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A model is any description of a system that is not the thing-in-itself.  
*(das Ding an sich* in Kantian philosophy).
What is Intelligence?

The Turing Test is all about imitating human intelligence, not about building intelligent systems.

ELIZA: Please tell me what's been bothering you.
YOU: I think my computer is smarter than me.
ELIZA: Do computers worry you?
YOU: Yes
ELIZA: You are sure.
YOU: No, not really.
ELIZA: Are you saying no just to be negative?

elizabot http://www.masswerk.at/elizabot/

Joseph Weizenbaum
ELIZA, 1966
“[O]nce a particular program is unmasked, once its inner workings are explained in language sufficiently plain to induce understanding, its magic crumbles away; it stands revealed as a mere collection of procedures, each quite comprehensible. The observer says to himself `I could have written that.’ With that thought he moves the program in question from the shelf marked `intelligent,’ to that reserved for curios, fit to be discussed only with people less enlightened than he.”

[Weizenbaum, 1966]
Your Speaker in 1966
Explaining Natural Intelligence

- McCulloch and Pitts (1940s)
- Rosenblatt: Perceptrons (1950s)
- Putnam: multiple realizability (1960s)
- Rumelhart, Hinton, Williams: neural nets (1980s)
- Machine learning explosion (1910s)

Mechanical man as envisioned by an unknown sixteenth-century Italian master, contemporary of Leonardo da Vinci

[Web Gallery of Art, Public Domain]
Can we understand brain function by studying the wiring diagram, even in principle?
Explainable **Artificial Intelligence**

Google Photos, y'all fucked up. My friend's not a gorilla.

DARPA XIA Program:

David Gunning, Program Manager, XIA
Google Inception

Electric Guitar, Acoustic Guitar, Labrador

Marco Túlio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, Carlos Guestrin (Univ. of Washington, 2016)
Recognizing Cars and Pedestrians

Self-driving cars need to recognize cars and pedestrians.

Do we understand how humans do this?
How can we build models of something we do not understand?
In this example, the *modeling universe* is calculus and Newton’s laws in a time and space continuum.
Do We Understand Time?

We understand time, right?
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Muller: Gives a theory of time that requires big black holes to collide somewhere near us to test it.

Rovelli: “The nature of time is perhaps the greatest remaining mystery.”
Unexplainable Mechanical Systems

A few things we need to model to explain this behavior:

- Plastic deformation
- Acoustic propagation
- Stretching of strings
- Gravity
- …
An Epiphany
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The Value of Models

• In *science*, the value of a *model* lies in how well its behavior matches that of the physical system.

• In *engineering*, the value of the *physical system* lies in how well its behavior matches that of the model.

A scientist asks, “Can I make a model for this thing?”
An engineer asks, “Can I make a thing for this model?”
Model Fidelity

• To a *scientist*, the model is flawed.
• To an *engineer*, the realization is flawed.

I’m an engineer...
Perhaps we should be trying to make systems behave in more intelligent ways rather than trying to build systems that emulate human intelligence.
A piece of silicon that doesn’t behave like the model is just beach sand.

Intel Haswell, each with 1.4 billion transistors
Useful Models and Useful Things

“Essentially, all models are wrong, but some are useful.”


“Essentially, all system implementations are wrong, but some are useful.”

Lee and Sirjani, “What good are models,” FACS 2018.
"Simulation is doomed to succeed."

Could this statement be confusing engineering and scientific models?

Figure 1: Three scenes generated from a single ~20-line SCENIC scenario representing bumper-to-bumper traffic.

How can we build models of something we do not understand?

Do we want scientific models or engineering models?
Is the question whether we can build models describing the behavior of intelligent systems?

Or

Is the question whether we can build systems whose behavior matches that of intelligent models?
Scientific Model-Based Design of Intelligent Systems

- Model the human brain
- Build systems based on those models

If we are successful, then every morning, I will have to argue with my smart car about the value of getting to work on time...
Does it Really Matter Whether It is A Gorilla?
Should patients with pneumonia be admitted to the hospital or treated at home?

Found that on a training dataset, patients with a risk of asthma had a lower risk of dying from pneumonia than the general population.

Caruana, et al., 2015: Intelligible models for healthcare: Predicting pneumonia risk and hospital 30-day readmission. In ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD)
More Intelligent Systems May Not Resemble Humans at All

Self awareness:
Consider a thermostat, miswired so that the heat control is connected to the AC and vice versa.

Which one will be more intelligent?
Towards Engineering-Model-Based Design of Intelligent Systems

Per Boehm:
• Am I building the right product? (validation)
• Am I building the product right? (verification)
Conclusions

We can (and do) build models of things we don’t understand.

The pertinent question is not whether our models accurate reflect the physical world, but rather whether we can build physical artifacts that reflect our models.