception via Sparse representation</u>, Allen Yang, Michael Gastpar, Ruzena Bajcsy, S. Shankar Sastry, Proceedings of IEEE, 2010
 - <u>Redundancy Minimizing Techniques for Robust Transmission in Wireless Networks</u>, Anna Kacewicz, Stephen Wicker, Journal of Communications and Networks, 564-573, December, 2009
 - <u>Nonparametric Belief Propagation for Distributed Tracking of Robot Networks with Noisy Inter-</u> <u>Distance Measurements.</u>, Jeremy Schiff, Erik Sudderth, Ken Goldberg, IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)., October, 2009
 - <u>Slicing Distributed Systems</u>, Ymir Vigfusson, Robbert van Renesse, Ken Birman, TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTERS, SPECIAL SECTION, July, 2009
 - <u>Respectful Cameras: Detecting Visual Markers in Real-Time to Address Privacy Concerns</u>, Jeremy Schiff, Marci Meingast, Deirdre Mulligan, S. Shankar Sastry, Ken Goldberg, Protecting Privacy in Video Surveillance, Andrew W. Senior, Springer., June, 2009
 - <u>Misleading learners: Co-opting your spam filter</u>, B. Nelson, M. Barreno, F. Chi, A. Joseph, B. Rubinstein, U. Saini, C. Sutton, D. Tygar, K. Xia, Springer, 17-51, 2009
 - <u>A Model-Integrated, Guideline-Driven, Clinical Decision-Support System</u>, Janos Laszlo Mathe, Jason Martin, Peter Miller, Akos Ledeczi, Liza Weavind, Andras Nadas, Anne Miller, David Maron, Janos Sztipanovits, IEEE Software, 26, 4, 54-61, 2009
 - <u>Predicting Social Security Numbers from Public Data</u>, Alessandro Acquisti, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 2009
 - <u>On Voting Machine Design for Verification and Testability</u>, Cynthia Sturton, Susmit Jha, Sanjit A. Seshia, David Wagner, Conference on Computer and Communications Security, 2009
 - <u>Trustworthiness as a Limitation on Network Neutrality</u>, Aaron Burstein, Fred Schneider, Federal Communications Law Journal, 61, 3, 2009
 - <u>The Monoculture Risk Put into Context</u>, Fred Schneider, Ken Birman, IEEE Security & Privacy Magazine, 2009





- <u>Quantifying Information Flow with Beliefs</u>, Andrew C. Myers, Michael R. Clarkson, Fred Schneider, Journal of Computer Security, 2009
- 8.1.3 Books and Book Chapters
 - <u>Handbook of Information and Communication Security</u>, Mark Stamp, Peter Stavroulakis and Mark Stamp, Springer, 2010, 978-3-642-04116-7
 - <u>Productivity Analysis of the Distributed QoS Modeling Language</u>, Joe Hoffert, Douglas Schmidt, Aniruddha Gokhale, Dr. Janis Osis and Dr. Erika Asnina, IGI Global, 2010
 - <u>An introduction to software reverse engineering</u>, Mark Stamp, Peter Stavroulakis and Mark Stamp, 31, Springer, 2010
- 8.1.4 Non-peer Reviewed Publications
 - <u>Do Data Breach Disclosure Laws Reduce Identity Theft?</u>, Sasha Romanosky, Rahul Telang, Alessandro Acquisti, Carnegie Mellon University, 2010
 - <u>DISTRIBUTED SENSING WITH FAULT-TOLERANT RESOURCE REALLOCATION FOR</u> <u>DISASTER AREA ASSESSMENT</u>, Adrian Lauf, Vanderbilt University, March, 2010
 - TRUST Annual Report 2008-2009, Faculty and Staff, May, 2009
 - <u>Composite Intrusion Detection in Process Control Networks</u>, Julian L. Rrushi, University of Milano, April, 2009
 - <u>SmartFuzz</u> and <u>MetaFuzz</u>, David A Molnar, David Wagner, University of California Berkeley, 2009

8.2 Conference Presentations

The following is a list of conference presentations made by TRUST Center personnel during this reporting period. For each presentation, a link to the TRUST publications database is provided as reference.

- <u>A Logic of Secure Systems and its Application to Trusted Computing</u>, Jason Franklin, 30, November, 2009
- Stratified Negation and HIPAA Compliance, John C. Mitchell, 30, November, 2009
- TRUST Health Infrastructures Overview, Janos Sztipanovits, 30, November, 2009
- <u>Reasoning about Concrete Security in Protocol Proofs</u>, Arnab Roy, 30, November, 2009
- Competitive Cyber-Insurance and Network Security, Galina Schwartz, 30, November, 2009
- JavaScript Heap Analysis: From Exploiting Browsers to Building Safe JavaScript Subsets, Joel Weinberger, 30, November, 2009
- <u>Guideline Driven Patient Management Systems</u>, Janos Laszlo Mathe, Jason Martin, 30, November, 2009
- Efficient Character-Level Taint Tracking for Java, Erika Chin, 30, November, 2009
- Agenda for a Science of Security, Fred Schneider, 30, November, 2009
- <u>Reintroducing Consistency Guarantees in Cloud Computing Systems</u>, Ken Birman, 29, November, 2009
- <u>The Economics (and Behavioral Economics) of Privacy</u>, Alessandro Acquisti, 29, November, 2009
- Stealthy Deception Attacks on Water SCADA Systems, Saurabh Amin, 29, November, 2009
- <u>Critical Infrastructure Protection and Privacy-Aware Design</u>, Stephen Wicker, 29, November, 2009





- <u>Secure Control Against Replay Attacks</u>, Bruno Sinopoli, 29, November, 2009
- <u>TRUST for SCADA: A Simulation-Based Experimental Platform</u>, Gabor Karsai, 29, November, 2009
- <u>TRUST Physical Infrastructures Overview</u>, Stephen Wicker, 29, November, 2009
- Overview of NIST Cybersecurity Activities, Donna Dodson, 29, November, 2009
- Body Sensors for In-Home Patient Monitoring, Yuan Xue, Posu Yan, 30, November, 2009
- Learning Privacy Policy from Audit Logs, Bradley Malin, 30, November, 2009
- <u>Poster Intrusion-Tolerant Distributed Disaster Area Assessment</u>, Adrian Lauf, William H. Robinson, October, 2009
- <u>FLEXible Middleware And Transports (FLEXMAT) for Real-time Event Stream Processing (RT-ESP) Applications</u>, Joe Hoffert, Douglas Schmidt, July, 2009
- Ethics in Botnet Research, Aaron Burstein, 21, April, 2009
- <u>It is a Dangerous World Out There The Interplay of Security, Safety and Policy</u>, Sigurd Meldal, 6, March, 2009
- <u>Co-design Environment for Secure Embedded Systems</u>, Matthew Eby, Janos Laszlo Mathe, Jan Werner, Gabor Karsai, Sandeep Neema, Janos Sztipanovits, Yuan Xue, February, 2009

8.3 Other Dissemination Activities

The following is a list of other dissemination activities associated with TRUST Center personnel during this reporting period that are not covered elsewhere in this report.

- <u>May 7, 2010</u>: Berkeley Professor Deirdre Mulligan led a symposium panel in Washington, DC for the Department of Commerce focused on US and international privacy protections and enforcement practice and how the US legal system can influence privacy protection in the private sector and abroad.
- <u>April 30, 2010</u>: Cornell Professors Steve Wicker and Andrew Myers briefed U.S. congressional staff on cyber security and information technology. The title of the briefing was "Building Security and Privacy into Information Technology."
- <u>February 2010</u>: Cornell Professor Fred Schneider testified before the U.S. House of Representatives Armed Service Committee subcommittee on Terrorism, Unconventional Threats, and Capabilities hearing on "Private Sector Perspectives on Department of Defense Information Technology and Cybersecurity Activities". A full transcript of the testimony is available at <u>www.cs.cornell.edu/fbs/publications/SciPolicyHouseArmedServsFeb2010.pdf</u>.
- <u>November 2009</u>: UC Berkeley Law Lecturer in Residence Chris Hoofnagle testified before the U.S. House of Representatives Energy and Commerce Subcommittees on Commerce, Trade, and Consumer Protection and Communications, Technology, and the Internet hearing on "Exploring the Offline and Online Collection and Use of Consumer Information". A full transcript of the testimony is available at

http://energycommerce.house.gov/Press_111/20091119/hoofnagle_testimony.pdf.

- <u>July 2009</u>: UC Berkeley Law Lecturer in Residence Chris Hoofnagle submitted written comments to the White House Office of Scientific & Technology Policy (OSTP) inquiry about the use of cookies on Federal Government websites (see http://blog.ostp.gov/2009/07/24/cookiepolicy/).
- June 2009: Cornell Professor Fred Schneider testified before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Science and Technology hearing on "Cyber Security Research and Development". A full transcript of the testimony is available at http://democrats.science.house.gov/Media/file/Commdocs/hearings/2009/Research/10jun/Scheide r_Testimony.pdf.





8.4 Awards and Honors

The following table describes awards and honors received by TRUST Center personnel during this reporting period.

Recipient	Reason for Award	Award Name and	Date	Award
Ruzena Bajcsy	Given to a woman who has inspired the women's technology community through outstanding technological and social contributions	2009 Technical Leadership Award, Anita Borg Institute for Women and Technology	August 2009	Scientific
Ruzena Bajcsy	To recognize individuals whohave had a significant impact on development of the robotics and/or automation fields.	IEEE Robotics & Automation Society Pioneer Award	May 2010	Scientific
Ken Birman	Major contributions to state-of-the art distributed computing systems and their applications	2009 Tsutomu Kanai Award, IEEE Computer Society	March 2010	Scientific
Ken Birman	Technical aspects of specifying, designing, implementing, and evaluating distributed computing systems	2009 Outstanding Achievement Award, IEEE Technical Committee on Distributed Processing (TCDP)	2010	Scientific
Dawson Engler	Outstanding young computer professional of the year, selected on the basis of a single recent major technical or service contribution	2008 Grace Murray Hopper Award, ACM	July 2009	Scientific
Dawson Engler	High Coverage, Deep Checking of Linux Device Drivers using KLEE + Under- constrained Execution Symbolic Execution	Google Research Award, Google	December 2009	Scientific







Recipient	Reason for Award	Award Name and Sponsor	Date	Award Type
Joe Halpern	Career contributions that have breadth within computer science, or that bridge computer science and other disciplines	2008 Allen Newell Award, ACM	July 2009	Scientific
John Mitchell	[Work] at the forefront of Web and network security research and education.	2009 Stanford Dean's Award for Industry Education Innovation, Stanford University	July 2009	Scientific
Andrew Myers	Distinguished research and scholarly achievements, combined with their continuing commitment to Cornell.	2010 Provost's Award for Distinguished Scholarship	May 2010	Scientific
Shankar Sastry	Accomplishments of rising Asian American leaders in higher education to support their professional development and advancement	2010 Tien Education Leadership Award, Asian Pacific Fund	January 2010	Scientific
Dawn Song	Demonstrated exceptional capacity for productive scholarship or exceptional creative ability in the arts	Guggenheim Fellow, John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation	April 2010	Scientific

8.5 Graduates

During this reporting period, the following undergraduate, graduate, and Ph.D. students from across all TRUST universities graduated. Students are listed alphabetically by last name along with their institution name and degree.

#	Student Name / Affiliation	Degree(s)
1	Jaiganesh Balasubramanian (Vanderbilt)	Ph.D.
2	Michael Clarkson (Cornell)	Ph.D.
3	Suchreet Dhaliwal (San Jose State)	M.S.
4	Collin Jackson (Stanford)	Ph.D.
5	Shanshan Jiang (Vanderbilt)	Ph.D.
6	Ania Kacewicz (Cornell)	Ph.D.
7	Lucja Kot (Cornell)	Ph.D.
8	Philip Kuryloski (Cornell)	Ph.D.
9	John Paulett	M.S.





#	Student Name / Affiliation	Degree(s)
10	Marta Porwit (Berkeley)	J.D.
11	Jeremy Schiff (Berkeley)	Ph.D.
12	Michael Siegenthaler (Cornell)	M.S.
13	Christopher Unkel (Stanford)	Ph.D.

8.6 General Knowledge Transfer Outputs

Details of knowledge transfer outputs are provided in Section 4.

8.7 Institutional Partners

The following table lists all TRUST Center research, education, knowledge transfer, and other institutional partners.

Org. Name	Org. Type	Address	Contact Name	Type of Partner	160+
					Hrs?
Academia Sinica	Other	Taipei, Taiwan	D.T. Lee	Research	Y
Air Force Office of	Federal	Arlington, VA	Bob Bonneau	Research	Y
Scientific Research	Government				
Air Force Research	Federal	Rome, NY	Rick Metzger	Research	Y
Laboratory	Government		-		
Cisco Systems	Company	San Jose, CA	Ken Watson	Research	Ν
				Knowledge Transfer	
Cyber Security Industry	Non-Profit	Arlington, VA	Liz Glasser	Education	Y
Alliance					
Deloitte & Touche LLP	Company	San Jose, CA	Dennis Kushner	Education	Y
DoCoMo USA Labs	Company	Palo Alto, CA	Svetlana Radosavac	Research	Y
EADS	Company	Paris, France	Cedric Blancher	Research	Y
eBay	Company	San Jose, CA	Dave Cullinane	Education	Y
General Electrical	Company	McKinney, TX	James Beeson	Education	Ν
Capital					
Greater Bay Bank	Company	Palo Alto, CA	Jason Hoffman	Education	Y
Hewlett-Packard	Company	Palo Alto, CA	Rich McGeer	Research	Ν
				Knowledge Transfer	
ING	Company		Robert Weaver	Education	Ν
Intel	Company	Santa Clara, CA	Anand Rajan	Research	Ν
			5	Knowledge Transfer	
Jefferson Wells	Company	Brookfield, WI	Jeffrey Camiel	Education	Ν
Microsoft Research	Company	Redmond, WA	Mike Schroeder	Research	Ν
Oracle	Company	Redwood Shores,	Mary Ann Davidson	Knowledge Transfer	Ν
		CA	2		
Pirelli Research	Company	Berkeley, CA	Marco Sgroi	Research	Ν
Laboratory	1 5	2.2	e e	Knowledge Transfer	
Rapport, Inc.	Company	Redwood City, CA	Andrew Singer	Education	Y
Silicon Valley Bank	Company	Santa Clara, CA	Andrew Neilson	Education	Y
Sun Microsystems	Company	Menlo Park, CA	Emil Sarpa	Research	Y
-			-	Education	
Symantec	Company	Santa Monica, CA	Ken Baylor	Research	Ν
-			2	Knowledge Transfer	
Tata Consultancy	Company	Chennai, India	Sanjay Bahl	Education	Ν
Services					
United Technologies	Company	East Hartford, CT	Clas Jacobson	Research	Ν
				Knowledge Transfer	
Visa International	Company	San Francisco, CA	George Sullivan	Research	Ν
				Knowledge Transfer	





Team for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST)



Yahoo Inc.	Company	Sunnyvale, CA	Mark Seiden	Education	Y
Xilinx, Inc.	Company	San Jose, CA	Abe Smith	Research	Y
				Knowledge Transfer	







9 INDIRECT/OTHER IMPACTS

9.1 International Activities

As part of TRUST's goals of disseminating results, we are eager to establish relationships with international programs where mutually beneficial opportunities exist. Our first large effort in this area is with Taiwan. The TRUST Center has received significant attention from Taiwan, and funds for cooperating with TRUST have been approved the National Legislature (the Legislative Yuan) and a member of the Taiwanese Cabinet at the level of Minister of State has been assigned to oversee the program: The International Collaboration for Advancing Security Technology (iCAST).

Taiwan is a leading player in the world of electronics and IT. Taiwan has been expanding its scope from more narrowly focused areas in manufacturing and integrated circuit design to become an aggressive player in the world of IT services. Taiwan by most accounts has the second or third largest penetration of broadband services (as of July 2005, with 10.5 million broadband users and 14.6 Internet users out of a total population of 22.8 million.) Taiwan also faces unique challenges because of its relationship with mainland China, and both public and private institutions in Taiwan are under constant attack from mainland Chinese sources. Some of these are believed to be government sponsored.

Based on TRUST, Taiwan has set up an inter-university institute called the Taiwan Information Security Center (TWISC) and has adopted an international collaboration center for research in computer security, directed by Dr. D. T. Lee, a former NSF program officer. TWISC is overseen by the cabinet level Science and Technology Advisory Group (run by a Minister of State). Major members include the National Science Council (NSC, the "Taiwanese NSF"); the Institute for Information Industry (III, a public/private software industry coordinating group); the Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI); major infrastructure groups (e.g., telecommunication companies); and government representatives from public safety and law enforcement.

Funding has been provided to TRUST and partner institutions Carnegie Mellon University and the University of California, Berkeley at approximately US\$2M per year. The Center is very excited about this collaboration because of the outstanding quality of our Taiwanese research counterparts, their impact in the IT area, and the chance to observe and address the emerging patterns of cyber attack within Asia (and particularly emerging from mainland China) firsthand.

Please see Section 5.4 for additional information on iCAST and TRUST.

9.2 Other Outputs, Impacts, and Influences

None to report.







11 ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Biographical Information of New Faculty

Carnegie Mellon University:

<u>Alessandro Acquisti</u> – Alessandro Acquisti is an Associate Professor of Information Technology and Public Policy at the Heinz College, Carnegie Mellon University, a member of Carnegie Mellon CyLab, and a fellow of the Ponemon Institute. His work investigates the economic and social impact of IT, and in particular the economics and behavioral economics of privacy and information security, as well as privacy in online social networks. His research in these areas has been disseminated through journals (including Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, Marketing Science, Marketing Letters, Information Systems Research, IEEE Security & Privacy, Journal of Comparative Economics, Rivista di Politica Economica, and so forth); edited books ("Digital Privacy: Theory, Technologies, and Practices." Auerbach, 2007); book chapters; leading international conferences, and international keynotes. His findings have been featured in media outlets such as NPR, NBC, MSNBC.com, the Washington Post, the New York Times, the Wall Street Journal, the New Scientist, CNN, Fox News, and Bloomberg TV.

Alessandro has received national and international awards, including the PET Award for Outstanding Research in Privacy Enhancing Technologies and the IBM Best Academic Privacy Faculty Award. He is and has been member of the program committees of various international conferences and workshops, including ACM EC, PET, WEIS, ETRICS, WPES, LOCA, QoP, and the Ubicomp Privacy Workshop at Ubicomp. In 2007 he co-chaired the DIMACS Workshop on Information Security Economics and the WEIS Workshop on the Economics of Information Security. In 2008, he co-chaired the first Workshop on Security and Human Behavior with Ross Anderson, Bruce Schneier, and George Loewenstein. His research has been funded by the National Science Foundation, the Humboldt Foundation, the National Aeronautics & Space Administration, Microsoft Corporation, as well as CMU CyLab and CMU Berkman Fund.

Prior to joining CMU Faculty, Alessandro Acquisti researched at the Xerox PARC labs in Palo Alto, CA, with Bernardo Huberman and the Internet Ecologies Group (as intern), and for two years at RIACS, NASA Ames Research Center, in Mountain View, CA, with Maarten Sierhuis and Bill Clancey (as visiting student). At RIACS, he worked on agent-based simulations of human-robot interaction onboard the International Space Station. While studying at Berkeley, he co-founded with other fellow students a privacy technology company, PGuardian Technologies.

In a previous life, Alessandro worked as classical music producer and label manager (PPMusic.com), as freelance arranger, lyrics writer, and soundtrack composer for theatre, television, and indy cinema productions (including works for BMG Ariola/Universal and RAI 3 National Television), and raced a Yamaha TZ 125 in the USGPRU national championship.

Alessandro Acquisti has lived and studied in Rome (Laurea, Economics, University of Rome), Dublin (M.Litt., Economics, Trinity College), London (M.Sc., Econometrics and Mathematical Economics, LSE), and in the San Francisco bay area, where he worked with John Chuang, Doug Tygar, Florian Zettelmeyer, and Hal Varian and received a Master and a Ph.D. in Information Management and Systems from the University of California at Berkeley.







<u>Nicolas Christin</u> – Nicolas Christin is with Carnegie Mellon University, as the Associate Director of the Information Networking Institute, where he also serves as a faculty. He is in addition a CyLab Systems Scientist, and has a courtesy faculty appointment in the Electrical and Computer Engineering department. He is Faculty Advisor for a number of INI programs: Kobe Master's of Information Technology-Information Security (MSIT-IS), Athens Master's of Information Networking, Pittsburgh/Silicon Valley Master's of Information Technology (Information Security track), and Master's of Information Security, Technology, and Management (MSISTM). He is also a core faculty in the Carnegie Mellon Usable Privacy and Security Doctoral Training Program, supported through an NSF IGERT grant.

Christin received a Diplôme d'Ingénieur (1999) from École Centrale de Lille, a Master's (2000) and a Ph.D. (2003) in Computer Science from the University of Virginia. In the final year (2002-2003) of his Ph.D., he was working at Nortel. He then spent two wonderful years (2003-2005) as a postdoctoral fellow in the School of Information at UC Berkeley, before joining Carnegie Mellon in July 2005. He was a faculty in residence for three years (2005-2008) in CMU's research and education center in Japan, CyLab Japan, located in Kōbe.

UC Berkeley:

<u>Jean Walrand</u> – Jean Walrand, a professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences at UC Berkeley, is a recipient of the Lanchester Prize and the Stephen O. Rice Prize and a fellow of both the IEEE and the Belgian American Educational Foundation. His research interests include stochastic processes, queuing theory, communication networks, and control systems. He received his Ph.D. in EECS from UC Berkeley and is the author of *An Introduction to Queuing Networks* (1988) and *Communication Networks: A First Course* (1998) and co-author of *High-Performance Communication Networks* (2nd ed, Morgan Kaufman, 2000). He is cofounder of Odyssia Systems, a Berkeley-based company specializing in the development of IP-QoS systems.

















<u>Appendix D</u>: Media Publicity Materials (if any)

Flyers for three TRUST Education and Outreach programs conducted in the summer 2009 are included on the following pages. Program flyers are for SECuR-IT, SUPERB-TRUST, and WISE.







TEAM for Research in Likelignineus Steams Testimalinge
1ER 2009: Graduate Student Academic Immersion with Internship Program June 9 th through August 14 th , 2009
for Ubiquitous Seeme Technology (TRUST) is proud to announce the Summer Experience, Collegation and in Information Technology (SECoR-IT), This is a ten-week residential program with paid internship co-located at Jaiversity and San Jose State University.
<u>teoreien</u> ald imenship as a Silicon Valley ischoology empany
saming cohort of 30 gashrate students connues and presentations in security related topics at Stanford University and industry partner compuses tesidential summer honsing at San Jose State University follege units for summer educational program.
tudent internable opportunities available in: Security Architecture • Security Awareness and Security ent • Rest and OS Security • Application Security • Network Security • Secure Software Engineering • Risk ent • Policy and Legal Compliance
D Partlelpoting Technology Companies: PayPal + cBay + Yahos + Sun Microsystems + Symanice + SaicsForce.com
<u>it maters:</u> a to working with an industry manter over the ten-week program, velociars participate in the following
atic enorments: eminars conducted by faculty and industry experts that expose students to a wide range of information technology
nd computer security research instructions accely participation from: Stouford University, University of California, Berkeley and Sau Jose Sate University affernial social gatherings that provide a relaxed setting for students and faculty to continue ideas and share speciences, being therefore a for the first University.
usaduntat nousing at san Jose Sole Concersity. 'en week, pald 40-hour per week luternship.
<u>kato University On-Compus Housing</u> elli bu avallable at San Jose State University (SISU) San Jose, California, Menis at SISU will be the responsibility n garticipants.
<u>p Process</u> ¹ participation is open to graduate students (M.S. & Ph.D). Participation is limited to 30 people and will be selected isomide pool of applicants, who have domensitated, outstanding academic tatent. Dir_line application only of http://www.trastste.oce/securit/apply
application deadline: February 2, 2009 at Spin PST Vonten and historically underrepresented ethnic minority groups will be given strong consideration altinorgia
servent is encouraged to apply. Annualise
M. Kristen Gates, Executive Director of Education Jeam for Research in Ubiquitous Secure Technology (TRUST) 95 Hearst Memorial Mining Building.
nivenny ni Camonia, Benistey CA 94729 310) 642-3737 11 onoili legater@coos.herkeley.odu # URL: www.instate.org
cam for Rescarch in Ubiquitous Scoure Technology (TRUST) :: URL: www.drustste.org National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. 0424422















